

## PRA Requests

Received From: 6/1/2018

Received To: 6/30/2018

Total: 233

Request ID	Requestor Last Name	Requestor First Name	Received Date	Request Description	Requestor Business Name	Request Status	Responder	Response Summary
PRA-2018-01072	Smith	Megan	6/1/2018	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>Any and all records related to the case captioned Citizens Against Corporate Crime, LLC, a Wyoming limited liability company, as Relator v. Lennar Corporation et al., Case No. 34-2017-00208469, which was filed in the California Superior Court for the County of Sacramento on February 24, 2017.</li><li>Any and all records related to the case captioned Citizens Against Corporate Crime, LLC, a Wyoming limited liability company, as Relator v. Lennar Corporation et al., Case No. 2:18-cv-01269-TLN-DB, which is pending in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California.</li><li>Any and all records related to Citizens Against Corporate Crime, LLC, a Wyoming limited liability company formed on February 23, 2017.</li><li>Any and all records related to Mr. Nicolas Marsch.</li></ol>		Completed	Joanna Forster	<p>For the reasons set forth below, we cannot grant your request in toto: A. Pending Litigation Exemption. Agencies may withhold the disclosure of records pertaining to pending litigation to which the public agency is a party until the pending litigation has been finally adjudicated or otherwise settled. (Gov. Code, § 6254 subd. (b).) The pending litigation exemption does not duplicate the attorney work product exemption but is broader and covers documents prepared by the agency in anticipation of, or for use in, litigation. (County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (Axelrad) (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 831; Fairley v. Superior Court (1998) 66 Cal.App.4th 1414, 1422.) B. Attorney Client Privilege. Some of the records that you are seeking are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney-client privilege. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954 which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client, are expressly incorporated into the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363.)</p> <p>In the present case, the attorneys in our department provide legal advice to the Attorney General and his designees. Accordingly, all communications between the Attorney General or his designees and the department's attorneys concerning the Lennar matter and/or Mr. Marsch and/or Citizens Against Corporate Crime, LLC are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client privilege, and are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.</p> <p>C. Attorney Work Product. The attorney work product exception protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is incorporated into the Public Records Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code, §6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.) Records such as confidential analyses, draft language and memoranda prepared by the attorneys employed with the Attorney General's Office are subject to the work product exception and are consequently exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.</p> <p>D. Deliberative Process Privilege. The deliberative process privilege exempts from disclosure materials that would expose an agency's decision making process in such a way as to discourage candid discussion within the agency and thereby undermine the agency's ability to perform its functions. Even if the content of a document is purely factual, it is nonetheless exempt from public scrutiny if it is actually related to the process by which policies are formulated or, if it is inextricably intertwined with policymaking processes. (Times Mirror Co. v. Superior Court (1991) 53 Cal.3d 1325.) Records that reveal deliberative processes are protected through application of Government Code section 6255.</p> <p>In the instant case, records such as analyses, draft language, and memoranda concerning the strengths and weaknesses of a</p>

									particular proposal would be covered by the deliberative process privilege and, therefore, absent special circumstances would be exempt from disclosure under Government Code section 6255. E. Investigative Records. The records that you seek are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See, Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355). Please note that under the Public Records Act, government agencies are not obligated to provide a privilege log or list of every record withheld along with a statutory justification for withholding. (Haynie v Superior Court (2001) 26 Cal.4th 1061, 1074 [where the California Supreme Court noted that public agencies must articulate the specific PRA exemption pertaining to records withheld. "What section 6255 does not require, however, is for the agency to go further and describe each of the documents falling within the statutory exemption." (Italics in original.)].) Moreover, providing such a log would be unduly time-consuming and burdensome under the public interest balancing test. (Haynie v Superior Court (2001) 26 Cal.4th 1061, 107 4-75; Gov. Code, § 6255. ) Subject to and without waiving any of the foregoing objections, we believe that the only documents that would be both responsive to your request and subject to disclosure are publicly available court documents and filings in Citizens Against Corporate Crime, LLC, a Wyoming limited liability company, as Relatator v. Lennar Corporation et al., Case No. 34-2017-00208469 (Sac. Sup. Ct.). We assume that you are able to access and obtain these documents. If that is not the case, please advise and we can provide them to you.  To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> .
PRA-2018-01073	Robbins	Caleb	6/1/2018	My public records have my wrong date of birth	Completed	Erin Nickless			
PRA-2018-01074	Beck ( nee Selden)	Danielle	6/1/2018	I am looking for any records for My grandfather that you may have. His name was Frank Reyes Selden. I think possibly using Frank Reyes. He was born [REDACTED] in San Francisco. Married my grandmother Elizabeth Drignat who was born Iowa. She emigrated to Canada as a child with her family. My grandfather married her in Winnipeg But they left Canada and headed for a meeting with Mr Walt Disney in 1929. They were never heard of since. I think that my Grandfather ended up in prison in Sacramento for ABH. But there is still no trace of my grandmother his wife, of the second child they had named Emmogene Reyes Selden in October 1929 in Sacramento county hospital. I have been over to the library in Sacramento twice and trapeded the streets looking for any other clues. But can find nothing. I did find a Frank Reyes on the books of The prison there and even a photo that looks just like my dad. Is there any records of him, either in prison or	Completed	Erin Nickless		Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request	

					processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .	
missing persons in 1929-1935 period. His Wife Elizabeth or Emmogene.				Completed	Howard Wayne	We will provide you with such documents. Please contact Nancy Quach at 415-703-5648 to schedule time for you to review these records. If you wish to copy these records, you will need to provide your own scanning equipment.
				Completed	Nancy Doig	On June 25, 2018, our office sent you a letter indicating that we would provide you with non-exempt communications with reporter Adam Ashton that are in the possession of employees in our communications division, Office of Legislative Affairs, and Employment and Administrative Mandate Section, or in the possession of our Public Records Act Coordinator. Enclosed please find such records we have located that are responsive to your request. This completes our response to your June 1, 2018, request.
						June 25, 2018 Response: As a preliminary matter, the Department of Justice and The Sacramento Bee are both large organizations. Thus, a search for any documents related to any communications that (a) are between the Department of Justice and any Sacramento Bee employee and (b) relate to the Department of Justice would involve asking hundreds of employees to search their records, many of which either might not be indexed or kept in a uniform fashion. The expense and inconvenience of engaging in such a limitless search of documents would not be in the public interest. (Gov. Code, § 6255; American Civil Liberties Union Foundation v. Deukmejian (1982) 32 Cal.3d 440, 452-453.) Moreover, given the breadth of your request, responsive records might include documents protected under the attorney-client privilege, attorney work product doctrine, and deliberative process privilege, and therefore exempt from a Public Record's Act disclosure under Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k). In light of the above, we have focused our search to look for non-exempt communications with reporter Adam Ashton that are in the possession of employees in our communications division, Office of Legislative Affairs, and Employment and Administrative Mandate Section, or in the possession of our Public Records Act Coordinator. We anticipate providing you with documents identified using these criteria within the next three weeks. Additionally, in light of item 6 of your request, we will provide you with a copy of the Department of Justice's document retention policy.
						On June 11, 2018, Nancy Doig sent an extension letter.

PRA-2018-01075	Heo	Elizabeth	6/1/2018	Documents served on the Attorney General's Office pursuant to California Insurance Code section 10134, et seq. from (05/01/2018 through 05/31/2018) Please contact me to arrange a time to inspect and copy these records.	missing persons in 1929-1935 period. His Wife Elizabeth or Emmogene.
PRA-2018-01097	Olfati (June 2018)	Parvin	6/1/2018	Pursuant to the California Public Records Act (PRA), I hereby request the California Department of Justice (DOJ) documents that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any DOJ communications with The Sacramento Bee or any person employed by The Sacramento Bee, including but not limited to reporter Adam Ashton, that were created, transmitted, received and/or stored on any DOJ computer or other medium on or after January 1, 2016 through and including the date of your response to this PRA request letter, and that: 1. Mention, refer to, and/or relate to Parvin Olfati, Patty Olfati, Patti Olfati and/or Ms. Olfati. 2. Mention, refer to, and or relate to any civil or administrative action to which any person named "Olfati" is a named party. 3. Mention, refer to, and/or relate to any Public Records Act (PRA) and/or Information Practices Act (IPA) request in which any person named "Olfati" is a named requestor. 4. Mention, refer to, and/or relate to any document under the name "Olfati" delivered to the Assembly Rules Committee; the Assembly Rules Committee Subcommittee on Harassment, Discrimination, Retaliation Prevention and Response; and/or any Member of the Assembly. 5. Mention, refer to, and/or relate to the State Board of Equalization, California Department of Justice, California Department of Personnel Administration, California Department of Human Resources, State Personnel Board and/or State Compensation Insurance Fund. 6. If any of the documents requested in Request Items 1, 2, 3, 4 and/or 5 have been destroyed or are otherwise unavailable, please provide the records of their destruction or unavailability, together with applicable records retention policies.	On June 25, 2018, our office sent you a letter indicating that we would provide you with non-exempt communications with reporter Adam Ashton that are in the possession of employees in our communications division, Office of Legislative Affairs, and Employment and Administrative Mandate Section, or in the possession of our Public Records Act Coordinator. Enclosed please find such records we have located that are responsive to your request. This completes our response to your June 1, 2018, request.

PRA-2018-01117	Clemmons	Marlon	6/1/2018	Request For Quote (RFQ) 17-346 Fujitsu Scanners		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</p> <p>The DOJ has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents.</p>
PRA-2018-01139	Gardner	Jeremy	6/1/2018	<p>This complaint is in response to address reply sent by your office, signed by you on the date of May 11th, 2018, where it is you had provided me with limited information. In response to my Public Record's Act request, California Government Code 6250 et. seq. you exempted information of importance sought by me.</p> <p>On the date of April 22nd 2018, I had drafted and sent a request to the Department of Justice under the "Freedom of Information Act" Title 5 § 552. You had made correction to such an error by stating that your department is none more than a "state" agency. You went further to add that my request would be better honored under the alternative of California's Public Record's Act, and then assembled your reply.</p> <p>In my request I specifically asserted my need to obtain information of a common public knowledge. I had requested records in the form of business address for correspondence purposes. The address for certain government agencies and or office. All of which located within California territory. The addresses as for items I require are as follows: A) U.S. Postal Services California's H.Q. B) California's Secretary of Corrections (Central Office); C) D.C.R. Office of Legal Affairs, D) Division of Adult Institutions. E) California's Victim Compensation Board. F) American Civil Liberties Union.</p> <p>Also articulated in my letter of request before closing I had informed the reader that should the event arise that I be denied any or all of my requested information you send with your notification a provision of instructions needed for my filing an appeal as to your decision of exempting the items requested appropriately constructed by your department. Once again you had failed in providing! There was not one bit of mention as to procedure and policy for my filing of an appeal.</p> <p>Unfortunately, now that the event had arisen in which your withholding of information requires my filing of an appeal where it is you felt it necessary to deprive me. I need contact you yet again in order I receive instruction for appealing your decision. Your withholding both the primary requested information just as well as the latter surely proves your response inadequate on more than one matter. Back to the primary subjects in request that of being corresponding business addresses for my acquire. You denied me such information on the argument that the "DOJ" does not or "is not in a position to perform legal research or to provide legal advice to" private individuals." That being the only ground for your neglect, I must return argument in dispute of your actions.</p> <p>I must continue my argument by also adding this. First and foremost as an American (United States) citizen, a "private individual" as was stated by yourself, leaves me all the more under the protection of our most highly respected and cherished United States Constitution just as well as that of the California Constitution. The California Constitution of course inferior but nonetheless significant</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>As stated in our previous response, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) The DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>In regard to your request seeking to have our office research addresses of other government agencies for you, we once again deny your request. Our office does not conduct research on behalf of private individuals.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking information regarding formally appealing our decision, we cannot provide such information as our Department does not have a written appeal process relating to Public Records Act requests.</p>

PRA-2018-01059	Junkert	Ronald	6/4/2018	<p>for its own standards presented by the state legislature and adopted by the people as of March 7th 1879, and since been amended and enforced November 4th 2018.</p> <p>Therefore, I am promised privilege by either U.S. Congress or State Legislature and Assembly, actually all of the said combined, which guarantees that I am entitled to the knowing of standard and common knowledge presentable to the consumer. As piece meal that knowledge including simple address for government offices, departments, and agencies, to further my involvement by participation which has been expected of me as an American citizen. For the furthering of my intellect and the progression of our nation.</p> <p>The items for my request were done so not by any means of threat in purpose, not by any hazard in legality, not for any reason as you have stated. If as such threat is present according to your beliefs. I had given no indications of such in my letter of request. I did not suggest of posing any threat, not legally, not physically, nor selfishly. For I gave no suggestion, in fact I gave no statement as to why I needed possess the listed item. I did not once assert my reason for acquiring the information other than the obvious potential use of one's correspondence whether it be for private or public gains. In other words, no place in my letter did I ask for legal advice nor did I show intent for hazard. I simply looked to obtain unrestricted business address of common access and availability for the average citizen. And who better to obtain it from than a representative of the organizations (government) which they all belong to. I believe your claim as for reason to withhold such information is without substantial merit.</p> <p>In conclusion to this matter I need to acquire your department's (DOJ) instruction in procedure for my filing of an appeal regarding your decision of not providing a viable work product, for not having supplied me with such request in the first place, for instruction on taking reasonable action for my dissatisfaction it too will be deserving of recognition with superior review.</p> <p>However, I will leave you with option as for remedy by still reserving you the choice to supply me with the addresses originally requested accompanied with the instructions for my filing should an unfortunate situation similar to this one happen again in the future. Thank you for your time and efforts.</p>	Completed	Robert Wilson	The Department does not have any documents responsive to your request.
PRA-2018-01077	Enriquez	Roldan	6/4/2018	<p>Can you please provide me with a copy of the letter to Tim Bero, TNW, Inc. dated 3/28/2000, signed by Randy Rossi? Also, please include any subsequent DOJ letters concerning the classification of a Browning 1919A4 and Browning M2 firearms as CA-legal semi-automatic "crew guns".</p> <p>all pertaining to financials collected on your department and names of banks were you deposits OCA 389210039 station id SID .A030388373. APP.ORI A0448 were all realty and loans committed. if you had a full records included the lenders data statistic as originations of my lenders that was not reporting to me records of all states banks lender if possible for me to compare records I had on my sba retrieved from ffec. as I am the examining all sourced with financial obligations. if you have concerned on your department you must worked according to your descriptions obligated to Roldan Enriquez .in connections</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Your request is unfocused and we were unable to determine what exactly you are seeking. You mention records of "all financials," and "realty loans" which is vague and unspecific. As a result, your request is too broad and we must decline your request for records. As you may be aware, the Attorney General is the head of the Department of Justice. There are Offices of the Attorney General in six different cities and additional offices for DOJ's law enforcement divisions. A search for records responsive to your request as it is currently cast would require each division of the DOJ (including each section, branch, unit or bureau) to be canvassed, requiring staff or each individual deputy attorney general to review every individual</p>

PRA-2018-01078	Ahola	Paul	6/4/2018	<p>to all P.O. Box 903417 Sacramento .CA 94203-4170 deposit box I instructed you to send to Roldan Enriquez at address: [REDACTED]</p> <p>pertaining to all the documents and properties on records ,mandated .original records sent to casd/social serv ccl - crcb .properties i had requested to send and delivered the documents to my current address from failed agencies and manufactured and industries .example of failed lender industries are all car manufacturer and dealers ,banks, credit union, cash checking, store, groceries, supermarkets, usps, federal officers .court of alameda were i requested to send back to my physical address in regards of court calls collected these were failed participants and terminated lenders for future transactions. specific industries of car manufacturing industry is tesla located in Freemont .car dealer in mission blvd .along Hayward California. all banks branches and store at the same area .i do not have the names right now but these individuals and staff are convicted .i designated you to get the identities and conduct fingerprints to identify the staff employees on duties as active employees .i advice you to terminates those employees .bank of america hayward branch A street ,mission blvd,bank of America from not giving my box deposits and refused to cash my checks.</p> <p>wells fargo southmall from refusal of instructed i made but illegally collect my deposits .the san francisco federal securities from not allowing me to enter to my building preventing me to transact my legal business .police officers on hayward station from illegal arrest and confiscated cdl and so on and so fort. these are happening everywhere here in where they benefitis wealth and treasures were tax concerned collected by each municipalities that were all convictions and convicted officers and government officials and agencies departments whose respons ble for my stolen money and records excluded is the lucky store near in bishop ave .i was not harassed by employees from allowing me to get what i need inside the store without proper payment on records i paid due to stolen moneys i done those unpaid items .these are only events that was not in plan to happen but if you do not act as department of justice what else is your job here? i do not allowed you participates and be accessories of rights violated i want you to serve and do your work job described according to what i want not according to your playmates. i will cut your payroll system if i found you obstructing my rights.</p> <p>instructions for the staff and employees who are receiving payroll .send my records described above as your obligations and liabilities to me as member of the public and as your source of income why you lived .send me the paid fees and tax collected from finger printing agencies and all court calls with paid fees rates on all services under your department. this lists includes app.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>assigned matter to determine if they have any "documents concerning" a specific statute. The expense and inconvenience of engaging in such a limitless search of documents would not be in the public interest. (Gov. Code, § 6255; American Civil Liberties Union Foundation v. Deukmejian (1982) 32 Cal.3d 440, 452-453.)</p> <p>Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking realty loan records, you may wish to direct your request to the financial institution that handled the loan, or the local county assessor's office or recorder's office for the county in which the property resides.</p> <p>If you can provide more specificity, we may be able to conduct a more directed search of the records that are within our custody and control. However, as it is currently cast, we must decline your request.</p>
							<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct</p>

PRA-2018-01081	Azimi	Shawheen	6/4/2018	I want every single police document, every criminal and civil court document that has that name on it, either as witness, plaintiff, or defendant. Shawheen Azimi all police documents will be from San Diego Northern Division.		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to the City of Brentwood, you may wish to direct your request to their office.</p> <p>We have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records filed with a court, you may wish to direct your request to their office.</p> <p>Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the San Diego Northern Division.</p>
PRA-2018-01082	Loera	Michael	6/4/2018	Court Records For Michael G Loera For Civil Rape Conviction All Related Court Rape Documents Related To Michael Grady Loera.		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office.</p>
PRA-2018-01083	Hobson	Christin	6/4/2018	I am looking for a current outline of open cases and active warrants for myself, Christin Hobson, in the state of Ca. Also, a full transcript of the family law case named Johnston vs Hobson at lamoreaux justice center in Orange County, ca.		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office. Since you are seeking records filed with a family law court, you may wish to direct your request to their office.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>

PRA-2018-01084	Fenner	Matthew	6/4/2018	<p>June 4, 2018 request: The number of total juvenile arrests for felony and misdemeanor crimes in the city of Fontana, California for 2008-2016, totaled by year.</p> <p>June 16, 2018 follow up: Prop 47 makes this difficult. Are you able to send me the crimes that were committed each year in a spreadsheet? I see there were 138 misd. crimes committed in Fontana in 2014. I would like a breakdown for each crime. So for example, 594 PC in a column, then how many times a juvenile offender was arrested for that crime in Fontana below it.</p>	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</p> <p>The California DOJ has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents.</p> <p>On June 28, 2018 an extension letter was sent by Jaimie Tackett, CJS.</p> <p>June 14, 2018 response: The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</p> <p>The DOJ has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents.</p> <p>June 18, 2018 follow up: Please submit any future requests to the Public Records Ombudsman who is charged with facilitating the DOJ's response to record requests, here: <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/contact/publicrecords_form">https://oag.ca.gov/contact/publicrecords_form</a>.</p> <p>For your follow-up request below, if you are looking for a breakdown of the arrests, we can provide you with the code level (a grouping of Penal Codes (PC) for statistical reporting purposes) as we do not collect the PC code for the Monthly Arrest and Citation Register. We could also provide you with a manual that lists the specific PC codes within each grouped code.</p> <p>If this is what you would like, please confirm and advise on the time frame.</p>
PRA-2018-01085	Sethi	Mukul	6/4/2018	<p>BHAKTIVEDANTA BOOK TRUST is a Trust in the state of California, 9701 Venice Blvd # 3, Los Angeles, CA 90034, USA</p> <p>Phone: +1 310-559-4455. Please provide a copy of the 1972 BHAKTIVEDANTA BOOK TRUST AGREEMENT</p> <p>May 29 1972. If you do not hold records, please direct this to relevant department or inform me the name of the department I should contact.</p>	Completed	Tania M. Ibanez, SAAG	<p>This office does not have any records for the Bhaktivedanta Book Trust as this organization is an exempt organization and does not need to register or file reports with this office. You may want to check with the California Secretary of State (SOS) as the organization was incorporated and exempt by the SOS as a religious nonprofit.</p>
PRA-2018-01086	Estrada	Francisco	6/4/2018	<p>Any and all records complaints and warrant of liability records of March 2017-current. Please inform me how to get mobile inspection</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. If the inspections you mention in your request are for mobile homes, you may wish to direct your request to the Department of Housing and Community Development.</p> <p>Information on how to contact them can be found on their website at <a href="http://www.hcd.ca.gov/">http://www.hcd.ca.gov/</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-01089	Schmidt	Collin	6/4/2018	<p>I am requesting the public records and criminal records of Rosemarie M. Geiger of Santa Ana, CA. More specifically, the criminal records that pertain to a one-year prison sentence for drug trafficking.</p> <p>I am a former business partner of Rosemarie M. Geiger and may be entering a law suit due to a break of contract and because of information that has surfaced regarding her being involved in a past drug trafficking ring. These records</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to</p>



				are solely requested for proof of past, and to be used in a court of law.							individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. To the extent that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA-2018-01090	Torchinsky	Jason	6/4/2018	I seek any and all records, existing in any format whatsoever, including, but not limited to, paper documentation, electronic documentation, written correspondence, email correspondence, records of telephone correspondence, records pertaining to in-person meetings, calendar or scheduling entries, videotapes, photographs, computer print-outs, telephone messages, or voice mail messages, etc., and consisting of correspondence in any form whatsoever and without limitation, or detailing meetings or discussions in any form whatsoever and without limitation, regarding: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Any documents, correspondence, or records related to:<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>o Braeburn Pharmaceuticals (aka Braeburn);</li><li>o Michael Derkacz;</li><li>o Apple Tree Partners;</li><li>o Seth Harrison;</li><li>o Clean Slate Recovery Centers;</li><li>o Kelly Clark;</li><li>o Probuphine; or</li><li>o Buprenorphine;</li></ul></li><li>• Any documents, correspondence, or records related to:<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>o Indivior PLC (aka Indivior);</li><li>o Shaun Thaxter; or</li><li>o Suboxone;</li></ul></li><li>• Any documents, correspondence, or records related to:<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>o Advocates for Opioid Recovery;</li><li>o Van Jones;</li><li>o Patrick Kennedy; or</li><li>o Newt Gingrich;</li></ul></li><li>• Any documents, correspondence, or records related to:<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>o American Society for Addiction Medicine (ASAM);</li><li>o Penny Mills; or</li><li>o Stuart Gitlow;</li></ul></li><li>• Any documents, correspondence, or records related to:<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>o California Society for Addiction Medicine (CSAM); or</li><li>o DavidKan;</li></ul></li><li>• Any documents, correspondence, or records related to:<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>o Coalition to Stop Opioid Overdose;</li><li>o Carol McDaid;</li><li>o Holly Strain; or</li><li>o Capitol Decisions;</li></ul></li><li>• Any documents, correspondence, or records related to Leana Wen;</li><li>• Any documents, correspondence, or records related to Andrew Kolodny;</li><li>• Any documents, correspondence, or records related to</li></ul>	Completed	John Killeen	Responsive documents are attached to this letter. As you will see, nearly all responsive, non-exempt documents were blast emails containing newsletters, invitations, and similar content Based on our interpretation of the intent of your request, we did not include documents referring to Van Jones, Newt Gingrich, or Patrick Kennedy, where the documents had nothing to do with opioids or related topics. Some otherwise responsive documents are being withheld from production. Some documents are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act to the extent they may fall within our office's investigatory files. (Gov. Code § 6254, subd. (f).) Other documents are exempt from disclosure because they are "records of complaints to ... the Attorney General and the Department of Justice." (Ibid.) Still other documents are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act because they are subject to the attorney-client privilege and/or protections against disclosure of attorney work product, the deliberative process privilege, and the official information privilege. (§ 6254, subd. (k).)  On June 14, 2018, John Killeen sent an extension letter.				

PRA-2018-01112	DeLuz	Craig	6/4/2018	Joshua Sharfstein; or • To the extent such communications or correspondence are not included in the above list ( and for the avoidance of any doubt), any communications or correspondence from any individual or entity related to Kamala Harris with any of the above entities or individuals.  I am requesting that you email to me, a copy of the proposed "Assault Weapons Definitions" regulations (See attached).	Completed	Audrey Durfor	Attached, please find the document, proposed "Assault Weapons Definitions" regulations, you requested.
PRA-2018-01135	Walczak	Ryan	6/4/2018	I would like to see if my father left or had a last Will and testament. He is my father and he has always told us four kids that he left a will for us kids but I have reason to believe my mom forged paper work and is keeping it from us kids I would just like to know to see if I have to take legal action	Completed	Erin Nickless	Your request does not identify records that are in the control or custody of the Office of the Attorney General/Department of Justice, therefore we must deny your request. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). Please note that our office is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, but seeks records regarding a probate matter. You may wish to contact the local court in which the probate matter would be heard, or contact the attorney who managed the will mentioned in your request. If you are seeking unclaimed property linked to an estate, you may wish to contact the State Controller's office.
PRA-2018-01157	Olfati (June 2018)	Parvin	6/4/2018	[A]ny and all documents, records, electronic mail messages, text messages, memoranda, and or writings, created, transmitted, stored and/or received on any California Department of Justice department, Deputy Attorney General, board, officer, employee, agent or representative (DOJ) computer or other medium on or after January 1, 2017 through and including the date of your response to this PRA/IPA request letter, that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any investigation, surveillance, monitoring and/or actions taken with regard to Parvin Olfati, Patty Olfati, Patti Olfati and/or Ms. Olfati, including but not limited to any so-called "intelligence" investigation. In addition, please produce any and all documents, records, and/or writings reflecting any destruction, alteration, removal, transfer or non-availability of any of the records requested herein, together with applicable records retention policies.	Completed	Erin Nickless	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records. To the extent that your request seeks investigative records, we must decline your request. Investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal 4th 337, 354.)
PRA-2018-01091	Valle	Brayant	6/5/2018	In Search of my personal public record. Searching for a traffic violation I was involved in 2012 I believe.	Completed	Erin Nickless	We note that your request seeks driving records. You appear to be seeking records that are in the custody of the Department of Motor Vehicles. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). Information on requesting your driver records can be found at <a href="http://www.dmv.org/ca-california/driving-records.php">http://www.dmv.org/ca-california/driving-records.php</a> . If you are seeking criminal records regarding a DUI, they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> . We hope the information we have provided is of assistance.

PRA-2018-01093	Trotta	Irene	6/5/2018	I need a copy of my marriage and divorce certificate under the name Irene Valdez to George D. Camargo I got married in April around 1992-4 can't remember.	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>.</p> <p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-01095	Sipple	Wyn	6/5/2018	Arrest and court records for Joseph Wadginski	Completed	Erin Nickless	
PRA-2018-01098	Tsyrenzhapova	Dariya	6/5/2018	<p>I am writing to request under the California Public Records Act an electronic copy of the California State Sex Offender Registry. Specifically, I am looking for record-level data for registered sex offenders who moved out of state from January 1, 2015 to present day. It is important that the data is broken down by year. I am requesting all the fields in the database, including but not limited to Name, Date of Birth, Offense, Offense Date, Conviction Date, Risk Level, Victim Age, Victim Gender, Country/State an offender moved to. If there are any fields that you must withhold by law, please let me know what those fields are. I am sure we will be able to generate a workable data format. I often deal with large raw databases, and I would be able to accept information in ascii, .csv (delimited) or .xls via an e-mail attachment, flash drive, etc.</p> <p>In addition, please include record layouts, code sheets or any other documentation necessary to interpret the data.</p>	Completed	Michael Dolidia	<p>On June 15, 2018, an extension letter was sent.</p> <p>On June 18, 2018, our office responded: Unfortunately, we must deny your PRA request for the following reasons: (1) Public access to confidential sex offender registration information is controlled by Megan's Law which, for database integrity reasons, limits such access to real time internet searches on the Megan's Law web site; and (2) Given the constantly-changing nature of the Megan Law's database, the public's interest is best served by limiting public access to confidential sex offender registration information that is accurate and up-to-date.</p> <p>Megan's Law Provides for Internet Access Only</p> <p>Most of the information provided by registering sex offenders during the registration process is confidential (i.e., not open to public inspection). (Pen. Code, § 290.021.) The same is also true for "criminal offender record information" kept in the Department of Justice's California Sex and Arson Registry ("CSAR").</p> <p>However, despite the confidential nature of this information, California provides members of the public with limited access to some of the sex offender registration information via the Megan's Law internet web site. Specifically, Penal Code section 290.46 provides that "the Department of Justice shall make available information concerning persons who are required to register pursuant to Section 290 to the public via an Internet Web site as specified in this section." (Pen. Code, § 290.46, subd. (a)(f), italics</p>

added.) The statute further requires the department to "update the Internet Web site on an ongoing basis." (Ibid.) As mentioned in the notes to this code section, the Legislature created the Megan's Law web site with the intent "that the Department of Justice [ would] continue to maximize all available resources to ensure the highest degree of accuracy in the sex registration database." (Pen. Code, § 290.46, Stats. 2004, ch 745, §. 2.) Additionally, the Legislature enacted Megan's Law with the intent that "California's infrastructure for collecting, maintaining, and disseminating information about registered sex offenders [ would] be retooled to ensure that law enforcement and the public have access to accurate, up-to-date, and relevant information about registered sex offenders." (Pen. Code, § 290.46, Stats 2006 ch. 337, § 2, subd. (c), italics added.) Thus, in order to help ensure and maintain the accuracy of this information, the Legislature limited the public dissemination of sex offender registration information to the Megan's Law web site.

Government Code section 6254 sets forth various exceptions to the disclosure requirements under the PRA. Significantly for this matter, section 6254, subdivision (k), exempts from disclosure "Records, the disclosure of which is exempted or prohibited pursuant to federal or state law, including, but not limited to, provisions of the Evidence Code relating to privilege." (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k), italics added.) Thus, the PRA cannot extend the public's right of access to sex offender registration information beyond the limitations and restrictions set forth in Megan's Law. Consequently, the public's right of access to this information is limited to accessing the Megan's Law database.

The Public Interest is Best Served by Limiting Access to Current and Accurate Information.

In your request, you state you are looking for an electronic copy of "record-level data" regarding registered sex offenders that have moved out of the state starting on January 1, 2015 to present. You further request the Department to provide the name, date of birth, offense, offense date, date of conviction, risk level, victim age and gender, and the country or state the offender moved to. Under Government Code section 6255, a government agency may withhold disclosure of a public record "by demonstrating ... that on the facts of the particular case the public interest served by not disclosing the record clearly outweighs the public interest served by disclosure of the record." (Gov. Code, §6255, subd. (a).) As a separate legal basis, we believe the circumstances in this case necessitate nondisclosure of the records that you seek from us. The information that appears on the Megan's Law web site is processed through a thirdparty vendor. The purpose of the web site is to provide members of the public access to the most current sex offender registrant data. Thus, the site, which is updated daily to reflect any new additions, deletions, and modifications to the registrant database, stores and displays only the current day's data.

The Offender Registration Application Unit (ORAU) is the internal unit within the Department of Justice that provides technology support and maintenance for CSAR, the State's repository for sex and arson registration information. Every day, ORAU creates a file which contains a snapshot of the registration data for that day. Our vendor then downloads that file from our site and stores the file on the hard drive of the server that creates the files used for the web site. Specifically, our vendor runs a program that takes that day's data and completely recreates the data files that are used by the web servers to provide the data to the public. Our vendor does not employ any database for this process, only temporary ISAM files. No transaction or other record keeping measures are utilized. The previous day's data on the web servers is overwritten with the new data. The data is also overwritten on the computer where these daily

PRA-2018-01099	Thomas	Darwin	6/5/2018	I'm requesting trial transcripts for my brother Kevin Demarcious Thomas Birth date [REDACTED], Arrest Date 2-20-1995, Appearance Date 05-28-1996, Complaint Filed 05-28-1996, Counts PC 211, Superior Court No. GA028614, Superior Court Of Los Angeles Pasadena Judicial District, BA108977-01, GA-022428-03	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>data files are created.</p> <p>On our end, ORAU keeps a backup for seven days of the extract file that is sent to our vendor. However, the information on the backup files is not a mirror image of what appears on the public web site because it contains information that is excluded from public view during our vendor's processing of the information. Additionally, even if our vendor reprocessed the information from one of the backup files, we could not ensure the accuracy of all the information because of the ever-changing nature of the registration database. In other words, because the registration database changes on a daily basis (i.e., newly added registrants, newly removed registrants, and changes to registrant's data) even a delay of one day would result in a report that contained inaccurate data. Such results do not comply with the Legislature's stated intent "that the Department of Justice... ensure the highest degree of accuracy in the sex registration database" (Pen. Code, § 290.46, Stats 2004 ch 745, § 2) and "ensure that ... the public have access to accurate, up-to-date, and relevant information about registered sex offenders" (Pen. Code, § 290.46, Stats 2006 ch 337, § 2, subd. (c)). While we understand and appreciate that you are not concerned with the ongoing accuracy of the information provided, the law requires us as discussed ante to provide the public updated and accurate information on an ongoing basis. Due to the constantly changing nature of the information in the registry, a "snapshot" view of the information for any given day of the Megan's Law web site would almost certainly be obsolete the following day. In other words, the day-old "snapshot" would contain some information that should have been removed, and it would be missing other information that should have been added. Given the sensitive nature of the information at issue, we cannot allow such inaccurate records to exist. The problem is further compounded by the fact that if we provide a "snapshot" for one member of the public we have to provide a "snapshot" to every member of the public that requests it. In doing so, we would be creating a situation wherein an untold number inaccurate "snapshots" of the database would exist.</p> <p>Furthermore, given the highly sensitive nature of sex offender registration information, providing the public with inaccurate information could cause real public safety concerns. For instance, if registration information is disseminated about a person who is no longer required to register or be posted on the web site, that person may be subjected to undue harassment or even danger. Additionally, if a registrant moves, the person who occupies the registrant's old address may be mistakenly harassed or injured. And, as every day goes by, the obsolescence of the report and the inaccuracies contained within it continue to grow.</p> <p>Finally, we receive a multitude of similar requests from members of the public, especially broadcast and print media outlets. Depending on the volume and requested frequency (i.e., daily, weekly, monthly) of such PRA requests if we were required to produce sex offender registration database information, the additional time and work required for responding to the requests could have a dramatic impact on our ability to successfully perform the core functions of CSAR, which in turn could affect public safety.</p> <p>We have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the</p>
----------------	--------	--------	----------	---	-----------	---------------	--

PRA-2018-01100	Morgan Jr.	James	6/5/2018	I need my divorce month, date and year. From 30 years ago.		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records filed with Los Angeles Superior Court, you may wish to direct your request to their office.</p> <p>The Public Records Coordinator called Mr. Morgan to let him know that divorce records are not maintained by DOJ, and suggested he try the family law court of office of vital records.</p>
PRA-2018-01171	Munsey	Linda	6/5/2018	Can someone tell me how to request California SDI records for another person? I work for a law firm in Utah. We are defending a physician in a medical malpractice claim and I would like to find the form to request records for the person at issue in the lawsuit.	CAMPBELL, HOUSER, FERRENCE & HALL	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Your request does not identify records that are in the control or custody of the Office of the Attorney General/Department of Justice. The Department of Justice cannot produce records that are responsive to your request.</p> <p>We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, but seeks records regarding disability claims. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c).</p> <p>If you wish to review records that are in custody or control of another government agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the Employment Development Department. Information on submitting requests regarding disability to their office can be found at <a href="http://www.edd.ca.gov/disability/How_to_File_a_DI_Claim_in_SDI_online.htm">http://www.edd.ca.gov/disability/How_to_File_a_DI_Claim_in_SDI_online.htm</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-01172	Zinnerman	Vasco	6/5/2018	Any information you could provide me with regarding the 3 Strikes Law of 2018 Initiative# 17-0046 also known as The People's Fair Sentencing and Public Safety Act of 2018.		Completed	Anabel Renteria	<p>A copy of Initiative 17-0046, "The People's Fair Sentencing and Public Safety Act of 2018" is enclosed for your records. This completes our response to your request.</p>
PRA-2018-01101	Bennett	Brian	6/6/2018	I'm looking for any documents regarding a 1958 trial of the National Wrestling Alliance (NWA). The NWA was accused of monopolizing the professional wrestling industry. I am interested in any and all official statements made by either side. I apologize for being so vague, I don't know anything about the court system or how any of this works. Thank you!		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Our research into the matter shows that this case may have been handled by the United States Department of Justice, rather than the California Department of Justice.</p> <p>We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office. If the case you are looking for is the same as the case mentioned at <a href="http://wrestlingperspective.com/consentdecree.html">http://wrestlingperspective.com/consentdecree.html</a>, then the records you are seeking are not records of the California Department of Justice. You may be able to obtain such records through the United States Department of Justice, or the United States District Court for the Southern District of Iowa, Central Division.</p>
PRA-2018-01102	Horn	Joseph	6/6/2018	Divorce decree between Stacy Ann McIntyre and myself. Her last name was Horn at the time. It was completed in 2006 at Fort Irwin, CA. Both Stacy and myself are/were CA residents at the time I am in the military and I have to have these records in order to do a VA Claim. If any further information is needed to help this process and get the divorce decree, please email me and I will get it to you ASAP. Thank you.		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>.</p>

PRA-2018-01103	McDonnell	Steven	6/6/2018	<p>CHP investigation concerning Cal Fire Employee Timothy L. Edgmon, whom was investigated for an incident (Breach of public trust) taking place in April of 2014 and terminated January 21st of 2015. The case involved sexual misbehavior, lying to CHP investigators and violations of several Cal Fire policies and lead to his termination. Mr. Edmond is undergoing a investigation for suitability as a civil service employee.</p>	Completed	Chris Knudsen	<p>Although your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, it seeks records from other state departments and agencies. The California Highway Patrol conducted the investigation. Moreover, the Department of Justice did not represent the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection before the State Personnel Board. Accordingly, the Department is responding on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other state agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of the California Highway Patrol, State Personnel Board, California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection, or another state agency or constitutional officer, we suggest that you direct your request to them. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in the law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954 which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client, are expressly incorporated into the Public Records Act.</p> <p>(Gov. Code § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 1111, 363.) Similarly, the attorney work product exception protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc. section 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is also incorporated into the Public Records Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.)</p> <p>Accordingly, records such as confidential analyses, draft language and memoranda prepared by the attorneys employed with the Attorney General's Office are subject to the work product exception and are consequently exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.</p> <p>Similarly, documents provided to us in confidence by our client agencies are protected by the attorney-client privilege. The Department of Justice represents both the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection and the California Highway Patrol in the litigation filed by Mr. Edgmon.</p> <p>The litigation between Mr. Edgmon and the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection and California Highway Patrol remains ongoing. Agencies may withhold the disclosure of records pertaining to pending litigation to which the public agency is a party until the pending litigation has been finally adjudicated or otherwise settled. (Gov. Code, § 6254 subd. (b).)</p> <p>We are also not producing records that are confidential and protected by the right of privacy. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (c).) Government Code Section 6254, subdivision (c) makes exempt personnel, medical, or similar files the disclosure of which would cause an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. We cannot produce documents that were provided to us in confidence and relate to private matters. For that reason, we are only producing responsive documents that are a matter of public record such as documents filed with the court.</p> <p>Enclosed please find copies of the non-privileged, discloseable records responsive to your request.</p> <p>On June 18, Chris Knudsen sent an extension letter.</p>
PRA-2018-01105	Clenney-Martinez	Carla	6/6/2018	<p>I need a certified copy of CASE #99AS02793, an OAG case against Fidelity National Financial, Chicago Title, etc. I do not have the dates but one of the attorneys listed is Christina V. Tuson, 300 S. Spring St, LA CA, 90013</p>	Completed	Amos Hartston	<p>Enclosed is a copy of the October 2002 Final Judgment in The People of the State of California, et al. v. Fidelity National Title Insurance Company, et al., Case No. 99AS02793, Superior Court of California, County of Sacramento. Our Office is not able to provide a certified copy.</p> <p>We hope the information we are able to provide is of assistance to you.</p>

PRA-2018-01106	Mercer	Tracey	6/6/2018	I am looking for court records and documents related an attempted kidnapping. The arrest was May 7, 1959 and the court appearance was May 8, 1959 at 9:30 am at the Citrus Municipal Court. He received a sentence of 6 mos for this, and lesser charges of battery. I am not sure if he ever spent any time in jail though. The suspect was my estranged father - Gordon Theodore Hewell (aka Gordon Ted Hewell, Gordon T. Hewell, Gordon Leslie Hewell), who is now deceased. After his arrest for the 1959 kidnapping attempt, he also confessed to the killing of 2 El Segundo Police officers, terrorizing a group of teens, and raping one of those girls in 1957. I would like all records related to his confession as well. Gordon Hewell is my estranged father (now deceased), listed on my birth certificate. I was born December 19, 1968 in Bellflower, California as Tracey Lee Hewell (female). My mother was listed as Donna Hewell (maiden name Despartido).	Erin Nickless	Completed	We have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records filed with Citrus Municipal Court, you may wish to direct your request to their office.
PRA-2018-01179	B.	Kirsten	6/6/2018	Requesting information on the conviction that resulted in his incarceration on 01/15/2015. I would like to obtain the criminal record of Tyrone Douglas Brand (date of birth [REDACTED]). I am one of his victims, however I am not the victim who was involved in his most recent incarceration. I would like information on his criminal history because he has made threats against me in the past, and has threatened to harm me and my family before he was incarcerated. I don't have his case number, but I do have his CDCR number: AV6148. I'd like to know what he was charged with, what his sentence is, and when he is expected to be released on parole or probation. This information is pertinent to me because since he has threatened to harm me in the past, I would like to know when he is released back into the public.	Erin Nickless	Completed	To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> . If you are seeking information regarding victim rights and services, you can contact our Victims' Services unit. More information about Marsy's Law for victims can be found on our website at <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/victimservices/content/bill_of_rights">https://oag.ca.gov/victimservices/content/bill_of_rights</a> .
PRA-2018-01187	Lucas	Carlos	6/6/2018	I am Lucas Carlos, I need a copy of my probation report, case # BA358376.	Philip J. Lindsay	Completed	We must decline your request. California Civil Code section 1798 et seq. provides for the protection of personal information in the possession of governmental and other agencies. The document that you seek is a confidential probation officer's report. The confidential report contains criminal offender information which is protected pursuant to California Penal Code sections 11081, 11105 et seq., 11125, 11141, and 13302. These statutes generally provide that a member of the California Department of Justice or local criminal justice agency may not furnish a summary criminal history information unless specifically authorized. Unauthorized release is a misdemeanor. Cal. Penal Code §§ 11141, 13302. The report also contains victim/witness information. This information is also protected under California law. See, e.g., Cal. Penal Code §§ 841.5, 1054.2. Should you wish to obtain a confidential probation report, you may attempt to contact either the probation department or a CDCR counselor to request such report.
PRA-2018-01200	Maass	Dave	6/6/2018	1) The names of agencies and organizations with which the Agency shares ALPR data; 2) The names of agencies and organizations from which the Agency receives ALPR data; 3) The names of agencies and organizations with which the	Robert Wilson	Completed	Please find enclosed the information from the Bureau of Firearms that is responsive to your request.  On June 18, 2018, Robert Wilson sent an extension letter.



				<p>Agency shares "hot list" information;</p> <p>4) The names of agencies and organizations from which the Agency receives "hot list" information;</p> <p>This information is easily available within the Agency's LEARN system. The simplest way to extract this data is to generate an "Agency Data Sharing Report" PDF file from within LEARN. To do this, a user may simply go to the "Sharing" section of LEARN and select "Output Report." A CSV/XLS file containing these records would also satisfy this request.</p> <p>The instructions for extracting this data is described on pages 62-63 of the LEARN Agency Manager Guide, which may be found at this link: <a href="https://www.documentcloud.org/documents/3860685-LEARN-5-1-Agency-Manager-Guide.html">https://www.documentcloud.org/documents/3860685-LEARN-5-1-Agency-Manager-Guide.html</a></p> <p>An example of this record may be found at this link: <a href="https://www.documentcloud.org/documents/3936113-Palos-Verdes-Estates-Police-Department-Data.html">https://www.documentcloud.org/documents/3936113-Palos-Verdes-Estates-Police-Department-Data.html</a></p> <p>A number of other agencies have regularly determined the above information constitutes a public record and have provided an "Agency Data Sharing Report." These agencies include:</p> <p>Anaheim Police Department, Antioch Police Department, Bakersfield Police Department, Chino Police Department, Clovis Police Department, Elk Grove Police Department, Fontana Police Department, Fountain Valley Police Department, Glendora Police Department, Hawthorne Police Department, Irvine Police Department, Livermore Police Department, Lodi Police Department, Long Beach Police Department, Montebello Police Department, Orange Police Department, Palos Verdes Estates Police Department, Red Bluff Police Department, Sacramento Police Department, San Bernardino Police Department, San Diego Police Department, San Rafael Police Department, San Ramon Police Department, Simi Valley Police Department, and the Tulare Police Department.</p> <p>We further request the following records</p> <p>The aggregate number of "detections" (i.e. license plate scans and associated data) collected during 2016.</p> <p>The aggregate number of detections collected during 2017.</p> <p>The aggregate number of "hits" (i.e. times that a plate on a hotlist was detected) during 2016.</p> <p>The aggregate number of "hits" during 2017.</p> <p>This information is easily available within the Agency's LEARN system. The simplest way to extract this data is to generate a "Dashboard Hit Ratio Report" PDF file from within LEARN. We would prefer the data for each year to be provided separately.</p> <p>An example of this document may be found at: <a href="https://www.documentcloud.org/documents/3870802-Sacramento-PD-Hit-Ratio-Rpt-010112-051917-Redacted.html">https://www.documentcloud.org/documents/3870802-Sacramento-PD-Hit-Ratio-Rpt-010112-051917-Redacted.html</a></p> <p>The instructions for extracting this data is described on pages 78-79 of the LEARN Agency Manager Guide, which may be found at this link: <a href="https://www.documentcloud.org/documents/3860685-LEARN-5-1-Agency-Manager-Guide.html">https://www.documentcloud.org/documents/3860685-LEARN-5-1-Agency-Manager-Guide.html</a></p> <p>The following agencies have regularly determined the above information constitutes a public record and have provided an "Dashboard Hit Ratio Report." These agencies include: Anaheim Police Department; Bakersfield Police Department; Chino Police Department; Clovis Police</p>
--	--	--	--	--

PRA-2018-01107	Prera	Kenia	6/7/2018	Department; Elk Grove Police Department; Fontana Police Department; Irvine Police Department; La Habra Police Department; Laguna Beach Police Department; Lodi Police Department; Sacramento Police Department; San Diego Police Department; San Ramon Police Department; and the Red Bluff Police Department.  All, please.	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We were unable to conduct a search of our records based on the information provided, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records within the care and custody of our office.</p> <p>In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Providing the actual name or title of the record.</li> <li>-Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record.</li> <li>-Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record.</li> <li>-Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created.</li> <li>-If related to a case, providing the case name or number.</li> <li>-Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record.</li> <li>-Indicating the city in which the record was created.</li> </ul> <p>If you can provide more information regarding the records you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records that are within our custody and control.</p>
PRA-2018-01108	Johnson	Sidra	6/7/2018	Year 1991 CA vs Comerlis Delaney Jr. I am requesting records regarding Statement of facts and court ruling	Completed	David Baskind	<p>Two emails, dated June 8, 2018:</p> <p>1) Our records indicate that the Court of Appeal issue an opinion on October 7, 1991. That opinion should contain a Statement of Facts as well as the court's disposition. I will request our file from storage. However, we do not generally keep files for more than 20 years. So, it is very likely the record has been destroyed. I will contact you again when I receive the file or learn that it has been destroyed.</p> <p>2) As a follow-up to my previous email, I am sorry to inform you that our file was destroyed in 2012. Therefore, I cannot provide any substantive response to your request.</p> <p>You may wish to contact the First District Court of Appeal to see if they can provide you with a copy of their opinion.</p>
PRA-2018-01109	Hopp	Richard	6/7/2018	1. Under Cal. Gov't Code, § 6253.9 an electronic copy of the Court of Appeal, Fourth titled Gamestop, Inc. v. The Superior Court; The People, Case No. E068701 document filed on 06/05/2018 Filed additional cites for oral argument. Riverside County District Attorney	Completed	Amos Hartston	<p>We interpret your request to be for a document filed on June 5, 2018, by the Riverside County District Attorney in the matter Gamestop, Inc. v. The Superior Court, Case No. E068701, pending in the California Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District Division Two. We are not in possession of this document, and, therefore, we are not able to provide you with a copy. We respectfully suggest that you seek a copy of this document from the public files of the Court of Appeal where the case is pending or from the Riverside County District Attorney's Office.</p>
PRA-2018-01110	Wieg	Christina	6/7/2018	Requesting any settlement agreement re Proposition 65, AG Number 1998-00136, date filed 6/25/1998, Noticing party - Gregory Lee, Esq., Plaintiff Attorney - Law Office of Edward H. Cross, Alleged Violators - Arizona Natural Resources, Inc., Aromaticque, Inc., Banana Republic, Inc., Boston Warehouse Trading Corp., Candle Corp. of America, Candle Light Company, Candle Lite, etc. Time sensitive -Requesting information as soon as possible.	Completed	Harrison M. Pollak	<p>We have not located any records responsive to your request. Ordinarily our document retention period is seven years. The Prop. 65 Clearinghouse, a private organization, might have a copy of what you're looking for.</p>
PRA-2018-01113	Zamora	Caesar	6/7/2018	AIMS 14V2D08526 I'm a deaf person requesting my case record	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the</p>

PRA-2018-01114	Damien	Christopher	6/7/2018	I request "Death in Custody & Arrest-Related Deaths" data for Riverside County, Los Angeles County, San Diego County, San Bernardino County, and Imperial County from 2016 to the present. An example of this data can be found at Open Justice: <a href="https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/data">https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/data</a> . I am a reporter for The Desert Sun, a newspaper in Palm Springs, Ca. This data will be used for citation in a story I am producing for public transparency into in-custody deaths. I am willing to receive a partial distribution and I am willing to receive data as it is available.			Completed	Jaimie Tackett	The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. The DOJ has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents.	custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office.
PRA-2018-01116	Matsumoto	Takeshi	6/7/2018	Please sent me a copy of annual compliance report from Pacifica, Aspen, Northstar, Keiro, and CAB for the "Conditions for the Sale..."			Completed	Scott Chan	Enclosed is a CD containing the annual report for Keiro for 2018.	
PRA-2018-01122	Yaffee	Marilynn	6/7/2018	I am a bit confused as I was told if the CHP interacted with my son on this event that this was were i would request those records/incident report? Is there a better place to request from CHP? Sacramento Sheriff's office has been very difficult to work with as has the Sacramento police department. I was reviewing the California public records laws and if i understand it right anyone can request records. whether you are able to receive all you ask for will then be determined and you should get a response in 10 days and the records must be in their original for even if parts may be redacted. Sacramento police refused to let me request records and the sheriff's office has been rude, baiting, and have told me they were only following the directions of a detective Girdlestone who by doing this appears to be violating the public records law. I have contacted internal affairs of the sheriff's office but they to seem to be ignoring me. it makes me all the more concerned the content of these records as my request is somewhat straightforward. thank you for any help or suggestions. Marilyn Yaffee			Completed	Erin Nickless	Your below request was submitted to the California Department of Justice, but seeks reports generated by the California Highway Patrol (CHP). You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) We suggest that you direct your request to the California Highway Patrol. Information on how to contact their office can be found on their website at <a href="https://www.chp.ca.gov/home/contact-us">https://www.chp.ca.gov/home/contact-us</a> .	
PRA-2018-01186	Patterson	Vester	6/7/2018	1. U.S. District Court Order to Show Cause issued to the Attorney General in both cases Patterson v. Sherman, No.15-cv-00053-MJS, and Patterson v. Martinez, No.16-cv-01215-SAB.			Completed	Philip J. Lindsay	In response to your request, I have enclosed a copy of the following documents: 1. Order dated January 14, 2015, in Vester Lee Patterson v. Stu Sherman, United States District Court for the Eastern District of California, case number 15-cv-00053-MJS (3 pages). 2. Order dated August 30, 2016 (3 pages). Order dated September 30, 2016 (2 pages), and Order dated October 12, 2016 (2 pages), in Vester L. Patterson v. Joel Martinez, United States District Court for the Eastern District of California, case number 6-cv-01215-SAB. In accordance with the California Public Records Act, the Attorney General's Office charges \$.10 per page for duplication of public records. However, because you requested documents from two cases in which you were a party, I have waived the duplication fee as a courtesy.	
PRA-2018-01115	Moore	Lisa	6/8/2018	Divorce Documents for John Leroy Bartsch and Lisa Ann Knight, my last name is now Moore. I need this in order to update my Drivers License # [REDACTED] dob [REDACTED]			Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior	

																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																					</
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	----

				<p>2015, 2016, 2017.</p> <p>-- The NAME and LOCATION of each firearms dealer inspected for each year 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017, as well as the DATE of each inspection, the DATE of any followup inspections, whether the initial or followup inspections found any VIOLATIONS, and if so, what TYPES OF VIOLATIONS, what TYPES OF CORRECTION ACTIONS were recommended, and whether the dealer completed the recommended CORRECTION ACTIONS.</p> <p>-- The PERCENTAGE of firearms dealers inspected for each 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, and 2017.</p>			<p>2014 6 471</p> <p>2015 7 341</p> <p>2016 7 196</p> <p>2017 5 185</p> <p>In regards to your third and fourth requests, the Department declines to provide this information. Under the PRA, government records are open and subject to inspection by, and disclosure to, the public unless they are "exempt from disclosure by express provisions of law." (Gov. Code, § 6253, subd. (b).) The PRA specifically exempts certain types of documents from public disclosure, including those described in Government Code sections 6254 and 6255. In addition, Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k) incorporates confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, and makes those privileged documents exempt from the disclosure requirements of the PRA.</p> <p>California law requires the Department to maintain a centralized list of all state-licensed firearm dealers. (Pen. Code, § 26715.) Information about these dealers may only be released to specified persons for specified purposes: (1) to law enforcement for investigatory reasons, (2) for the determination of license status for the shipment/receipt of firearms, and (3) to determine license status for participation in gun shows. (Ibid.) Even when the Department receives a request for dealer information from an authorized party for a lawful purpose, we may disclose no more information than is necessary to corroborate an individual's current license status. (Pen. Code, § 26715, subd. (c).) The percentages you are seeking in your fourth request would have to be compiled from this list so the Department declines to provide that information.</p> <p>Because of these extremely strict and specific limitations on the release of dealer information, it is "inconceivable that the general terms of the Public Records Act were intended to render them void. [citation]" (See <i>Younger v. Berkeley City Council</i> (1975) 45 Cal.App.3d 825, 832.) Furthermore, Penal Code section 26725 outlines the only publically available information concerning dealers and dealer inspections. We therefore must decline to comply with your request.</p>			
PRA-2018-01121	Deni	Joseph	6/8/2018	<p>Defendant: Margaret Moore (aka Peggy King) - age 25</p> <p>Conviction 2nd Degree Murder - 1955</p> <p>Sentence: 5 years to life</p> <p>Victim: Katie Hayden - age 71</p> <p>Husband of victim: Samuel Hayden</p> <p>Location: [REDACTED]</p> <p>Please provide arrest and conviction records for this case. Please provide information on prison location and release date.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p> <p>Since you are seeking to ascertain the location of an incarcerated individual, you would need to go through the county Sheriff's Department if they are in a local jail, or the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation (CDCR) if they are in a state prison. Information on locating inmates within the CDCR system can be found at <a href="http://inmatelocator.cdcr.ca.gov/">http://inmatelocator.cdcr.ca.gov/</a>.</p>			
PRA-2018-01124	Sterling (CBS)	Abigail	6/8/2018	<p>Number of gun owners that have applied to register their bullet button assault weapons, including dates that they applied and status of their application.</p> <p>June 19, 2018 follow up: Hi Jennifer: It's been two weeks now since I sent in this request. Legally your department is</p>	Completed	Robert Wilson	<p>There have been 27,579 applications for assault weapon registration from August 3, 2017 through June 11, 2018. Within that time period, 13,211 applications have been approved, 1,252</p>			

PRA-2018-01158	Morgan	Chelsie	6/8/2018	<p>required to send some kind of response. I would like to know how many bullet button gun owners have registered their guns. I also need to know if folks who have started but not completed the registration process by the 30th of June will be considered in or out of compliance. If I don't hear back I shall be forced to report that the AGs office would not respond. I hope you understand and appreciate that I am just doing my due diligence</p> <p>1) Team G Capital's and/or AGIII's petition for approval;  2) All written disclosure statements;  3) The Purchase and Sale Agreement which was executed in June 6, 2000 in favor of AGIII and the first, Second, and Third Amendments thereto which were all executed in 2009;  4) All Testamentary Agreements executed by the Dunlaps in favor of AGIII and/or Team G Capital;  5) All acknowledgments signed by the Dunlaps and their heirs;  6) The annuity contract with New Hampshire Insurance Company;  7) All assignments of any rights under the Purchase and Sale Agreement mentioned above, or any amendments thereto, from AGIII to Team G Capital;  8) The settlement agreement between Jay D. Dunlap and New Hampshire Insurance Company dated May 19, 1988;  9) The Court order approving the structured settlement between Jay D. Dunlap and New Hampshire Insurance Company;  10) A copy of proof of notice to the interested parties;  11) A verified statement from Team G Capital and/or AGIII that it complied with the Insurance Code; and  12) All other documents relating to the transfer of structured settlement payment rights from Jay D. Dunlap to Team G Capital and/or AGIII.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We have searched our legal indices and found no records based on the information provided. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records within the care and custody of our office.</p> <p>In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows:  -Providing the actual name or title of the record.  -Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record.  -Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record.  -Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created.  -If related to a case, providing the case name and number.  -Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record.  -Indicating the city in which the record was created.</p> <p>If you can provide more information regarding the records you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records that are within our custody and control. Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. The DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p>	<p>applications have been rejected, 849 applications were incomplete, and 12,267 are in progress.</p>
PRA-2018-01123	Sobati	Ramin	6/11/2018	<p>Request for dash cam and body cam footage of officer Neuner, badge #20832 and Officer Decker, badge #19376 of CHP. This is regard of encroachment of 4th amendment and harassment. Case number HM36476 and case number HM36715, both citations issued at approximately 3:11 and 3:25 Pm on June 6, 2018. Request for dash cam and body cam footage to corroborate my claims and personally gathered evidence to disputed these claims and take to trial.</p> <p>These officers were in clear violation of protocol and request for dash cam footage that shows the events prior to being pulled over were hostile driving tactics by officer neuner to entrap and harass.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>In regard to your request for dispatch records, we must deny your request as you appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Dispatch records are maintained by the local department that dispatched the emergency responder.</p> <p>Since the records you are seeking are from the California Highway Patrol, you would need to direct your request to that agency. Information on how to contact their office can be found on their website at <a href="https://www.chp.ca.gov/home/contact-us">https://www.chp.ca.gov/home/contact-us</a>.</p>	<p>In regard to your request for dispatch records, we must deny your request as you appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Dispatch records are maintained by the local department that dispatched the emergency responder.</p> <p>Since the records you are seeking are from the California Highway Patrol, you would need to direct your request to that agency. Information on how to contact their office can be found on their website at <a href="https://www.chp.ca.gov/home/contact-us">https://www.chp.ca.gov/home/contact-us</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-01125	Ordiway	Sam	6/11/2018	<p>BRN accusation number 2018-840. Formal accusation filed against RN licensee Marissa Arcilla Tan. I would like any/all records available regarding this complaint/accusation against Marissa Tan.</p>	Completed	Shannon M. Brubaker	<p>In response to your request, I have enclosed a copy of the Accusation filed against Marissa Arcilla Tan. We decline to provide responsive records in our possession that are exempt from disclosure under the following exemptions:  Pending Litigation Exemption: Records related to pending litigation involving the party you identified in your request and the Board of Registered Nursing are exempt from disclosure. Agencies may withhold the disclosure of records pertaining to pending litigation to which the public agency is a party until the pending litigation has been finally adjudicated or otherwise settled. (Board of Trustees of the California State University v. Superior Court (2005) 132 Cal.App.4th 889; Gov. Code § 6254 subd. (b).)</p>	<p>In response to your request, I have enclosed a copy of the Accusation filed against Marissa Arcilla Tan. We decline to provide responsive records in our possession that are exempt from disclosure under the following exemptions:  Pending Litigation Exemption: Records related to pending litigation involving the party you identified in your request and the Board of Registered Nursing are exempt from disclosure. Agencies may withhold the disclosure of records pertaining to pending litigation to which the public agency is a party until the pending litigation has been finally adjudicated or otherwise settled. (Board of Trustees of the California State University v. Superior Court (2005) 132 Cal.App.4th 889; Gov. Code § 6254 subd. (b).)</p>

PRA-2018-01126	Klein	Christine	6/11/2018	1) The name(s), business address, and business e-mail address(es) of all sex offender registration and/or community notification officers/deputies/coordinator(s) for each city/county in the State of California.	Completed	Michael Dolida	Investigative Records Exemption: In addition, some of the records you seek are exempt from disclosure under Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f), which expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files compiled by the state for correctional, law enforcement; or licensing purposes. (See, Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Such investigative records remain exempt even after an investigation is closed. (Id. at p. 355)  Attorney-Client Privilege: Some of the records that you are seeking are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney-client privilege. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954 which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client, are expressly incorporated into the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363.)  In the present case, the attorneys in our department provide legal advice to the Executive Officer of the Board of Registered Nursing and his designees. Accordingly, all communications between the Executive Officer of the Board of Registered Nursing or his designees and the attorneys employed with the Office of the Attorney General concerning Agency Case No. 2018-840, In the Matter of the Accusation Against Marissa Arcilla Tan, are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client privilege, and are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. Attorney Work Product: The attorney work product exception protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research, or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc. section 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is incorporated into the Public Records Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code, section 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.)  Records such as confidential analyses, draft language and memoranda prepared by the attorneys employed with the Attorney General's Office are subject to the work product exception and are consequently exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. Privilege Log Not Required: Under the Public Records Act, government agencies are not obligated to provide a privilege log or list of every record withheld along with a statutory justification for withholding. (Haynie v Superior Court (2001) 26 Cal.4th 1061, 1074. Nor is the agency to describe each of the documents falling within the statutory exemption. Providing such a log would be unduly time-consuming and burdensome under the public interest balancing test. (Id. at 1074-75; Gov. Code § 6255.)
PRA-2018-01127	Sponem	Mason	6/11/2018	Please produce all consumer complaints regarding ENGIE Resources LLC. Relevant complaints are limited to those relating to calls from said company to the consumers, received on or after January 1, 2016 through present. If possible, please produce the records electronically, via email to [REDACTED]	Completed	Erin Nickless	We are able to partially comply with your request. Enclosed please find an agency listing, sorted by county, listing the name of the agency, address, and agency contact phone number. We are however, unable to provide email addresses and names of officers/deputies/coordinator for each agency as we do not possess that information. I recommend contacting the actual agency to find out who the designated sex registration staff is at that facility.  To the extent that your request seeks consumer complaint records or investigative files, we must decline your request. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)





							communicant), please let me know, and we can search for such records. I would be happy to speak by phone if that would help to address any concerns or questions. June 22, 2018 Clarification: We seek to clarify your request. In particular, it would help to understand (1) what is meant by "state budget assistance request," (2) whether the "state committee relative to homelessness" refers to the Select Committee on Homelessness of the California State Assembly, and (3) whether you intend to request communications between the Select Committee on Homelessness (or any committee member) and the DOJ. Please feel free to respond to me directly at the phone number or email address listed above. Without some clarification, we cannot conduct a reasonable search of our records or produce responsive documents. August 6, 2018 response: On June 21, 2018, we sent a letter seeking to clarify your request, and we engaged in several follow-up discussions via e-mails dated July 12, 2018, and July 19, 2018. In those e-mails, you suggested that you are interested in communications involving the California Legislature and a particular city. We asked twice to identify that city, but you did not respond with that information. Accordingly, without the benefit of that clarifying information, we construe your request to refer to communications involving the Select Committee on Homelessness of the California State Assembly regarding state budget assistance requests. After conducting a reasonable search, we have found no records responsive to your request. As I suggested in my email of July 12, you might consider directing your request to the Select Committee on Homelessness or the government of the particular city you have in mind.
PRA-2018-01173	Jackson	Keith	6/11/2018	A copy of Initiative 17-0046, "The People's Fair Sentencing and Public Safety Act of 2018."	Completed	Anabel Renteria	A copy is enclosed. Additionally, you sought information regarding status of this initiative. This initiative is currently in circulation and has not yet qualified for ballot. This completes our response to your request.
PRA-2018-01184	Sponem	Mason	6/11/2018	Please produce all consumer complaints regarding MCE (Marin Clean Energy). Relevant complaints are limited to those relating to calls from said company to the consumers, received on or after January 1, 2016 through present.	Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that your request seeks consumer complaint records or investigative files, we must decline your request. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)
PRA-2018-01190	Delgado	Sabrina	6/11/2018	Probate case information and minute orders 17CEPR01270. It's my case	Completed	Erin Nickless	Your request does not identify records that are in the control or custody of the Office of the Attorney General/Department of Justice, therefore we must deny your request. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). Please note that our office is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, but seeks records regarding a probate matter. You may wish to contact the local court in which the probate matter would be heard. If you are seeking unclaimed property linked to an estate, you may wish to contact the State Controller's office.
PRA-2018-01191	Moore	Jeannette	6/11/2018	My Uncle, Shelton Leo Morey, was incarcerated approximately 1935-1940. He was born [REDACTED]. We were told he was incarcerated in San Quentin for 5 years for car theft. I am interested in copies of his records for family history purposes.	Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary

PRA-2018-01192	Sobati	Ramin	6/11/2018	Request for dash cam footage of Officer Neuner on June 6th, 2018 from 3-4 PM. Request for investigative notes and/or any writings or dispatch recordings/calls for case numbers HM36476 and HM36715 cited by officer Neuner and Officer Decker during time frame previously requested.	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p> <p>If you wish to review records that are in custody or control of another government agency, such as his prison records, you may wish to direct your request to the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation. Information on how to contact them can be found at <a href="http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html">http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html</a>.</p> <p>In regard to your request for dispatch records, we must deny your request as you appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Dispatch records are maintained by the local department that dispatched the emergency responder.</p> <p>Since the records you are seeking are from the California Highway Patrol, you would need to direct your request to that agency. Information on how to contact their office can be found on their website at <a href="https://www.chp.ca.gov/home/contact-us">https://www.chp.ca.gov/home/contact-us</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-01193	Mckenna	Michael	6/11/2018	I am requesting my records from my divorce, adoption, criminal /civil cases, and any other records that are between the date of 1990 to 2006. Thank you I'm not sure of any case numbers but please also include child support records	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR).</p> <p>Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p> <p>We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, but seeks records regarding adoption. Our research into the matter shows that you may wish to contact the</p>

PRA-2018-01196	Babinski	Elaine	6/11/2018	Case discovery request: Defendant is requesting case discovery for a DUI arrest. SDPD Incident date: 3/24/18 incident number: 18030038136 booking number: 1811874	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>adoption agency that was involved, or the Department of Social Services for the records you are seeking. More information on requesting records from the Department of Social Services can be found at <a href="http://www.cdss.ca.gov/Public-Record-Requests#">http://www.cdss.ca.gov/Public-Record-Requests#</a>.</p> <p>Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-01202	Von Borstel	Geraldine	6/11/2018	I am requesting a copy of all criminal public records found under the following name: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• ALBINO SANTIBANEZ</li> <li>• Date of birth: [REDACTED]</li> <li>• Place of Birth: Mexico</li> </ul>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>The above request appears to be identical to the request you submitted online on May 7, 2018, and which our office denied via e-mail on May 16, 2018. As stated in our May 16 e-mail, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-01209	Johnson	Jimmy	6/11/2018	All civil and criminal records	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We were unable to conduct a search of our records based on the information provided, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records within the care and custody of our office.</p> <p>In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows:          -Providing the actual name or title of the record.          -Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record.          -Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record.          -Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created.          -If related to a case, providing the case name or number.          -Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record.          -Indicating the city in which the record was created.          Absent a request for representation by another state agency, the DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your</p>

PRA-2018-01210	Richey	Rebecca	6/11/2018	Trying to find incarceration dates both admissions and exit dates for my father who is now deceased. Name: David Eugene Gutowski DOB: [REDACTED]		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>request to that agency. If you can provide more information regarding the records you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records that are within our custody and control.</p> <p>Your request does not identify records that are in the control or custody of the Office of the Attorney General/Department of Justice. The Department of Justice cannot produce records that are responsive to your request. We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, but seeks records from another government agency. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). If you wish to review records that are in custody or control of another government agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to incarceration, these records may be available through the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation if he was located in a California State prison. Information on how to contact them can be found at <a href="http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html">http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-01129	Addica	Kerry	6/12/2018	Beverly Hills Police Department Homicide File, Case No. 599, 1929, Doheny / Plunkett Coroners report, Edward L. Doheny Jr., Los Angeles County, February 18, 1929, CC # 29-285 Coroners report, Theodore Hugh Plunkett, Los Angeles County, February 1929, performed by Chief Autopsy Surgeon A.F. Wagner Probate File, Edward L. Doheny Jr. County of Los Angeles, File No. 101995, filed on March 22, 1929 Probate File, Theodore Hugh Plunkett, County of Los Angeles, File No. 102684, filed on April 24, 1929		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records from Beverly Hills Police Department, you may wish to direct your request to their office. To the extent that you are seeking records from the Coroners' office, you would need to direct your request to that agency. To the extent that you are seeking probate files, you would need to direct your request to the court in which the probate matter was heard.</p>
PRA-2018-01130	Jaramillo	Rene	6/12/2018	There is a man named by Jorge Alfredo Solis Leyva listing a property for rent (44598 Camino Veste, Temecula CA 92592). I am wondering if he is the owner of the house or this is a scam. Also, he is not using a property management and he hasn't been able to confirm he is the home owner and has the right to rent it out/list it. Thank you. I am active duty moving to CA first week of July. I can't physically see the homes so I am doing everything online and it is easy to get scammed like that.		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records or forms that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since it is indicated in your correspondence that you are seeking information on a specific property, you may wish to contact the local county assessor's office or recorder's office for the county in which the property resides.</p>
PRA-2018-01131	Prior	Stephen	6/12/2018	Public records from the DHS case. I was sued by the state for overpayment of cash aid from my x-wife without my knowledge. I never got served and was told to access the public records.		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to an agency you refer to as "DHA," you may wish to direct your request to their office. However, we were unable to locate a</p>

PRA-2018-01134	Sponem	Mason	6/12/2018	Consumer Complaints regarding phone calls from Sonoma Clean Energy Authority. Please produce all consumer complaints regarding Sonoma Clean Energy Authority. Relevant complaints are limited to those relating to calls from said company to the consumers, received on or after January 1, 2016 through present.		Completed	Erin Nickless	California State agency that uses this acronym to be able to direct you to the correct location.  To the extent that your request seeks consumer complaint records or investigative files, we must decline your request. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)
PRA-2018-01136	Russo	Jennie	6/12/2018	George S. Hall Inc. requests the incumbent contract documents including cover letters, pricing, technical proposal, etc. submitted by the company currently providing the California Department of Justice with "DOJ-Bureau of Forensic Services (BFS)-HVAC and Building Engineering Services."		Completed	Shannon Patterson	The DOJ has determined that there are records within the department that are responsive to your records request and that also are disclosable, in whole or in part. Attached you will find "contract documents" related to contract number 16-6670, proposal, shipping documents, standard agreement and other records associated to your request.
PRA-2018-01137	Sponem	Mason	6/12/2018	Consumer complaints about phone calls from Hudson Energy Services Dear Public Records Officer, Please produce all consumer complaints regarding Hudson Energy Services. Relevant complaints are limited to those relating to calls from said company to the consumers, received on or after January 1, 2016 through present.		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that your request seeks consumer complaint records or investigative files, we must decline your request. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)
PRA-2018-01140	Sponem	Mason	6/12/2018	Consumer complaints relating to phone calls from TransCanada Power Marketing, Ltd. Dear Public Records Officer, Please produce all consumer complaints regarding TransCanada Power Marketing, Ltd. Relevant complaints are limited to those relating to calls from said company to the consumers, received on or after January 1, 2016 through present.		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that your request seeks consumer complaint records or investigative files, we must decline your request. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)
PRA-2018-01141	Sponem	Mason	6/12/2018	Provide records of consumer complaints regarding Ambit Energy Holdings LLC. Please produce all consumer complaints regarding Ambit Energy Holdings, LLC. Relevant complaints are limited to those relating to calls from said company to the consumers, received on or after January 1, 2016 through present.		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that your request seeks consumer complaint records or investigative files, we must decline your request. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)
PRA-2018-01142	Bruecken	Sean	6/12/2018	I am trying to get RFP response information for the City of Richmond's recent solicitation for: Request For Proposals For Parking Enforcement License Plate Recognition System. I would like to get the proposal responses for all parties that responded. Request located at: <a href="http://www.ci.richmond.ca.us/bids">www.ci.richmond.ca.us/bids</a> < <a href="http://www.ci.richmond.ca.us/bids">http://www.ci.richmond.ca.us/bids</a> >		Completed	Erin Nickless	We note that your request was submitted to the California Department of Justice, but seeks records from the City of Richmond. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.  Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to City of Richmond, you may wish to direct your request to their office.
PRA-2018-01143	Mainen	Matthew	6/12/2018	I am requesting items related to an arrest. The complaint number is EPA 181430025. The detained individual is "Matthew Mainen." The incident happened on May 23 between 10:15 and 10:30 AM outside of the house at [REDACTED] I am requesting the following: 1. A transcript of the relevant 911 call or a recording if a		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.

				transcript is not available. The 911 call probably came from the following phone number: [REDACTED] 2. All written documentation obtained and produced by the police for this incident. 3. A transcript of all police radio communication or a recording if a transcript is not available. 4. The body camera footage of all officers involved in this incident. 5. A copy of the search warrant, if a search warrant was in fact issued. 6. Any other relevant files related to the incident. The arresting officers included, but were not limited to Travis Draeger (#2232), Aleyda Romero (#2211), and Spencer Lawrence (#2246).				To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA-2018-01144	Sponem	Mason	6/12/2018	Retrieve all consumer complaints for phone calls from Champion Energy Services. Please produce all consumer complaints regarding Champion Energy Services. Relevant complaints are limited to those relating to calls from said company to the consumers, received on or after January 1, 2016 through present.	Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that your request seeks consumer complaint records or investigative files, we must decline your request. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)	
PRA-2018-01145	Hammond	Jeffrey	6/12/2018	CAL Fire report on the October 8, 2017 fire on Atlas Peak Rd, Napa (Known as the Atlas Fire). Incident #: CALNU 010105	Completed	Erin Nickless	We have searched our legal indices and consulted knowledgeable persons and found no responsive records to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.  Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection (CalFire), you may wish to direct your request to their office. Information on how to request public records from CalFire can be found on their website at: <a href="http://calfire.ca.gov/about/about_contact_us_recordsandrequests">http://calfire.ca.gov/about/about_contact_us_recordsandrequests</a> .	
PRA-2018-01146	Wozniak	Brittney	6/12/2018	Each Autonomous Vehicle Tester (AVT) Program Application for Manufacturer's Testing Permit, including all attachments thereto and documents submitted therewith, submitted by Navya Inc., Nissan, BMW, and Nuro, Inc. to the California Department of Motor Vehicles, Autonomous Vehicle Program. Specifically, the Driver/Operator Training Program Outline that was attached to each application, which describes the training program for the driver/operator of the autonomous vehicle.  The applications described above are sent to Department of Motor Vehicles, Autonomous Vehicle Program, P.O. Box 932342, MS L224, Sacramento, CA 94232-3420. The telephone number for the autonomous vehicle applications is 916-229-3346.	Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are in the custody of the Department of Motor Vehicles. Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.	
PRA-2018-01167	Seang	Revear	6/12/2018	All records courts, citation, etc	Completed	Erin Nickless	We were unable to conduct a search of our records based on the information provided, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records within the care and custody of our office. In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically	



PRA-2018-01195	Davis (Bay Area News Group)	Aaron	6/12/2018	<p>I'm a reporter in Contra Costa County and I just wanted to ask the AG's office's response to law enforcement requirements under the Truth Act <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/news/press-releases/attorney-general-becerra-issues-law-enforcement-bulletins-providing-guidance">https://oag.ca.gov/news/press-releases/attorney-general-becerra-issues-law-enforcement-bulletins-providing-guidance</a>. Specifically, the AG's office found that: All records relating to ICE access provided by local law enforcement agencies, including all communication with ICE, shall be public records for purposes of the California Public Records Act (Chapter 3.5 (commencing with Section 6250)), including the exemptions provided by that Act. The TRUTH Act explicitly provides that personal identifying information may be redacted prior to public disclosure as provided under the California Public Records Act. When responding to such requests, law enforcement agencies should therefore keep in mind California's privacy laws and all applicable exemptions under the California Public Records Act that protect such personal information from disclosure.6 (Gov. Code, § 7283.1, subd. (c).)</p> <p>However, I have received a response from the Contra Costa County Sheriff's Office stating that this would be an undue burden.</p> <p>My record request was for: All records relating to ICE access provided by any and all law enforcement agencies governed by the county, including all communication with ICE. I've attached the sheriff's office's response.</p>	Bay Area News Group	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Providing the actual name or title of the record.</li> <li>-Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record.</li> <li>-Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record.</li> <li>-Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created.</li> <li>-If related to a case, providing the case name or number.</li> <li>-Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record.</li> <li>-Indicating the city in which the record was created.</li> </ul> <p>If you can provide more information regarding the records you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records that are within our custody and control.</p> <p>Thank you for your June 12 email to the Attorney General regarding the response you received to a request for records you submitted under the California Public Records Act. In particular, you express concern that the Contra Costa County Office of the Sheriff may be violating the Act.</p> <p>The Act provides for access to public records, but also provides exceptions to that requirement. Exceptions include protections for personal information and information made confidential by other laws, as well as exceptions that apply in other circumstances. You may wish to consult with a private attorney to evaluate your options under the Act. Please note that the Attorney General's Office cannot provide you with legal advice or represent you in personal legal actions.</p>
PRA-2018-01205	Hopp	Richard	6/12/2018	<p>1. Under Cal. Gov't Code, § 6253.9 an electronic copy of the Court of Appeal, Fourth titled Gamestop, Inc. v. The Superior Court; The People, Case No. E068701 document filed on 06/11/2018 Issued tentative opinion or disputed issued memo.</p>		Completed		Withdrawn by requester.
PRA-2018-01213	Hinerman	Kimberly	6/12/2018	<p>I am looking to obtain court records on my twin brother-Matthew Dale Sparks, who was murdered in California around 1981 by the family's nanny. My maiden name was Kimberly Anne Sparks. my mom's name was Teresa Caruso Sparks and my father's name was Walter Dale Sparks. I would like to be able to see for myself through the records of the court what happened and what was said in court by the various witnesses. I also would like to know what happened to the lady that did this. I do not have her name because she used a fake name and social security number when she started working for my family. All I know is she went by the Alias "MARTHA."</p> <p>Please help me find records on my deceased brother. This would help bring some closure to myself and my family. Thanks you so much for your time and God Bless. -----</p> <p>Kim Hinerman</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We must deny your request as we were unable to locate records based on the information provided. In general, we do not maintain, index or categorize our files based on the criteria provided in your request. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, such as the case name, case number, or other identifying information, we can conduct a more directed search of the records within the care and custody of our office.</p> <p>If this case was prosecuted by a District Attorney's office, you would need to inquire with them directly. The local court may also have more information on the case, if you know which county the case was tried in. Absent a request for representation by another state agency, the DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. If you can provide more information regarding the records you are seeking, we can conduct a</p>

PRA-2018-01221	Smith	Samantha	6/12/2018	This is a request for copies of any and all police reports, court records, court dockets, or other documents that you may have in your possession for the above-referenced matter involving our client, Noe Rosales, the victim of Fraud and Identity Theft in the incident.		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>more directed search of the records that are within our custody and control.</p> <p>Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, such as a case name and number, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office. You may be able to request the documents you are seeking from the court in which they were filed.</p> <p>Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.</p>
PRA-2018-01147	Foard	Morgan	6/13/2018	Patrick J. Quick, all records		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-01148	Murphy	Erin	6/13/2018	I am requesting an opportunity to inspect or obtain copies of public records that provide the demographic characteristics of your state level (SDIS) DNA database. Specifically, I am seeking a snapshot in time of: 1) the total		Completed	Shannon Patterson	<p>(1) As of July 5, 2018, there were 2,019,899 offender profiles in the convicted offender index of California's State DNA "Index System (SDIS)" and 751,822 profiles in the arrestee index of California's SDIS. Please note that these totals do not include: Count for the presence</p>



			<p>number of DNA profiles held in the convicted offender and arrested offender databases; 2) the percentage or number of those profiles that belong to females versus males; 3) the percentage or number of those profiles that fall within specific racial and ethnic categories, in terms of the major racial/ethnic groups (e.g., Caucasian/White, African-American/Black, Hispanic, Asian, etc.). I do not seek any personally identifying or individualized information; I only want an aggregate statistic. I also recognize that the composition of the database may change day-to-day, which is why I ask only for a recent snapshot at any moment in time (ideally within the last year). Finally, I prefer to receive the information according to the categories described (convicted offender and arrestee) but will accept data that combines those groups.</p>		<p>of duplicate profiles generated from multiple samples collected from the same offender. Also note that the contents of the arrestee index are quite fluid. The Department is continually converting arrestees to convicted offender status based on ongoing review of criminal history records. But, there is necessarily a time lag following conviction during which recently convicted offenders remain in the arrestee index. Further, the conversion software may not catch a substantial number of arrestees, including, but not limited to, those (1) whose felony convictions were subsequently reduced to misdemeanors, but who nonetheless qualify for retention in the convicted offender index based on the felony conviction, and (2) who are convicted of a misdemeanor but qualify for retention in the convicted offender index based on a prior felony conviction. Consequently, the numbers provided overestimate the number of true arrestees, and underestimate the number of true convicted offenders.</p> <p>(2) As of July 5, 2018, there were 309,827 convicted offender profiles attributable to females in California's SDIS, 1,603,222 convicted offender profiles attributable to males in California's SDIS, and 106,850 convicted offender profiles with an unknown gender in California's SDIS. Note that the percentages for males and females you seek can be calculated accordingly, in conjunction with the data provided in response (1), above. In addition, gender classification is not considered a required field on the collection card. Also note that the Department of Justice does not verify the accuracy of reported gender classifications.</p> <p>As of July 5, 2018, there were 208,225 arrestee profiles attributable to females in California's SDIS, 524,231 arrestee profiles attributable to males in California's SDIS, and 19,366 arrestee profiles with an unknown gender in California's SDIS. Note that the percentages for males and females you seek can be calculated accordingly, in conjunction with the data provided in response (1), above.</p> <p>(3) As of July 5, 2018, in California's convicted offender SDIS index there were approximately 368,952 offenders whose race was marked as African American, 588,555 offenders marked as Caucasian, 652,121 offenders marked as Hispanic, and 16,384 offenders marked as Asian. Note that racial classification is not considered a required field on the collection card; thus, an unknown number of offenders may have no racial classification listed. Also note that the Department of Justice does not verify the accuracy of reported racial classifications. Any data on this point result from either self-reporting by the offender or speculation on the part of the law enforcement officer supervising the collection.</p> <p>(4) As of July 5, 2018, in California's arrestee SDIS Index there were approximately 104,741 arrestees marked as African American, 231,313 arrestees marked as Caucasian, 308,450 arrestees marked as Hispanic, and 11,191 arrestees marked as Asian. The same qualifications noted in response (3), above, apply here as well. Finally, it is important to understand that neither race nor any other personal identifying information is actually contained or searched in SDIS. SDIS contains only the DNA profile itself and an anonymous specimen identification number for any given offender. The information we are providing to you is maintained in a separate, non-CODIS, database, and is not searched for criminal identification purposes. In other words, race is not, and cannot, be used as a search criterion when operating CODIS databases, and does not appear in any search result. Moreover, there is no reference to an offender's race in hit notification letters generated by the Department of Justice and provided to investigating law enforcement agencies.</p> <p>On June 20, 2018, Shannon Patterson sent an extension letter.</p>
--	--	--	--	--	---

PRA-2018-01149	Sponem	Mason	6/13/2018	Please recall all consumer complaints about phone calls from Calpine Energy Solutions. Please produce all consumer complaints regarding Calpine Energy Solutions. Relevant company to the consumers, relating to calls from said company to the consumers, received on or after January 1, 2016 through present.	Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that your request seeks consumer complaint records or investigative files, we must decline your request. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)
PRA-2018-01150	Batroff	Gary	6/13/2018	I am trying to find any statistics or estimates that the California DOJ has on the use of DNA in identifying suspects and/or determining guilt across all crime types.	Completed	Shannon Patterson	The Department of Justice website publishes California's data on hits and investigations aided by the state's forensic identification DNA database program. (See <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/bfs/prop69">https://oag.ca.gov/bfs/prop69</a> and DNA Laboratory Monthly Statistics, pdf.) However, COD IS does not also track hit outcomes. There is no legal requirement for investigating agencies to report the outcome of hits and investigative leads provided by the state. Therefore, DOJ has no comprehensive data table of convictions or other outcomes associated with database hits and investigations aided.
PRA-2018-01151	Hicks	Brittany	6/13/2018	KERAMIDA is conducting a records search in fulfillment of the due diligence requirements enumerated in the Superfund Recycling Equity Act (SREA). To this end, KERAMIDA requests that any environmental files associated with the property listed below be made available to us within three weeks of the receipt of this request. Files related to hazardous chemicals, underground storage tanks, dumping, releases, leaks, spills, wastewater disposal and environmental complaints, investigations and citations are of particular interest. SA RECYCLING COLTON 790 East M St. Colton, CA 92324	Completed	Erin Nickless	Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office. Our research into the matter shows that the records you seek may relate to matters overseen by the Department of Toxic Substances Control. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to the Department of Toxic Substances Control, you may wish to direct your request to their office. Information on how to contact them can be found on their website at <a href="http://www.dtsc.ca.gov/ContactDTSC/index.cfm">http://www.dtsc.ca.gov/ContactDTSC/index.cfm</a> .
PRA-2018-01152	Bezinque	Alissa	6/13/2018	I would like some raw data files. We used to generate county reports based on your online system, but it doesn't list out the level of detail we need. We are specifically looking for data about alcohol and drug arrests by county. We specifically want these arrest offenses: Felony Arrests: Narcotics, Marijuana, Dangerous Drugs, Other Drugs, Driving Under the Influence. Misdemeanor Arrests: Marijuana, Dangerous Drugs, Other Drugs, Drunk, Liquor Laws, Driving Under the Influence, Glue Sniffing. We would like 2008 arrests to the most current year available. We also want to be able to analyze these by gender, age, and race.	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. The DOJ has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents.
PRA-2018-01153	Carter	Angela	6/13/2018	I just spoke with a helpful analyst at the Public Inquiry Unit and learned that the only way to request additional information about the Criminal Justice Statistics Center is via email. I'm hoping to follow up on a message I sent	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. The DOJ has

PRA-2018-01154	Cundle	Heddi	6/13/2018	<p>some time ago related to available data on juvenile monetary sanctions: fines, fees, and restitution. At the Policy Advocacy Clinic at Berkeley Law, we are researching differences in the likelihood that juveniles will be required to pay monetary sanctions. We were excited to see the Juvenile Court and Probation Statistical System database on the OpenJustice website - thank you for making it available. We are hoping to gain access to additional variables related to: 1) county identifiers and 2) whether the disposition involved monetary sanctions. We realize this may pose challenges related to confidentiality, and are happy to draft data use agreements if need be.</p> <p>Please can you email me over the police records related to the SFPD being called out to [REDACTED] between January 2010 and April 2012. Two men lived in this home and the neighbors informed me they regularly had to call the police based on these men causing extreme and continuous noise disturbance, violence and aggression. The neighbors also told me each male also called the SFPD to report each other. I live at this address and have done since April 2012. I just need the dates, reason for call out per incident and if there's anything else additional noted by the SFPD based on each incident. If you also find (within those dates) any police call out incident reports about [REDACTED] that'd be great. The residents at [REDACTED] live above me and may have called the police related to [REDACTED]</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.</p>	<p>complied with your request and enclosed the responsive documents within the CD-ROM. Unfortunately the DOJ does not have information on whether the disposition involved monetary sanctions.</p>
PRA-2018-01155	Wright	Charles	6/13/2018	<p>Request for copies of all court reporters transcripts under [B230864].</p>	Completed	Irene Chavez	<p>As per your records request, we have located the following documents from our file for the appeal in People v. Charles A. Wright, California Court of Appeal Case No. B230864:</p> <p>1. Reporter's Transcripts - Vols. 1 of 5.</p> <p>Thank you for your anticipated cooperation with the above request.</p>	<p>As per your records request, we have located the following documents from our file for the appeal in People v. Charles A. Wright, California Court of Appeal Case No. B230864:</p> <p>1. Reporter's Transcripts - Vols. 1 of 5.</p> <p>Thank you for your anticipated cooperation with the above request.</p>
PRA-2018-01159	Cubeiro (Dealership Requirements)	Matthew	6/13/2018	<p>July 30, 2018 Follow-up: This letter concerns a prior submitted request under the Public Records Act, California Government Code Section 6250, et seq. to which the California Department of Justice ("DOJ") has failed to respond. This request was directed individually (1) to each person/entity identified in the addressee section above, and (2) to the Public Records Coordinator or designee for each entity or person identified in the addressee section above. This failure to respond is a violation of the California Public Records Act, California Government Code Section 6250, et seq., as amended by California Assembly Bill 2799, effective January 1, 2001, and as further informed by the heightened right to information as provided by the California Constitution, article 1, section 3, as amended by Proposition 59 (the "Act"). On June 13, 2018, Requestor submitted a written Public Records request (the "Request") to the Public Records Coordinator (identified in the addressee section above and in the original request). This Request was sent by US Mail and e-mail. DOJ sent a letter on June 25, 2018 and requested an extension until July 9, 2018. A copy of the Request and DOJ's extension request are attached as Exh bit A. The Requesters sought information relating to dealership requirements. The Request stated that the Public Records Act required a response within ten</p>	Rolling Production	Michel & Associates, P.C.	<p>Estimated Production Date Response: In conducting our search for records responsive to your request, we have checked with knowledgeable persons and searched logical places. Some of the records we have identified that are responsive to your request are exempt from disclosure in whole or in part under the deliberative process privilege, the attorney work-product privilege, and/or the attorney client privilege. For those records found for which only a portion would be exempt due to privilege we will redact the privileged portion. The following is an explanation of why the materials we are withholding are exempt due to privilege:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o Deliberative Process Exemption</li> <li>o The deliberative process privilege exempts from disclosure materials that would expose an agency's decision making process in such a way as to discourage candid discussion within the agency and thereby undermine the agency's ability to perform its functions. Even if the content of a document is purely factual, it is nonetheless exempt from public scrutiny if it is actually related to the process by which policies are formulated or, if it is inextricably intertwined with policymaking processes. (Times Mirror Co. v. Superior Court (1991) 53 Cal.3d 1325.) Records that reveal deliberative processes are protected through application of Government Code section 6255.</li> <li>o In the instant case, some of the records we identified contain analyses concerning the strengths and weaknesses of a particular proposal, and are, therefore, covered by the deliberative process privilege and, are, therefore, absent special circumstances would be exempt from disclosure under Government Code section 6255.</li> </ul>	Public Records

PRA-2018-01166	Mashhour	Christopher	6/13/2018	<p>days of the Request. Nevertheless, Requestor did not receive a response within the ten days required by the Act, or by July 9, 2018 as requested by DOJ.</p> <p>The requested documents and information consist of "public records" within the meaning of Government Code § 6252(e), and such records are within the possession, custody or control of the Department. The documents and information requested are not exempt from public disclosure. Requestor has the right to inspect and obtain copies of the information and documents requested per Gov. Code § 6253(a) and (b). Per Gov. Code § 6253(c), upon receipt of a Public Records Act Request, an official such as the Public Records Clerk "shall, within 10 days from receipt of the request, determine whether the request, in whole or in part, seeks copies of disclosable public records in the possession of the agency and shall promptly notify the person making the request of the determination and the reasons therefor."</p> <p>The DOJ was obligated "upon a request for a copy of records that reasonably describes an identifiable record or records, [to] make the records promptly available to any person .... "Gov. Code § 6253(b). The LASD has failed to comply with Government Code § 6253(c). The DOJ did not make any determination within 10 days, and did not promptly notify Requestor or the undersigned law firm of such a determination and the reasons therefor. DOJ has failed to make the records "promptly available" as required by Government Code § 6253(b). The records requested, as set forth in Exh bit A, are being withheld from Requestor by the DOJ in violation of the Act. Please consider this letter a final demand that you provide electronic copies of the requested records and documents to the Requestor, no later than August 9, 2018.</p> <p>1. All documents and/or communications regarding requirements for firearms dealers located on Military Bases, federal land, and/or Indian reservations as referenced in the Department of Justice Bureau of firearms DROS Entry System (DES) firearms Dealership User Guide.</p> <p>I'd like to request a copy of my public records, which include any judgments on my record. Thank you. Public record for Christopher Naim Mashhour</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Attorney Work-Product and Attorney-Client Privilege Exemption</p> <p>o Some of the records that you are seeking are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney-client privilege and the attorney work-product privilege. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954 (which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client) and the attorney work-product privilege contained in Code of Civil Procedure section 2018.030 (which protects any writing reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential) are incorporated into the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal. 4th 363; County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal. App. 4th 819, 833.)</p> <p>o In the present case, the attorneys in our department, including attorneys working in the Bureau of Firearms, provide legal advice to the Attorney General and his designees and prepare confidential analyses as part of their work. Accordingly, communications between the Attorney General or his designees and the department's attorneys, and analyses prepared by those attorneys are privileged materials exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.</p> <p>We will provide you with non-exempt responsive records on or about August 24, 2018.</p> <p>Response sent July 9, 2018 requesting more time to search:</p> <p>Our 14-day extension expires today, and I would like to provide you a status of our progress. We have been working on determining whether the Department has any responsive information. At this point, we are still working on this request. We would like to continue our search and will keep you informed of the progress.</p> <p>An extension letter was sent 06.25.18 by Robert Wilson, BOF.</p>
							<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at:</p> <p><a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>.</p>

PRA-2018-01237	Waters	Michael	6/13/2018	Copy of the Case report related to Case TA051029.	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p> <p>We have searched our legal indices and files and found no responsive records. Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.</p>
PRA-2018-01160	Rogers	Dean	6/14/2018	Police report from an accident on 10/4/2017. Can you please tell me how I can obtain the police report from an accident I was in involving 3 vehicles on 10/4/2017. It was outside of Susanville Ca. Location is US 395 south of Sunnyside road at 8:20 pm. The investigating officer was McLain. If I cannot get this through can you please tell me where I can get this. Thank you.	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.</p>
PRA-2018-01161	Sims	Melissa	6/14/2018	R/C for DV incident report. Either 5226 or 6432 is the INC. Number I did not receive a report regarding this incident.	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Our research into your request shows that you may be referring to a police report for domestic violence. If this is not the case, please clarify what is meant by "R/C for DV." If you are seeking police report records, they are maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.</p>
PRA-2018-01162	Brothers	Rachel	6/14/2018	I have a request pursuant to he California Public Records act. I am trying to locate information on a (former?) registered sex offender located in California. At the time, the individual's name was Stephen Brown (DOB [REDACTED], SSN [REDACTED]). On 7/20/1990, Stephen Brown was convicted of three counts of Lewd or Lascivious Acts w/a Child Under 14 in Ventura County Superior Court, California, and was sentenced to 3 years in state prison. He was also required to register as a sex offender. Since that time, Stephen Brown has become Stephanie Martinez (female) and my firm is working on her case. Anything you can provide would be helpful. Please let me know if you have any questions or need anything else from me.	Completed	Michael Dolida	<p>Initially regarding the request for public records, of all our case files are organized and maintained electronically in a case management software application called Prolaw. A search of Prolaw did not reveal any records pertaining to Stephen Brown with the information you provided.</p> <p>While most of the information provided by registering sex offenders during the registration process is confidential (i.e., not open to public inspection), California Penal Code (PC) section 290.46, provides the public limited access to specified information through the Megan's Law website. Any requests submitted for additional information not displayed on the Internet site must be denied as confidential.</p> <p>However, we can confirm that Stephen Brown had registered in California as a sex offender in 1994; our records further indicate in 1999, Stephen Brown registered in the state of Texas.</p> <p>If you desire to know more information about a specific sex offender</p>

PRA-2018-01163	Park	Nicole	6/14/2018	1. Contracts with Farmers' Rice Cooperative, Inc., EJP International or JFC International. 2. Contracts buying, selling, or transporting Diamond G or JFC international rice.	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>and can independently identify the county in which he/she was prosecuted for an offense, you may be able to obtain that information by requesting it directly from the court of record. These public agencies may have additional information in case files or court files that can be disseminated to members of the public.</p> <p>We have searched our legal indices and consulted with knowledgeable persons and found no responsive records. Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>The DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p>
PRA-2018-01164	McMahon	Nancy	6/14/2018	Marriage Certificate and Divorce Papers Marriage: [REDACTED] Divorce date unknown--prior to 09/1977 when the spouse died Maiden name: [REDACTED] Spouse: Fabian Pihana Please provide best method to obtain these records. They are necessary for taking nurse test for credentials in the state of Oregon	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-01165	Soller	Sarah	6/14/2018	I am currently attempting to locate a business address for my previous Landlord. I believe the Fictitious Business Name is DBA Kimberly Dugan Rental, and they operate out of the bay area but own property in the Sacramento Area.	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>The DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking information regarding a business, you may wish to direct your request to the Secretary of State. Information on business entities can be found on their website at <a href="http://www.ca.gov/Agencies/Secretary-of-State">http://www.ca.gov/Agencies/Secretary-of-State</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-01169	Damien	Christopher	6/14/2018	'Death in Custody & Arrested-related Deaths' data for all of California (i.e. all law enforcement agencies and counties) from 2016 to the present. Example: <a href="https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/data">https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/data</a> This is in follow-up to my email correspondence with Nicole Arnaiz, CJIS Division, dated 6/14/2018. Please call regarding any questions: 760-218-2317	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</p> <p>The DOJ has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents.</p>
PRA-2018-01170	Fernandez	Robert	6/14/2018	I am looking for the CA DOJ's response to Defendant's Attorneys Motion for Discovery of Oct 2017 in criminal case from San Francisco Superior Court case no. 2502505 People of the State of California v. David Robert Daleiden and Sandra Susan Merritt. Please include any replies or orders related to this Motion for Discovery	Completed	Robert Morgester, SAAG	<p>Attached is 21109373.pdf [Response In Opposition . . . To Compel Email Unredacted In Discovery] and 21142511.pdf [Surrogate Reply to Response to Request for Discovery and Motion To Strike] that is responsive to your request.</p> <p>In providing the records requested, we have redacted certain private identifying information, such as noncommercial addresses, email addresses, and telephone numbers, along with other sensitive personal information such as social security numbers and credit card</p>

							<p>numbers based on considerations of personal privacy. (Cal. Const., art. I, §1, as incorporated into the Public Records Act by Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Gov. Code, § 6255.)</p> <p>We interpret your request for "orders related to this Motion for Discovery" in this instance to refer to the reporter's transcript of oral proceedings in court as opposed to either deposition transcripts or the clerk's transcript of official events in connection with the litigation. For the reasons set forth below, such transcripts are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.</p> <p>Government Code section 69954, subdivision (d) provides as follows:</p> <p>(d) Any court, party, or person who has purchased a transcript may, without paying a further fee to the reporter, reproduce a copy or portion thereof as an exhibit pursuant to court order or rule, or for internal use, but shall not otherwise provide or sell a copy or copies to any other person or party.</p> <p>We understand this statutory provision to require members of the public who seek reporter transcripts of oral court proceedings to acquire them only from the reporter, and not from public agencies that may have purchased them in the course of litigation. This statutory directive is incorporated into the Public Records Act by Government Code section 6254 subdivision (k). Accordingly, this office cannot provide you with copies of transcripts of oral court proceedings. The Court Reporter's Office and/or the Clerk of the Court of the county in which the legal proceedings were conducted may be able to provide information concerning how to locate and purchase court transcripts of such proceedings.</p>
PRA-2018-01174	Miller	Natalie	6/14/2018	Pedro Calvo convict #64336 from San Quentin in 1939. I'm looking to find any and all records for Pedro Calvo. He was imprisoned in 1939 for rape/incest then released in 1941.	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, if you are seeking records from San Quentin State Prison, you would need to contact the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation. Information on how to contact them can be found at <a href="http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html">http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html</a>.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-01189	Nouman	Camille	6/14/2018	I'm looking for the marriage record of Antoinett Nicole Founders. My son was married to her.	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Called and referred her to the Office of Vital Records</p>

PRA-2018-01333	Dawson	Gary	6/14/2018	Any information on any initiative that may be coming up on the November voting ballot. Namely the Citizens Safety Act of 2018 and other pertaining to the prison overcrowding.	Completed	Anabel Renteria	For these reasons, we must decline your request for records as it is currently cast. I've enclosed a courtesy copy of the Initiative 17-0046, "The People's Fair Sentencing & Public Safety Act of 2018" for your records. However, we are willing to consult with you to determine if a more specific request would be likely to generate additional records. (Gov. Code, section 6253.1.) If you wish to discuss this matter further, please contact me at Initiative Coordinator, Office of the Attorney General, 1300 I St., Sacramento, CA 95814. This completes our response to your request.
PRA-2018-01175	Roesner	Sabrina	6/15/2018	All records	Completed	Erin Nickless	We were unable to conduct a search of our records based on the information provided, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records within the care and custody of our office. In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows: -Providing the actual name or title of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record. -Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created. -If related to a case, providing the case name or number. -Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record. -Indicating the city in which the record was created. Absent a request for representation by another state agency, the DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. If you can provide more information regarding the records you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records that are within our custody and control.
PRA-2018-01176	Stevens	Holly	6/15/2018	I would like the divorce dates for two marriages: Holly Ann Stevens/Thomas Lee Black wedding date in Falbrook, CA. Holly Ann Stevens/Boris Temujin Jocoy (he might now be using his hyphenated name: Boris Temujin O'Rourke-Jocoy) I would also like to get the Social Security number for Thomas Lee Black. All of this information is required by the social security administration for my pending disability report.	Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> . Social Security numbers are maintained by the United States Social Security Administration. Information on how to contact them can be found at <a href="https://www.ssa.gov/ssa/ssnumber/ssa55doc.htm">https://www.ssa.gov/ssa/ssnumber/ssa55doc.htm</a> .
PRA-2018-01177	VerBeek	Jennifer	6/15/2018	We are seeking a copy of any and all records the California DOJ maintains regarding the Jason Paul Manser, DOB [REDACTED]. Upon information and belief, it is our understanding that Mr. Manser has been convicted of crimes in the State of California, possibly in Palm Springs and/or Cathedral City, California. We are involved in pending litigation with Mr. Manser who is suing our client. Should you require additional information to complete this request, please contact me.	Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) To the extent that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney



PRA-2018-01178	Ramirez	Jessica	6/15/2018	doj				<p>General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p> <p>We were unable to conduct a search of our records based on the information provided, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records within the care and custody of our office.</p> <p>In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Providing the actual name or title of the record.</li> <li>-Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record.</li> <li>-Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record.</li> <li>-Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created.</li> <li>-If related to a case, providing the case name or number.</li> <li>-Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record.</li> <li>-Indicating the city in which the record was created.</li> </ul> <p>Absent a request for representation by another state agency, the DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. If you can provide more information regarding the records you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records that are within our custody and control.</p>
PRA-2018-01180	Martin	Jennifer	6/15/2018	Divorce Decree for Shannon M Sandbank v. Cory Light. Court Case Number #SDR-19409		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-01181	Rodriguez	Suly Argentina	6/15/2018	Court documents of Case #SWM047704, CASC PERRIS Court: 20060809; there is no longer a Perris Court House and the County Records were unable to locate any documents that are highly important to provide to the Immigration Dept of the United States.		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We have searched our legal indices and were unable to locate responsive records based on the information provided. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. If you are seeking records from the District Attorney's Office, you would need to direct your request to their office.</p>

PRA-2018-01182	Paterson	Blake	6/15/2018	Under the California Public Records Act § 6250 et seq., I am requesting an opportunity to inspect or obtain copies of public records related to the state's costs associated with litigating Martinez v. Regents of the University of California, a challenge to a state statute exempting certain nonresidents including unlawful aliens from paying nonresident tuition at state colleges and universities. Records should provide a breakdown of all spending – including how much was spent, what each expense was for, and who received each payment – for the entire window of litigation. It should include, but is not limited to, costs associated with attorney's fees (including the salary of state attorneys and any external attorneys hired by the state), expenses, fees associated with expert witness testimony and discovery expenses.	Completed	Cheryl L. Feiner	<p>August 2, 2018 response: Consistent with County of Los Angeles Bd. of Supervisors v. Superior Court (2017) 12 Cal.App.5th 1264, our office will not provide any further billing records. The records we have previously produced provide the fee totals. More detailed billing invoices are privileged attorney-client communications that are exempt from disclosure. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k).)</p> <p>Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k) incorporates confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law. The attorney-client privilege is contained in Evidence Code section 954 and protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client. In the case of Roberts v. City of Palm Dale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363, the Supreme Court held that Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k) expressly exempts from disclosure matters privileged under the Evidence Code, which includes the attorney-client privilege.</p> <p>You can find information relative to your request for salaries of state attorneys on the following website:  <a href="http://www.calhr.ca.gov/cccc/Pages/ccoc-salaries.aspx">http://www.calhr.ca.gov/cccc/Pages/ccoc-salaries.aspx</a>. This concludes our response to this request.</p> <p>July 6, 2018 Response:</p> <p>This letter is in response to your June 15, 2018 correspondence requesting records under the Public Records Act which is contained in Government Code section 62501 et seq. We have provided you with a cost of suit report that illustrates the administrative and legal costs associated with the Martinez v. Regents of the University of California matter. Due to the extent of the request, our office needs more time to search and collect the remaining information to complete your request. We anticipate having supplemental documents within the next two to three weeks. Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact our office.</p> <p>On June 25, 2018 an extension letter was sent by Cheryl Feiner, HEW.</p>
PRA-2018-01183	McGlinn	Jack	6/15/2018	<p>SEIU Local 1000 requests documents pursuant to Article 14.8 of the MOU that are referred to in contract #171T-1081 with Dajani Consulting.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. contract #171T-1081 with Dajani Consulting and all amendments</li> <li>2. RFO#17-272 and vendor's responses</li> <li>3. "Required Qualifications Table" for contractor resources submitted per the Statement of Work</li> <li>4. Government Code section 19130(b) written justification for this contract</li> <li>5. All DOJ job postings for civil service positions in the Information Technology series since June 1, 2016</li> </ol>	Completed	Stacy Heinsen	<p>Hello Char and Stacy,</p> <p>The SEIU contract request is complete. At 4 today, I will be delivering a confidential envelope with a CD containing the requested documents to SEIU. I already called the front desk and they confirmed that is acceptable and that they will deliver the envelope to Mr. McGlinn. (I tried calling him multiple times but he did not answer).</p> <p>Thanks, Shaun</p> <p>Hi Jack,</p> <p>I will be delivering a CD in your name today containing the documents requested below. The information was too large to send via email.</p> <p>Have a great weekend and let me know if you need anything else,</p> <p>Shaun</p>
PRA-2018-01185	Sponem	Mason	6/15/2018	Please produce all consumer complaints regarding Shell Energy North America. Relevant complaints are limited to those relating to calls from said company to the consumers, received on or after January 1, 2016 through present.	Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that your request seeks consumer complaint records or investigative files, we must decline your request. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)
PRA-2018-01188	Wang	Rujun	6/15/2018	Our team is updating the number of active Concealed Weapon or Firearm License in each state. Would you please help to point me in the right direction via email and/or telephone how I might get the recent	Completed	Robert Wilson	There is no statewide public database for concealed carry weapons (CCW) permits. California is a "may-issue" state, so local law enforcement agencies (like county Sheriffs and local police departments) have discretion over issuing CCWs. Therefore, the

				number of active concealed handgun permits in California? It will be greatly appreciated if you could also provide the gender and/or race breakdown for the permit. Besides, we would also like to have the revocation data on concealed handgun permit. I would be happy to provide you with more information and/or answer any questions you may have about me and/or CPRC. Thank you for your time and look forward to your reply.					process for issuing CCW permits varies county by county. The only obligation for the Department of Justice (Department) under the law is to review eligibility and provide local law enforcement agencies with information on whether or not the applicant is prohibited, for reasons having to do with applicable laws. The actual issuance of CCWs takes place on the local law enforcement agency level. You may wish to contact local law enforcement agencies for additional information. More information about the CCW process can be found at: <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/firearms/pubtags#4">https://oag.ca.gov/firearms/pubtags#4</a> . Please keep in mind that CCW permits generally expire and need to be renewed every two years, so the "total number" can fluctuate on a daily basis. We have enclosed a break down of the most recent CCW statistics, which were prepared on July 2, 2018. However, the Department does not keep race or gender information for CCWs, so we are unable to provide you with that information.
PRA-2018-01194	Connolly	Joseph	6/15/2018	Pursuant to the California Public Records Act, Government Code section 6250 et seq., I respectfully request the following records from the California Attorney General's Office, at the earliest possible time in accordance with the Act.  1. Confirmation of the expenses incurred to contest, review or otherwise act in appeal number C080256, from April 3, 2018 to the present, including any administrative and legal representation expenses. I understand the Attorney General's Office is exempt from filing fees pursuant to Government Code section 6103.  2. Confirmation of the expenses incurred to contest, review, or otherwise act in appeal number C083238, from April 3, 2018 to the present, including any administrative and legal representation expenses. I understand the Attorney General's Office is exempt from filing fees pursuant to Government Code section 6103.  3. Confirmation of the expenses incurred to contest, review, or otherwise act in Supreme Court case number S247712, from March 21, 2018 to the present, including any administrative and legal representation expenses. I understand the Attorney General's Office is exempt from filing fees pursuant to Government Code section 6103.  4. Confirmation of any legal briefs prepared for or by the Attorney General's Office in appeal case numbers C080256, C083238, Supreme Court case number S247712, or El Dorado County Superior Court case number PFL20030299, from January 16, 2018 to the present, including any pending legal briefs. For each brief the Attorney General's Office may have prepared, assisted with, or is currently working on, the title of the brief, the name of the attorney who signed the brief, which court the brief was filed in, and the date of filing.  5. Confirmation of the expenses incurred to review, or otherwise act in El Dorado County Department of Child Support case number 0170056216-01 from May, 2014 to the present, excluding the legal expenses directly related to appeal case numbers C080256 and C083238, and/or Supreme Court case number S247712.	Completed	Cheryl L. Feiner	An extension letter was sent 06.25.18 by Robert Wilson, BOF.  On August 2, 2018, Cheryl Feiner sent a final response: Consistent with County of Los Angeles Bd. of Supervisors v. Superior Court (2017) 12 Cal. App. 5th 1264, our office will not provide any further billing records. The records we have previously produced provide the fee totals. More detailed billing invoices are privileged attorney-client communications that are exempt from disclosure. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k).)  Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k) incorporates confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law. The attorney-client privilege is contained in Evidence Code section 954 and protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client. In the case of Roberts v. City of Palm Dale (1993) 5 Cal. 4th 363, the Supreme Court held that Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k) expressly exempts from disclosure matters privileged under the Evidence Code, which includes the attorney-client privilege.  Pursuant to the statute mentioned above, we are unable to provide you with any further billing information. Therefore, this concludes our response to this request.  July 19, 2018 Response sent by Angela Tamber, Legal Analyst: Please see the responses to your questions below: 1. Page 1 of the "Cost of Suit Summary" totals \$26,675. I presume this amount corresponds to subparagraph "a" of the accompanying two page letter; i.e., that amount equals the AG costs for appeal case number C080256 from April 3, 2018 to the present. Yes, the amount of \$26,675 equals to the amount of AG costs for the appeal number C080256 from April 3, 2018 to the present. 2. Page 4 of the "Cost of Suit Summary" totals \$23,597.50. Similarly, I presume this amount corresponds to subparagraph "b" of the accompanying two page letter; i.e., that amount equals the AG costs for appeal case number C083238 from April 3, 2018 to the present. The amount of \$23,597.50 equals the amount of AG costs for appeal number C083238 from April 3, 2018 to the present. 3. Page number 5 of the "Cost of Suit Summary" totals \$36,032.50. Similarly, I presume this amount corresponds to subparagraph "e" of the accompanying two page letter; i.e., that amount equals the AG costs related to the El Dorado County Dept. of Child Support services case number 0170056216-01 from May, 2014 to the present.  The Office of the Attorney General does not have any records of costs related to the superior court case referenced. The Cost of Suit Summary on page 5 of the production summarizes the total costs associated with appeal number C083238 (\$36,032.50) rather than		

PRA-2018-01197	Babinski	Elaine	6/15/2018	Case discovery request. Contact: Elaine Babinski, defendant. [REDACTED] request for case discovery and BAC test results from DUI arrest. Traffic collision report date: 5/13/18 report number: 9645-2018-02543 chp officer: Raul carrasco 020479	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>just the costs incurred after the date of April 3, 2018 identified in your request.</p> <p>4. Pages 2-3 of the "Cost of Suit Summary" is duplicative of an earlier public records request I submitted to the AG's office. How do those pages/cost relate to any of the subparagraphs of the accompanying letter? In subparagraph "e," for example, I asked for appellate costs to be excluded. Pages 2-3 were provided to you as a courtesy to provide an overall picture of the AG costs associated with appeal number C083238 from the time that matter was opened in our office to the present.</p> <p>5. Thank you for confirming the legal briefs information. I was aware of all those briefs you cited on page 2 of your letter; however, there are three post-appellate briefs filed by the El Dorado County Department of Child Support Services which I strongly believe were written by the AG's office, and then forwarded to the EDC DCSS for filing. If I provide information for those briefs, can you confirm the AG's potential part in them under the public records request you are responding to, or would I need to submit a new records request?</p> <p>Per our records, the legal briefs that have been provided to you are the only records that were prepared and filed by the AG's office. A search of our records did not locate any other records responsive to your request.</p> <p>6. Since the AG's office substituted out of the appeals on March 9, 2018 the additional costs you have documented don't make sense to me. Is there any way, under the records request you are responding to, to substantiate WHAT those costs in #s 1-3 were for, or would I need to submit a new records act request?</p> <p>The two individuals who can answer this question are out of the office until Tuesday July 31, 2018. We will have a response to you by Monday August 6, 2018.</p> <p>Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.</p> <p>On June 25, 2018 an extension letter was sent by Cheryl Feiner, HEW.</p> <p>Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>
----------------	----------	--------	-----------	--	-----------	---------------	--

PRA-2018-01198	Babinski	Elaine	6/15/2018	<p>Case discovery request. Contact: Elaine Babinski, defendant [REDACTED].</p> <p>Request for case discovery and BAC test results from DUI arrest. Incident date: 5/6/18 booking number: 18128350 agency: chp 645 officer R. Sanchez 20265</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-01199	Garcia	Myrna	6/15/2018	<p>Birthdate [REDACTED] Last 4 of SSN: [REDACTED] LA County San Bernardino County Orange County San Diego County</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We are construing your record request to be seeking documents related to Myrna Garcia. We have conducted a general search of our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request based on the information provided. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you can provide more information regarding the records you are seeking, we may be able to conduct a more directed search of the records that are in our custody.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>

PRA-2018-01225	Ibrahimovic (Muckrock)	Sanjin	6/15/2018	<p>The ADL has confirmed that officer(s) from this department have attended the Advanced Training School program put on by the ADL in Washington DC, The Advanced Training School has been held since 2003. These events are usually by invite only and usually high ranking officers/commanders/lieutenants are sent.</p> <p>I am seeking documents</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-sufficient to show which officer(s) attended this event and in what year(s)</li> <li>-Any records seeking permission to travel to this event</li> <li>-Records to show invoices, contracts, or requests for travel by supervisor approval</li> <li>-Records to show communication between the Durham Police Department and the ADL.</li> </ul> <p>Specifically, e-mails between <a href="mailto:adl.org">adl.org</a> e-mail addresses and the Durham Police Department that should be found within 6 months of each trip. For example, if officer x attended the ATS in September of 2007, there should be documents in the officer's e-mail from the ADL within a 6 months time frame from the ADL. These e-mails already exist, as documents need to be sent to the ADL to confirm the trip itinerary and so forth. I am also requesting any e-mails from superiors that involve the ADL domains, for example if a superior is asked to recommend an officer for the trip. I would like those e-mails as well, I can send additional assistance that can be made with the IT department to seek these e-mails.</p>	Completed	Shannon Patterson	<p>We have conducted a diligent search of our records and found no records that are responsive to your request.</p>
PRA-2018-01242	Smith	Wendy	6/15/2018	<p>This request is for any and all public records for: Kristal Anne Abner [REDACTED] and for: Christopher Dale Abner DOB: [REDACTED]</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. California law authorizes certain governmental and private organizations to conduct criminal offender record information background checks to help determine the suitability of a</p>

PRA-2018-01201	Tylko	Kristin	6/18/2018	Case # C1518914 Date 8/13/15		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>person applying for a license, employment, or a volunteer position working with children, the elderly, or the disabled. Law enforcement agencies, public and private schools, non-profit organizations, and in-home supportive care agencies are some of the organizations authorized to conduct these fingerprint-based background checks. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p> <p>Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records based on the information provided. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office.</p>
PRA-2018-01203	Rebolledo	Amy	6/18/2018	Name change from Kaufman to Rebolledo for Jack, Amy and son Marco in 2000 or 2001. I need to renew my drivers license however the DMV needs a copy of the record.		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are name change records, you may wish to direct your request to the court or other agency in which the name change was filed.</p>
PRA-2018-01204	Kiss	Orsolya	6/18/2018	I am looking for an official document to show my past address on [REDACTED]. I need it to recover some money from California Unclaimed Property		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records or forms that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since it is indicated in your correspondence that you are seeking information on a specific property, you may wish to contact the local county assessor's office or recorder's office for the county in which the property resides.</p>
PRA-2018-01206	Saccoliti	Howard	6/18/2018	Case Number: JE1501478D Case: Civil Judgement Court Jurisdiction: Justice of the Peace Precinct 2 place 1 – Garland. This individual is applying for housing/rental and Civil Search indicates this case is regarding is an Eviction. However, he refuses that and indicates it was regarding a deposit and the individual he was working with was dishonest.		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>The DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking eviction records, you may wish to direct your request to the local superior court in which the case was filed.</p>
PRA-2018-01207	Leuning	Jennifer	6/18/2018	Michelle Neil, Et Al. Vs Michael Esposito On 09/07/2017 a Small Claim - Other Small Claim case was filed by Michelle Neil against Michael Esposito in the jurisdiction of Los Angeles County Superior Courts, Stanley Mosk Courthouse		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency,</p>

PRA-2018-01208	Tapia	Liliana	6/18/2018	located in Los Angeles, California. I would like to request the details of this case and the court ruling.		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records filed with a small claims court, you may wish to direct your request to their office.</p> <p>Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, such as the case name, Deputy Attorney General's name, or other information to help identify the case, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office. If this case was handled by a District Attorney, you would need to direct your request to the District Attorney's office.</p>
PRA-2018-01211	Gomez	Antonio	6/18/2018	I am requesting my own dates of custody records for when I was incarcerated in prison. My CDC# was P25295		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Your request does not identify records that are in the control or custody of the Office of the Attorney General/Department of Justice. The Department of Justice cannot produce records that are responsive to your request. We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, but seeks records from another government agency. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c).</p> <p>If you wish to review records that are in custody or control of another government agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation. Information on how to contact them can be found at <a href="http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html">http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html</a>.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-01212	Donovan	Katherine	6/18/2018	<p>RECORDS OF: MICHAEL J. BADELLA - BADELLA CUSTOM (firearms dealer/gunsmith)</p> <p>DOB: [REDACTED]</p> <p>Business address: 1779 Road 24, Madera, CA</p> <p>Residence address: [REDACTED]</p> <p>Any and all records, writings, etc. of any type/format relating to the raid/investigation conducted at either of the addresses referenced herein by the Department of Justice for alleged weapons violations by Mr. Badella from January 1, 2018 to the present. It is believed that the DOJ conducted a raid in either May of June 2018.</p>		Completed	Robert Wilson	<p>As a preliminary matter, we note that under the Public Records Act government records are open and subject to inspection by and disclosure to the public, unless they are "exempt from disclosure by express provisions of law." (Gov. Code, § 6253, subd. (b).) Section 6254, subdivision (k), incorporates confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law.</p> <p>The records that you seek are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (t) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See, Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal 4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation.</p>



PRA-2018-01214	Fox	Robbie	6/18/2018	<p>Please accept this letter as a request under the Public Records Act, Open Records Act or Freedom of Information Act for the State of California to obtain a current electronic/digital copy of the Sexual Offender Registry for the State of California. I am very much aware and do understand that the actual list changes frequently. The fact that it changes is not a problem. Please know that this information is not being used to harass anyone.</p> <p>I would respectfully ask that you forward whatever data is "current" as of the date you respond. A Microsoft Excel spreadsheet or a Microsoft Access database format would be preferred, if possible. Please advise if there is a fee or charge for this service. If so, I will forward a check or money order prior to you sending the information.</p> <p>Thank you in advance for your help and assistance in this very important matter. If you have questions, or if I can be of assistance to you in anyway please do not hesitate to contact me at (865) 428-9460.</p>	Dollywood	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>(Id. at p. 355 [While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (t).])</p> <p>Unfortunately, we must deny your PRA request for the following reasons: (1) Public access to confidential sex offender registration information is controlled by Megan's Law which, for database integrity reasons, limits such access to real time internet searches on the Megan's Law web site; and (2) Given the constantly-changing nature of the Megan Law's database, the public's interest is best served by limiting public access to confidential sex offender registration information that is accurate and up-to-date.</p> <p>Megan's Law Provides for Internet Access Only</p> <p>Most of the information provided by registering sex offenders during the registration process is confidential (i.e., not open to public inspection). (Pen. Code, § 290.021.) The same is also true for "criminal offender record information" kept in the Department of Justice's California Sex and Arson Registry ("CSAR").</p> <p>However, despite the confidential nature of this information, California provides members of the public with limited access to some of the sex offender registration information via the Megan's Law internet web site. Specifically, Penal Code section 290.46 provides that "the Department of Justice shall make available information concerning persons who are required to register pursuant to Section 290 to the public via an Internet Web site as specified in this section." (Pen. Code, § 290.46, subd. (a)(l), italics added.) The statute further requires the department to "update the Internet Web site on an ongoing basis." (Ibid.) As mentioned in the notes to this code section, the Legislature created the Megan's Law web site with the intent "that the Department of Justice [would] continue to maximize all available resources to ensure the highest degree of accuracy in the sex registration database." (Pen. Code, § 290.46, Stats. 2004.</p> <p>ch 745, § 2.) Additionally, the Legislature enacted Megan's Law with the intent that "California's infrastructure for collecting, maintaining, and disseminating information about registered sex offenders [would] be retooled to ensure that law enforcement and the public have access to accurate, up-to-date, and relevant information about registered sex offenders." (Pen. Code, § 290.46, Stats 2006 ch. 337, § 2, subd. (c), italics added.) Thus, in order to help ensure and maintain the accuracy of this information, the Legislature limited the public dissemination of sex offender registration information to the Megan's Law web site.</p> <p>Government Code section 6254 sets forth various exceptions to the disclosure requirements under the PRA. Significantly for this matter, section 6254, subdivision (k), exempts from disclosure "Records, the disclosure of which is exempted or prohibited pursuant to federal or state law, including, but not limited to, provisions of the Evidence Code relating to privilege." (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k), italics added.) Thus, the PRA cannot extend the public's right of access to sex offender registration information beyond the limitations and restrictions set forth in Megan's Law. Consequently, the public's right of access to this information is limited to accessing the Megan's Law database.</p> <p>The Public Interest is Best Served by Limiting Access to Current and Accurate Information. In your request, you state you are looking for an electronic copy of the "sexual offender registry." You further indicate that you are aware that the list changes frequently and that is not a problem; you are only looking for "current" data as the date we respond. Under Government Code section 6255, a government agency may withhold disclosure of a public record "by demonstrating</p>
----------------	-----	--------	-----------	---	-----------	-----------	---------------	---

									<p>... that on the facts of the particular case the public interest served by not disclosing the record clearly outweighs the public interest served by disclosure." (Gov. Code, §6255, subd. (a).)</p> <p>As a separate legal basis, we believe the circumstances in this case necessitate nondisclosure of the records that you seek from us.</p> <p>The information that appears on the Megan's Law web site is processed through a thirdparty vendor. The purpose of the web site is to provide members of the public access to the most current sex offender registrant data. Thus, the site, which is updated daily to reflect any new additions, deletions, and modifications to the registrant database, stores and displays only the current day's data. The Offender Registration Application Unit (ORAU) is the internal unit within the Department of Justice that provides technology support and maintenance for CSAR, the State's repository for sex and arson registration information. Every day, ORAU creates a file which contains a snapshot of the registration data for that day. Our vendor then downloads that file from our site and stores the file on the hard drive of the server that creates the files used for the web site. Specifically, our vendor runs a program that takes that day's data and completely recreates the data files that are used by the web servers to provide the data to the public. Our vendor does not employ any database for this process, only temporary ISAM files. No transaction or other record keeping measures are utilized. The previous day's data on the web servers is overwritten with the new data. The data is also overwritten on the computer where these daily data files are created.</p> <p>On our end, ORAU keeps a backup for seven days of the extract file that is sent to our vendor. However, the information on the backup files is not a mirror image of what appears on the public web site because it contains information that is excluded from public view during our vendor's processing of the information. Additionally, even if our vendor reprocessed the information from one of the backup files, we could not ensure the accuracy of all the information because of the ever-changing nature of the registration database. In other words, because the registration database changes on a daily basis (i.e., newly added registrants, newly removed registrants, and changes to registrant's data) even a delay of one day would result in a report that contained inaccurate data. Such results do not comply with the Legislature's stated intent "that the Department of Justice ... ensure the highest degree of accuracy in the sex registration database" (Pen. Code, § 290.46, Stats 2004 ch 745, § 2) and "ensure that ... the public have access to accurate, up-to-date, and relevant information about registered sex offenders" (Pen. Code, § 290.46, Stats 2006 ch 337, §. 2, subd. (c)). While we understand and appreciate that you are not concerned with the ongoing accuracy of the information provided, the law requires us as discussed ante to provide the public updated and accurate information on an ongoing basis. Due to the constantly changing nature of the information in the registry, a "snapshot" view of the information for any given day of the Megan's Law web site would almost certainly be obsolete the following day. In other words, the day-old "snapshot" would contain some information that should have been removed, and it would be missing other information that should have been added. Given the sensitive nature of the information at issue, we cannot allow such inaccurate records to exist. The problem is further compounded by the fact that if we provide a "snapshot" for one member of the public we have to provide a "snapshot" to every member of the public that requests it. In doing so, we would be creating a situation wherein an untold number inaccurate "snapshots" of the database would exist.</p> <p>Furthermore, given the highly sensitive nature of sex offender registration information, providing the public with inaccurate</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

PRA-2018-01215	Maero	Jacqueline	6/18/2018	A GWU law student called in asking about the mugshots.com case and requesting court filing information. She is performing research, not calling on behalf of media.	Completed	Robert Morgester, SAAG	<p>information could cause real public safety concerns. For instance, if registration information is disseminated about a person who is no longer required to register or be posted on the web site, that person may be subjected to undue harassment or even danger. Additionally, if a registrant moves, the person who occupies the registrant's old address may be mistakenly harassed or injured. And, as every day goes by, the obsolescence of the report and the inaccuracies contained within it continue to grow.</p> <p>Finally, we receive a multitude of similar requests from members of the public, especially broadcast and print media outlets. Depending on the volume and requested frequency (i.e., daily, weekly, monthly) of such PRA requests if we were required to produce sex offender registration database information, the additional time and work required for responding to the requests could have a dramatic impact on our ability to successfully perform the core functions of CSAR, which in turn could affect public safety.</p> <p>Attached are five documents: the arrest warrant; color production of page 19 from the arrest warrant; complaint; letter for amended complaint; and the amended complaint that is responsive to your request in providing the records requested, we have redacted certain private identifying information, such as noncommercial addresses, email addresses, and telephone numbers, along with other sensitive personal information such as social security numbers and credit card numbers based on considerations of personal privacy. (Cal. Const., art. I, §1, as incorporated into the Public Records Act by Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Gov. Code, § 6255.)</p>
PRA-2018-01216	Huth	Diana	6/18/2018	Divorce between Diana L Huth and Gearld C Huth Jr. Need date of final divorce for passport application	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-01217	Segovia Gutierrez	David	6/18/2018	Bankruptcy	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We note that your records request is directed to the California Department of Justice but seeks records possibly in the control or custody of a federal agency. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code § 6253(c). If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a federal government agency, you may wish to direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>Bankruptcy cases cannot be filed in state court. Bankruptcy cases are heard in the US Bankruptcy Court. Please find information regarding the US Bankruptcy Court on the internet at: <a href="http://www.uscourts.gov/bankruptcycourts.html">http://www.uscourts.gov/bankruptcycourts.html</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-01244	Ohm	Ryan	6/18/2018	Are there any legally-valid opinions or decisions published by or known to Attorney General Mr. Becerra allowing or regulating any form of GPS tracking of persons with their consent in respect to cell phone technology or mobile technology specifically?	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking legal advice or informal opinions, the DOJ is not in a position to perform legal research or to provide legal advice to private individuals. You may wish to consult with a private attorney to evaluate your options regarding your records</p>

PRA-2018-01245	Leach	Peter	6/18/2018	I would like to be served with a copy of each one and all the records of the communication between Deputy District Attorney (Major Crimes Division) Michael J. Duarte and the United States Penitentiary ATLANTA, Georgia of the U.S. Bureau of Prisons, including letters, faxes, e-mails or otherwise, regarding PETITIONER (Peter Alan Leach) during 1999 to 2003		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We note that your request was sent to the California Department of Justice, but seeks communication between a Deputy District Attorney and the United States Bureau of Prisons. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records from a District Attorney's Office and the United States Bureau of Prisons, you would need to direct your request to their respective offices.</p> <p>A copy is enclosed. This completes our response to your request.</p>
PRA-2018-01329	Cox	Kenny	6/18/2018	A copy of Initiative 17-0044, "Reducing Crime and Keeping California Safe Act of 2018".		Completed	Anabel Renteria	<p>The Department of Justice has no records responsive to your request. For signatures regarding a specific initiative you may contact the Secretary of State's Election Division at 1500 11th, St., 5th Floor, Sacramento, CA 95814.</p>
PRA-2018-01397	Zimmerman	Vasco	6/18/2018	In regards to the initiative# 17-0046 "3 Strikes Law of 2018" my question is to find out how many signatures the initiative has as of the date this letter is received by you.		Completed	Anabel Renteria	<p>We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, but seeks records that may be in the possession of another government agency. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). If you wish to review records that are in custody or control of another government agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation for prison records. Information on how to contact them can be found at <a href="http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html">http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html</a>.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-01218	Montoya	Beatriz	6/19/2018	Edward Allen Schiff, D.O.B. [REDACTED] currently in prison at Avenal State Prison, Prisoner number is BE1343, admission date 9/8/17 also known as Schieff, Edward. Arrest report, court records and sentencing time. Request criminal/felony case report. Would like to know what is the reason of prison time and sentencing and release information		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records or forms that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since it is indicated in your correspondence that you are seeking information on tax records, we suggest that you contact the State of California Franchise Tax Board. Information on how to request records from the Franchise</p>
PRA-2018-01219	Hernandez	Frank	6/19/2018	Annual income statement for taxed earnings verification during years 2013-2017.		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records or forms that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since it is indicated in your correspondence that you are seeking information on tax records, we suggest that you contact the State of California Franchise Tax Board. Information on how to request records from the Franchise</p>

									Tax Board can be found on their website at <a href="https://www.ftb.ca.gov/about/FTB/Public_Records_Act/index.shtml?WT_mc_id=..">https://www.ftb.ca.gov/about/FTB/Public_Records_Act/index.shtml?WT_mc_id=..</a> If you are seeking the IRS W-2 form that is issued by your employer, you should direct your request to the personnel office of your employer.
PRA-2018-01220	Penn	Carol	6/19/2018	I want to know the dates I left the US and the dates I returned from other countries.		Completed	Erin Nickless		You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a federal agency, you may wish to direct your request to their office. Since you are seeking records related to Border Crossings and/or Customs, you may wish to visit the U.S. Department of Homeland and Security's Customs and Border Protection website at <a href="https://www.cbp.gov/">https://www.cbp.gov/</a> for more information on that topic.
PRA-2018-01222	Haake	Kate	6/19/2018	I am requesting any available documents and correspondence related to the Aetna and CVS merger. Specifically, I am requesting any information related to the following: 1. Documents, emails, correspondence, etc. between the companies, Aetna and CVS, and the state of California; 2. Documents, emails, correspondence, etc between California and other states in reference to the merger of Aetna and CVS; 3. Documents, emails, correspondence, etc. between the California Department of Insurance and the Department of Managed Health Care and the California state attorney general and/or state attorney general's office regarding Aetna's merger with CVS. 4. Documents, emails, correspondence, etc. between California state attorney general and the US Department of Justice regarding the state attorney general.		Completed	Catherine Brown		Response by Michelle Mitchell for Catherine Brown. As an initial matter, we note that your public record request does not include any specific time period. Nonetheless, since items 1 through 3 of your request relates to a proposed merger that was announced in December 2017, we have considered the relevant period for purposes of responding to your request to be from December 1, 2017 to the date of your request. We also note that your public record request is directed to the Department but seeks records of the State of California generally or of certain other state agencies. Absent a request by a separate agency, the Department will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other state departments or agencies. If your request seeks records that are in the custody or control of another state agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Further, item 4 of the request as drafted seeks records "regarding the state attorney general" without any further limit as to subject matter. Unspecific and unfocused requests for government records under the Act are contrary to the purposes of the Act, and requestors should provide specific, focused requests in order to give agencies a reasonable opportunity to respond. General, unfocused requests compel agencies to deny them thereby leading to litigation. (Rogers v. Superior Court (1993) 19 Cal.App.4th 469, 481-482.) We must decline item 4 of your request for records as it is currently cast. However, we are willing to consult with you to determine if a more specific request would be likely to generate responsive records. (Gov. Code, § 6253.1.) In the case of a proposed merger, our office may obtain information concerning a proposed merger under confidentiality agreements and subject to confidentiality requirements in federal law, including in the Hart-Scott-Rodino Act (15 USC § 18a). To the extent that we may have any of these or other types of records responsive to items 1-3 of your request, they would be exempt from disclosure under the following exemptions. To the extent your request seeks confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General, the records are exempt. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General. (See, Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 [While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f).])

Some of the records that would be implicated by your request would be exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney-client privilege. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954 which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client, are expressly incorporated into the Act. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); *Roberts v. City of Palmdale* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363.) The attorneys in our department provide legal advice not only to other state agencies, but also to the Attorney General and his designees. Accordingly, communications between the department's attorneys and other state agency clients, or between the Attorney General or his designees and the department's attorneys, included within the responsive records are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client privilege, and are exempt from disclosure under the Act.

The attorney work product exception protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is also incorporated into the Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); *County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court* (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.) Records such as confidential analyses, draft language and memoranda prepared by the attorneys employed with the Attorney General's Office are subject to the work product exception and are consequently exempt from disclosure under the Act.

Agencies may withhold from disclosure records pertaining to pending litigation to which the public agency is a party until the pending litigation has been finally adjudicated or otherwise settled. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (b).) The pending litigation exemption does not duplicate the attorney work product exemption but is broader and covers documents prepared by the agency in anticipation of, or for use in, litigation. (*County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court* (Axelrad) (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 831; *Failliey v. Superior Court* (1998) 66 Cal.App.4th 1414, 1422.)

The official information privilege applies to information gathered by a government agency under assurances of confidentiality, which may be withheld from disclosure if it is in the public interest to do so. The official information privilege in Evidence Code section 1040 is incorporated into the Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); *San Gabriel Tribune v. Superior Court* (1983) 143 Cal. App. 3d 762, 775.) In the case of a proposed merger, our office may obtain information concerning a proposed merger under confidentiality agreements and subject to confidentiality requirements in federal law, including in the HartScott-Rodino Act (15 USC§ 18a).

The Act also exempts from disclosure preliminary drafts, notes, or interagency or intra-agency memoranda that are not retained by the public agency in the ordinary course of business, if the public interest in withholding those records clearly outweighs the public interest in disclosure. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (a); *Citizens for a Better Environment v. Department of Food & Agriculture* (1985) 171 Cal.App.3d 704, 712.)

The deliberative process privilege exempts from disclosure materials that would expose an agency's decision making process in such a way as to discourage candid discussion within the agency and thereby undermine the agency's ability to perform its functions. Even if the content of a document is purely factual, it is nonetheless exempt from public scrutiny if it is actually related to the process by which policies are formulated or, if it is inextricably intertwined with policymaking processes. (*Times Mirror Co. v. Superior Court* (1991) 53 Cal.3d 1325, 1342.) Records that reveal deliberative processes

PRA-2018-01223	Yeargin	Cole	6/19/2018	<p>Hello, I am looking to obtain records which would give a complete listing of all attorneys who have been admitted to practice in the four California US District Courts. I am seeking only that information which the US District Courts make available through their website. Such as attorney name, firm name, address, Bar number, etc. The California District Courts make this information available through their websites, but I am hoping for an all inclusive record, or records, which would alleviate the need to search for attorneys one name at a time. If there is a cost associated with providing the requested records, please contact me so arrangements can be made.</p> <p>My thanks for your prompt consideration of my request.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We note that you have submitted your request to the California Department of Justice, but seek records from the California US District Courts. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records from the United States District Court, you may wish to direct your request to the Courts' offices.</p>	<p>are protected through application of Government Code section 6255. In the instant case, requested records relating to review of a proposed merger would be covered by the deliberative process privilege and, therefore, absent special circumstances would be exempt from disclosure under Government Code section 6255. We have completed our search and did not locate any non-exempt records responsive to your request.</p> <p>An extension was sent by Catherine Brown, GLS on June 27, 2018.</p>
PRA-2018-01224	Gordon	Mandy (Cameron)	6/19/2018	<p>Certification of Absence of Police Records. This is needed by June 27th, for a appt at the Spanish Consulate LA to apply for a student Visa to study in Spain this fall.</p>	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>Unfortunately, the Department of Justice (DOJ) is unable to comply with your request.</p> <p>California law allows you to request a record clearance from the DOJ for Visa/Immigration purposes. The following link describes the basic steps to complete your request for a background check: <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/visa/immigration">https://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/visa/immigration</a>. Failure to follow these procedures may result in a delay or the rejection of your application for a DOJ response under Penal Code Section 11105(c)(9). If you currently reside in California, with limited exceptions, you must submit your fingerprints electronically from a Live Scan site.</p> <p>The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</p>	<p>Unfortunatly, the Department of Justice (DOJ) is unable to comply with your request.</p> <p>California law allows you to request a record clearance from the DOJ for Visa/Immigration purposes. The following link describes the basic steps to complete your request for a background check: <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/visa/immigration">https://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/visa/immigration</a>. Failure to follow these procedures may result in a delay or the rejection of your application for a DOJ response under Penal Code Section 11105(c)(9). If you currently reside in California, with limited exceptions, you must submit your fingerprints electronically from a Live Scan site.</p> <p>The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</p>
PRA-2018-01226	Chen	Benjamin	6/19/2018	<p>I'm looking for the Annual NPDES Report for O'Neill Forebay for 2016 and 2017. This Annual NPDES report is a general discharge report for aquatic herbicides submitted annually to the State Water Resource Control Board. The current bid is named IFB# 10144508, I do not have the previous bid information. The bid is put out by the Department of Water Resources, San Luis Field Division. Lisa Huff is the project manager.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a federal agency, you may wish to direct your request to their office. Since you are seeking records related to State Water Resource Control Board, you may wish to direct your request to their office. Please visit their website at <a href="https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/">https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/</a> for more information on how to contact them for your records request.</p>	<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a federal agency, you may wish to direct your request to their office. Since you are seeking records related to State Water Resource Control Board, you may wish to direct your request to their office. Please visit their website at <a href="https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/">https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/</a> for more information on how to contact them for your records request.</p>
PRA-2018-01227	Chen	Benjamin	6/19/2018	<p>I'm looking for the past winning bid for Aquatic Herbicide and Application Services @ O'Neill Forebay. The current bid is named IFB# 10144508, I do not have the previous bid information. The bid is put out by the Department of Water Resources, San Luis Field Division. Lisa Huff is the project manager.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a federal agency, you may wish to direct your request to their office. Since you are seeking records related to State Water Resource Control Board, you may wish to direct your request to their office. Please visit their website at <a href="https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/">https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/</a> for more information on how to contact them for your records request.</p>	<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a federal agency, you may wish to direct your request to their office. Since you are seeking records related to State Water Resource Control Board, you may wish to direct your request to their office. Please visit their website at <a href="https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/">https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/</a> for more information on how to contact them for your records request.</p>

PRA-2018-01229	Walsh	Rebecca	6/19/2018	Branden G Godson, 19, Chino California, Police Records, Warrants, Current Address		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Control Board, you may wish to direct your request to their office. Please visit their website at <a href="https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/">https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/</a> for more information on how to contact them for your records request.</p> <p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-01232	Moralez	David	6/19/2018	<p>Case File N2017-166 Contact Information:</p> <p>I request the name and spelling, position title, email address and telephone number of the direct supervisor(s) of Desiree Tulleners, Deputy Attorney General, State Bar No. 157464 and Mr. Thomas Rinaldi, Supervising Deputy Attorney General, State Bar No. 206911. I also request the name and spelling, position title, email address and telephone number of the Director of the Licensing Section and Health Quality Enforcement Section. Thank you for your assembling my request for information.</p>		Completed	Armando Zambrano	<p>The following information is in response to your request:</p> <p>1) Direct supervisor(s) of Desiree Tulleners, Deputy Attorney General  Thomas L. Rinaldi  Supervising Deputy Attorney General  Thomas.Rinaldi@doj.ca.gov  213.269.6310</p> <p>2) Direct supervisor(s) of Mr. Thomas Rinaldi, Supervising Deputy Attorney General  Linda K. Schneider  Senior Assistant Attorney General  Linda.Schneider@doj.ca.gov  619.738.9411</p> <p>3) Director of the Licensing Section  Linda K. Schneider  Senior Assistant Attorney General  Linda.Schneider@doj.ca.gov  619.738.9411</p> <p>4) Director of the Health Quality Enforcement Section  Gloria L. Castro  Senior Assistant Attorney General  Gloria.Castro@doj.ca.gov  213.269.6507</p>
PRA-2018-01233	Snoke	Brian	6/19/2018	"I am seeking disclosure of statewide information policies re: Expanded Law Library materials and supplies. See 2017 Ed. Title 15 CCR 3120-3124..." "On May 30, 2018, the United States Department of Justice, Office of the Pardon Attorney issues "Full Grant" Re: FOIA. DOJ.OPA.2018-277944. The disclosure		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office.</p> <p>Your request also references a letter from the United States</p>



PRA-2018-01247	Black	Sharon	6/19/2018	<p>favorable may result in adversities. I now require the grant to extend to state research tools of the trade not available to me as of most resent Inmate Appeal."</p> <p>Two e-mail requests:  1) I Have Been In Hell All Morning Only To Find Out You Have My Name Incorrect. Wrong Wrong Wrong. Please Fix And Make It Accurate And Correct On Your Site Once And For All. I Am Sharon Black With No Middle Initial. Is That Clear. I Am Sharon Black Not Sharon B Black As You Have It. I Will Have Another Stroke And Heart Attack If This Persists.  2) This Is A Followup On Mistakes My Name Is Sharon Black Not Not Not Sharon B Black. Additionally I am NOT related or know of a Barbara Black remove that name from any association with myself. My ss# is [REDACTED]</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Department of Justice, Office of the Pardon Attorney, regarding a grant and seeks access to "state research tools."</p> <p>Please note that the California DOJ is a State agency, and independently run from the United States Department of Justice (US DOJ). If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of the US DOJ, you may wish to direct your request to their office.</p> <p>The California DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>The Public Records Unit processes requests to the California Department of Justice for copies of Public Records. There was no record description or comment included with your contact information to identify which records you are seeking. As there were no records identified in your request, we are unable to provide records that are responsive. If you can provide more information as to which documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of the documents that are within the control and custody of DOJ.</p> <p>Since you appear to be trying to amend records of some sort, you would need to direct your request to the appropriate unit or agency. Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody or control. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>The DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview.</p> <p>If you are seeking to correct criminal history records, if an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p> <p>Additionally, we note that your request references your name being on our site. A search of our site, which is <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/">https://oag.ca.gov/</a>, did not produce any exact matches for "Sharon Black," "Sharon B. Black," or "Barbara Black." If you are attempting to have your record altered on another agency's website, you would need to contact them directly.</p>
PRA-2018-01293	Smith	Samantha	6/19/2018	<p>This is a request for copies of any and all police reports, court records, court dockets, or other documents that you may have in your possession for the above-referenced matter involving our client, Noe Rosales, the victim of Fraud and Identity Theft in the incident. Although we are requesting this specific information, we request a copy of any and all documentation in your possession. We made a request to your office on 06/11/18 to which you responded on 06/19/18 that you had conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and were unable to locate responsive records. Your response also stated that if we have any additional information on the documents we are seeking, such as a case name and number, you can conduct a search of your records within the care and custody of your office. We do have a possible incident number from the Escondido Police</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>As stated in our June 19, 2018 response, police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) In regard to the court records you are seeking, we are unable to search our legal indices based on the criteria provided. You may be able to direct your request to the local court in which the case was heard.</p>



PRA-2018-01235	Schwartz	Matthew	6/20/2018	<p>Pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act, I hereby request a copy of all records, including but not limited to, all correspondence, reports, findings, orders and recommendations, pertaining to the Attorney General of the State of California's File No. 2018-CT0153623; which is styled - In the Matter of the Order to Cease and Desist and Notice of Assessment of Penalties Against: American Veterans Foundation.</p>				<p>is \$0.10 per page. Here, the entire cost of providing the requested electronic record is \$4.70 for 47 pages. If you decide you want a paper copy, you will incur fees for photocopying and postage (regular U.S. ground mail). Please let me know if you want a paper copy and we will calculate those fees. Or, you may avoid a postage fee by arranging to pick up the paper records at the street address listed in the above letterhead.</p> <p>Assuming that you want electronic copies of these records e-mailed to you, please write a check for \$4.70 made payable to the "California Department of Justice" and send your check to:</p> <p>California Department of Justice Public Records Coordinator 1300 I Street, Room 1710-6 Sacramento, CA 95814</p> <p>Upon receipt of your check in the above-stated amount, we will promptly forward to you the disclosable record responsive to your request.</p> <p>If we do not receive your payment within 20 days of this letter, we will consider your request for records withdrawn and the matter closed.</p>
PRA-2018-01235	Schwartz	Matthew	6/20/2018	<p>Pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act, I hereby request a copy of all records, including but not limited to, all correspondence, reports, findings, orders and recommendations, pertaining to the Attorney General of the State of California's File No. 2018-CT0153623; which is styled - In the Matter of the Order to Cease and Desist and Notice of Assessment of Penalties Against: American Veterans Foundation.</p>		Completed	Caroline Hughes	<p>For the reasons set forth below, we decline to provide records in response to your request.</p> <p>There are public records on the Attorney General's website that relate to your request, which you may find at <a href="http://rct.doj.ca.gov/Verification/">http://rct.doj.ca.gov/Verification/</a>, click the link to search for an Organization, and then type in "American Veterans Foundation" under the "Organization Name". Any additional records that may exist would be classified as confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts investigatory and security files of the Attorney General, including complaints about unlawful practices. In the case of Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, the California Supreme Court held that investigative records in the possession of law enforcement agencies are exempt from disclosure. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (b) exempts records pertaining to pending litigation until the pending litigation or claim has been finally adjudicated or otherwise settled.</p> <p>To the extent that your request is for any other documents or information related to these records, Code of Civil Procedure section 2018.030 exempts from disclosure the Work product of an attorney. The attorney work-product exemption exempts from disclosure any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that are maintained as confidential. It is also incorporated into the Public Records Act by Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k). (County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.) Under the attorney work-product exemption, records such as confidential analyses, draft language and memorandum prepared by the attorneys employed with the Attorney General's Office would be subject to this exemption, and are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.</p>
PRA-2018-01240	Kretchman	Johnna	6/20/2018	<p>I will need any and all publicly available documentation for each of the following records. They are all for projects related to IT goods and services. There are eight (8) in all. Thank you for your time!</p> <p>Purchase Document #: 17IT-0175 Project Start and End Dates: 11/20/2017 to 12/31/2019 Fiscal Amount: \$2,256,408.00 Vendor Name: Celer Systems Inc. Purchase Document #: 17IT-0158</p>		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>When I sought clarification on June 22, 2018, you confirmed that you wanted the Request for Offers (RFOs), submitted bids, and selection and scoring reports for each record. Below, please find your specific requests followed by our office's response and the responsive documents that are attached.</p> <p>1. Purchase Document #: 17IT-0175 Project Start and End Dates: 11/20/2017 to 12/31/2019 Fiscal Amount: \$2,256,408.00 Vendor Name: Celer Systems Inc.</p>



PRA-2018-01295	Trumble	Ross	6/20/2018	<p>Please send any complaints filed with the CA Attorney General's office against the following entities, associated with Charles C Sells:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• CHIMERA LLC</li> <li>• PIP-GROUP LLC</li> <li>• PLATINUM PROPERTY ACQUISITIONS LLC</li> <li>• PLATINUM REOS &amp; ACQUISITIONS LLC</li> <li>• SNS OF HHI LLC</li> <li>• VISION TAX LIEN SERVICES INCORPORATED</li> <li>• ORCHID CLEANERS, INC</li> <li>• ACCUITY INC</li> </ul>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Celer Systems RFO Response</li> <li>• Cloverleaf RFO Response</li> <li>• Softsol RFO Response</li> <li>• RFO</li> <li>• RFO SOW</li> <li>• Bid Quote/Offer Selection Document (the DOJ doesn't have scoring reports as this contract was not awarded based on scoring; therefore, the DOJ is providing the Bid Quote/Offer Selection document.)</li> <li>6. Purchase Document #: 16IT-1348 Project Start and End Dates: 06/28/2017 to 02/28/2018 Fiscal Amount: \$970,237.40 Vendor Name: Dajani Consulting Inc. Response</li> <li>Attachments: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Dajani RFO Response</li> <li>• QualiApps RFO Response</li> </ul> </li> <li>• RFO</li> <li>• RFO SOW</li> <li>• Bid Quote/Offer Selection Document (the DOJ doesn't have scoring reports as this contract was not awarded based on scoring; therefore, the DOJ is providing the Bid Quote/Offer Selection document.)</li> <li>7. Purchase Document #: 17IT-0017 Project Start and End Dates: 07/01/2017 to 06/30/2018 Fiscal Amount: \$29,803.99 Vendor Name: HotDocs Corporation Response</li> <li>This contract was procured via a Request for Quote (RFQ) versus RFQ; therefore, the DOJ has provided the RFQ documents.</li> <li>Attachments: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• HotDocs RFQ Response</li> <li>• RFQ</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Bid Quote/Offer Selection Document (the DOJ doesn't have scoring reports as this contract was not awarded based on scoring; therefore, the DOJ is providing the Bid Quote/Offer Selection document.)</li> <li>8. Purchase Document#: 17IT-0669 Project Start and End Dates: 12/08/2017 to 12/07/2018 Fiscal Amount: \$566,700.40 Vendor Name: Kovarus Inc. Response</li> <li>This contract was procured on DGS' mandatory statewide contract; therefore, the DOJ has no solicitation documents to provide.</li> <li>Attachments: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Bid Quote/Offer Selection Document</li> </ul> </li> <li>The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</li> </ul> <p>To the extent that your request seeks consumer complaint records or investigative files, we must decline your request. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)</p>
----------------	---------	------	-----------	---	-----------	---------------	---



PRA-2018-01243	Young	Cacy	6/21/2018	We would like to request a copy of the winning proposal and the evaluation sheet for the RFO 17-266. We would also ask for the scoring information. Please advise if this email is sufficient or we need to follow a certain procedure?	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. The DOJ has complied with your request and attached responsive documents. Note, we have redacted sensitive personal information from records based on considerations of personal privacy. (Art. I, sec. 1 of Cal. Con. as incorporated into the Public Records Act by Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Gov. Code, § 6255.) The DOJ does not score the information therefore does not have documents responsive to scoring information.	state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA-2018-01313	Brink	Prentice	6/21/2018	Bids and related documents submitted by bidders to Health Plan of San Mateo (HPSM) in response to its Home-Based Assessment Vendor Request for Proposals dated February 22, 2018, as well as documents related to HPSM's evaluation of those bids.	Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records submitted to Health Plan of San Mateo, you may wish to direct your request to their office.	
PRA-2018-01317	Agostino	Frank	6/21/2018	... copies of the administrative files maintained by the California Department of Justice ("DOJ") that relate to the above identified individual, including but not limited to the following: Notices Sent to the Individual by the DOJ; Documents Sent to the DOJ by the Taxpayer All correspondence, including notices, letters, contact history sheets, audit reports, and any other documents sent by the DOJ to the Taxpayer or his authorized representative, or received by the DOJ from the taxpayer, including but not limited to: 1. Criminal investigations 2. Criminal indictments 3. Identity theft allegations 4. Assistance to and from sister state and foreign government criminal investigations Notice of contact(s) of third parties All records of persons contacted by the DOJ with respect to the taxpayer, including but not limited to: 5. The notice(s) to the taxpayer that contacts with persons other than the taxpayer may be made. 6. A record of persons contacted by DOJ in connection with any o f the above categories 1-4 of documents relating to the taxpayer.	Completed	Erin Nickless	We were unable to locate any responsive records based on the information provided. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records within the care and custody of our office. In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows: -Providing the actual name or title of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record. -Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created. -If related to a case, providing the case name or number. -Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record. -Indicating the city in which the record was created. Absent a request for representation by another state agency, the DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.	We were unable to locate any responsive records based on the information provided. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records within the care and custody of our office. In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows: -Providing the actual name or title of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record. -Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created. -If related to a case, providing the case name or number. -Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record. -Indicating the city in which the record was created. Absent a request for representation by another state agency, the DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.

								<p>If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p> <p>To the extent that your request seeks investigative files, we must decline your request. Investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See <i>Williams v. Superior Court</i> (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)</p> <p>Since it is indicated in your correspondence that you are seeking audit reports and you refer to Uriah Shitrit as "the taxpayer," if you are seeking tax-related documents, you may wish to contact the State of California Franchise Tax Board. Information on how to request records from the Franchise Tax Board can be found on their website at <a href="https://www.ftb.ca.gov/aboutFTB/Public_Records_Act/index.shtml?WT.mc_id=..">https://www.ftb.ca.gov/aboutFTB/Public_Records_Act/index.shtml?WT.mc_id=..</a>.</p> <p>We were unable to conduct a search of our records based on the information provided, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records within the care and custody of our office.</p> <p>In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>-Providing the actual name or title of the record.</li><li>-Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record.</li><li>-Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record.</li><li>-Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created.</li><li>-If related to a case, providing the case name or number.</li><li>-Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record.</li><li>-Indicating the city in which the record was created.</li></ul> <p>Absent a request for representation by another state agency, the DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. If you can provide more information regarding the records you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records that are within our custody and control.</p>
PRA-2018-01246	Lopez	Holly	6/22/2018	Any	Completed	Erin Nickless		
PRA-2018-01248	Orejuela	Peter	6/22/2018	Cal. Sup Ct. Van Nuys case no.: LAV9PY0468601 booking number 002124201 citation # 997752 Could this copy of ticket be sent via email or mail? <div></div> i need either one of these: copy of the arresting officer's report, complaint, indictment, trial disposition, sentence, appeal, probation report and certified copy of conviction. I am applying for the state bar of California.	Completed	Erin Nickless		



PRA-2018-01249	Kennedy	Jennifer	6/22/2018	<p>This is my second request under the California Public Records Act § 6250 et seq. for the opportunity to inspect or obtain copies of public records in the following litigation: Brown v. State of California et al., 2nd Dist. Court of Appeal Case #B279936, pertaining to the final motions filed by plaintiffs' counsel and the OAG's response. I request the opportunity to inspect or obtain copies of the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Appellants' motion for expedited hearing date;</li> <li>2. Respondent's opposition to appellants' motion for expedited hearing date;</li> <li>3. Appellants' motion to withdraw as attorney of record;</li> <li>4. Attorney T. Matthew Phillips's Declaration in support of motion to withdraw as attorney of record;</li> <li>5. Appellants' opposition to motion to withdraw as attorney of record;</li> <li>6. T. Matthew Phillips' response to appellants' letter filed May 2, 2018;</li> <li>7. Order granting motion for leave to withdraw; and</li> <li>8. Any and all correspondence between T. Matthew Phillips and the Office of the Attorney General regarding any of the above motions.</li> </ol> <p>This request seeks to examine the aforementioned documents because this information is of public interest in monitoring this lawsuit.</p> <p>NB: The California Public Records Act requires a response within ten (10) business days. My first request was submitted online on April 28, 2018. I received no response from the OAG. A response to this second request will be expected on or before July 3, 2018.</p> <p>If access to the records I am requesting will take longer, please contact me with information about when I might expect copies or the ability to inspect the requested records.</p> <p>In addition, if you deny any or all of this request, please cite each specific exemption you feel justifies the refusal to release the information and notify me of the appeal procedures available to me under the law. Thank you for your prompt consideration of my request.</p>	Completed	Cheryl L. Feiner	<p>the record.</p> <p>If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p> <p>On July 3, 2018, Cheryl Feiner replied: On May 10, 2018 our office provided you, in compliance with your previous request, the following documents: (1) Appellant's Motion for Expedited Hearing Date; (2) Respondents' Opposition to Appellants' Motion for Expedited Hearing; (3) Motion to Withdraw as Attorney of record; and (4) Declaration of T. Matthew Phillips. Additionally, on May 29, 2018 our office also provided you with the following documents; Letter to the Court from Sharon Brown regarding Motion to Withdraw as Attorney of record, filed May 2, 2018; and the Court of Appeal Order granting the Motion to Withdraw.</p> <p>For your convenience, we have provided you these documents again.</p> <p>On June 25, 2018 an extension letter was sent by Cheryl Feiner, HEW.</p>
PRA-2018-01250	Lenca	Melissa	6/22/2018	<p>Pursuant to the California Public Records Act 6250 et seq., please provide our office with any and all tapes, records, hand-written records of the like involving 911 calls on 4/6/18 at approximate time 6:15 p.m. for an accident involving Esteban Perez, Mariah Catania, and Jose Trinidad, report # 9580-2018-01311 at SR-170 N/B (Hollywood FWY.) Please send the requested information to Phillips Law Offices, 161 N. Clark St., Ste 4925, Chicago, IL 60601, Fax No.: 312/346-0003; email <a href="mailto:mlenca@phillipslegal.com">mlenca@phillipslegal.com</a>; <a href="mailto:mlenca@phillipslegal.com">mlenca@phillipslegal.com</a>&lt;<a href="mailto:mlenca@phillipslegal.com">mailto:mlenca@phillipslegal.com</a>&gt;. Thank you in advance for your cooperation.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Specifically, you are seeking a recording of a 9-11 call. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.</p>
PRA-2018-01251	Hopp	Richard	6/22/2018	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Under Cal. Gov't Code, § 6253.9 an electronic copy of and in regards to Ivory Education Institute vs. The State of California, California Court of Appeal Case No. B282558 as follows:</li> </ol> <p>06/07/2018 Record omission letter received. Respondent</p>	Fees Requested	Carol A.Z. Boyd	<p>The third record you requested appears to be an e-mail from the Court of Appeal to the Superior Court. We did not receive a copy and therefore cannot produce it.</p> <p>The Department of Justice is authorized to charge the direct cost of duplication for providing copies of records in response to Public</p>

PRA-2018-01305	Hamilton	George	6/22/2018	<p>State of California dated June 4, 2018 06/08/2018 Record omission letter received. Respondent State of California dated June 5, 2018 06/12/2018 Email sent to: civil appeals re 6/4/2018 and 6/5/2018 record omission letters 06/19/2018 Respondent's brief. Defendant and Respondent: The State of California Attorney: Carol A. Z. Boyd Joinder filed by Respondent-Intervenors' Natural Resources Defense Council. Filed in on 6/20/18. 06/20/2018 Errata filed to: RESPONDENT'S BRIEF : Attorneys for Respondent California Department of Fish and Wildlife. 06/20/2018 Filed joinder of: Respondent-Intervenors? Joinder in Respondent Department of Fish and Wildlife?s Brief in Opposition to Appellant?s Opening brief.</p>				<p>Records Act requests. (Gov. Code, § 6253 subd. (b).) The cost for making a photocopy of a paper record or creating an electronic copy is \$0 .10 per page. Here, the entire cost of providing the requested electronic records is \$10.20 for 102 total pages. If you decide you want a paper copy; you will incur fees for photocopying and postage (regular U.S. ground mail). Please let me know if you want a paper copy and we will calculate the cost. Or, you may avoid a postage fee by arranging to pick up the paper records at the street address listed in the above letterhead.</p> <p>Assuming that you want electronic copies of these records e-mailed to you, please write a check for \$10.20 made payable to the "California Department of Justice" and send your check to:</p> <p>California Department of Justice Public Records Coordinator 1300 I Street, Room 1710-6 Sacramento, CA 95814</p> <p>Upon receipt of your check in the above-stated amount, we will promptly forward to you the disclosable records responsive to your request.</p> <p>If we do not receive your payment within 20 days of this letter, we will consider your request for records withdrawn and the matter closed.</p>	<p>We have carefully evaluated your request, and this letter will we set forth our response to your request.</p> <p>1) A copy of the California DOJ manual, "Legal Rights of Persons with Disabilities."</p> <p>Response to Request 1:</p> <p>This record is included with this correspondence.</p> <p>2) A copy of the California DOJ civil rights handbook, "Unlawful Discrimination, Your Rights and Remedies."</p> <p>Response to Request 2:</p> <p>This record is included with this correspondence.</p> <p>3) A copy of all published/promulgated California DOJ information that clarifies the rights and remedies of persons with mental health conditions/illness.</p> <p>Response to Request 3:</p> <p>This office does not have any records responsive to this request. We would suggest that you contact the California Department of Health Care Services, Mental Health Services Division, for information on those topics. The Web site is <a href="http://www.dhcs.ca.gov/services/-Pages/MentalHealthPrograms-Svcs.aspx">http://www.dhcs.ca.gov/services/-Pages/MentalHealthPrograms-Svcs.aspx</a>. You may also want to contact the Department of Developmental Services and the Department of State Hospitals.</p> <p>4) A copy of a list of all California agencies that offer services to all people who suffer mental health conditions/illness, including name of contact person/official.</p> <p>Response to Request 4:</p> <p>This office does not have any records responsive to this request. We would suggest that you contact the California Department of Health Care Services, Mental Health Services Division, for information on those topics:</p> <p><a href="http://www.dhcs.ca.gov/services/Pages/MentalHealthPrograms-Svcs.aspx">http://www.dhcs.ca.gov/services/Pages/MentalHealthPrograms-Svcs.aspx</a>. You may also want to contact the Department of Developmental Services, the Department of State Hospitals, and the Commission on Aging.</p>	<p>On July 2, 2018, Anthony Seferian sent an extension letter.</p>	<p>We were unable to locate responsive records based on the information provided. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records</p>
PRA-2018-01314	Lopez	Jose	6/22/2018	<p>Document was recovered from a case involving a fraudulent man who went by as an attorney Oficina Guerrero located in 436 W Manchester Blvd, Inglewood,</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Anthony V. Seferian</p>	Completed	<p>We have carefully evaluated your request, and this letter will we set forth our response to your request.</p> <p>1) A copy of the California DOJ manual, "Legal Rights of Persons with Disabilities."</p> <p>Response to Request 1:</p> <p>This record is included with this correspondence.</p> <p>2) A copy of the California DOJ civil rights handbook, "Unlawful Discrimination, Your Rights and Remedies."</p> <p>Response to Request 2:</p> <p>This record is included with this correspondence.</p> <p>3) A copy of all published/promulgated California DOJ information that clarifies the rights and remedies of persons with mental health conditions/illness.</p> <p>Response to Request 3:</p> <p>This office does not have any records responsive to this request. We would suggest that you contact the California Department of Health Care Services, Mental Health Services Division, for information on those topics. The Web site is <a href="http://www.dhcs.ca.gov/services/-Pages/MentalHealthPrograms-Svcs.aspx">http://www.dhcs.ca.gov/services/-Pages/MentalHealthPrograms-Svcs.aspx</a>. You may also want to contact the Department of Developmental Services and the Department of State Hospitals.</p> <p>4) A copy of a list of all California agencies that offer services to all people who suffer mental health conditions/illness, including name of contact person/official.</p> <p>Response to Request 4:</p> <p>This office does not have any records responsive to this request. We would suggest that you contact the California Department of Health Care Services, Mental Health Services Division, for information on those topics:</p> <p><a href="http://www.dhcs.ca.gov/services/Pages/MentalHealthPrograms-Svcs.aspx">http://www.dhcs.ca.gov/services/Pages/MentalHealthPrograms-Svcs.aspx</a>. You may also want to contact the Department of Developmental Services, the Department of State Hospitals, and the Commission on Aging.</p>	<p>On July 2, 2018, Anthony Seferian sent an extension letter.</p>	<p>We were unable to locate responsive records based on the information provided. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records</p>

PRA-2018-01321	Cutino	Michael	6/22/2018	Under the Public Information Act (FOIL), I am requesting all the information and records you have on a YAHOR ZGURSKI, DOB [REDACTED] ... His DA CASE# 15003373.	Completed	Robert Morgester, SAAG	<p>within the care and custody of our office. You appear to be seeking a work permit or other records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to work permits, you may wish to direct your request to the office that issued the permit.</p> <p>In past requests you noted that the purpose for this information was so that you could serve legal process on this individual. Although we cannot provide you with the home address (See Cal. Const., art. I, §1, as incorporated into the Public Records Act by Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Gov. Code, § 6255.) we can provide his next court appearance date and location. He has a trial scheduled in San Francisco Superior Court on August 17, 2018 at 9:00 in Department 22.</p>
PRA-2018-01252	Rohwer	Ian	6/25/2018	Seeking ALL criminal and investigative records I would like a list of the files so that I may request specific files for re-production	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p> <p>To the extent that your request seeks investigative files, we must decline your request. Investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal 4th 337, 354.)</p>
PRA-2018-01253	Doyle	George	6/25/2018	DOB of Wendell Ken Griffin - born in So. CA about 1936 (His former wife - Bette Rae Griffin - now deceased - was my wife's sister). Bette and Wendell were divorced in CA not long before his death around 1990 in Australia of heart trouble. If possible, we would also like to verify her DOD in La Quinta, CA in late 2016. We are not interested in purchasing Birth or Death records as there is no need for them and we cannot locate them anyway. What we are attempting to do is close out family records for family members. I realize this is asking a lot for this DOB - DOD info and if you cannot do it, and neither can we, I guess it is just se la vie, etc. I wish to thank you for just reading this mess in any case. I worked for SSA for many years before retirement and I know unusual requests from the public can be a real pain. I just thought perhaps you might have some little trouble getting those dates for our records and in any case I will say a few nice prayers for you. (I'm now retired 21 years from Uncle Sam - can you believe it?).	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>.</p>

PRA-2018-01254	Cook	Scott	6/25/2018	My great uncle , James P. Mullin, served time in San Quentin prison from March 14, 1903 to April 7, 1906. His inmate number was 20030. I would like to access his prison records. Although it would all be interesting, I am most interested in what information was collected during the intake process.		Erin Nickless	Completed	Your request does not identify records that are in the control or custody of the Office of the Attorney General/Department of Justice. The Department of Justice cannot produce records that are responsive to your request. We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, but seeks records from another government agency. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). If you wish to review records that are in custody or control of another government agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation. Information on how to contact them can be found at <a href="http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html">http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html</a> .
PRA-2018-01255	Vega	Bernice	6/25/2018	Property owner's information of the following address. 3585 Platt Avenue, Lynwood, CA 90262		Erin Nickless	Completed	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)  Please note the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since it is indicated in your correspondence that you are seeking information on a specific property, you may wish to contact the local county assessor's office or recorder's office for the county in which the property resides.
PRA-2018-01256	Altheim	Monique	6/25/2018	Name: Marc S. Pollick Record 45637TUMP Date: February 20, 2015 Los Angeles, CA Record 5966VTPOLICK530421 Orange, CA Date: May 3, 2005		Maria E. Silva	Completed	Contacted requestor to obtain more information and she explained she is dating this individual and wanted his criminal history. I explained criminal history records are exempt and only available to the individual holding the record.
PRA-2018-01257	Kerr	Catherine	6/25/2018	Case Title: Sinclair v Johnson. Case Number: 459152. Case Location: San Diego. Case Type: Civil. Date Filed: 9/25/1980. Category: A69611. Plaintiff/Petitioner: Sinclair, Bradley H. Defendant/Respondent: Johnson, Dan P. Comments: If you have any additional documents that involve Bradley H Sinclair between 1978 and 1983, I also would like to request those. I don't have any more information because I don't know what other records exist. Please email the documents to me at [REDACTED] or mail them to me at [REDACTED]		Maria E. Silva	Completed	Called requester to obtain more information. Requestor was seeking marriage records and name change. I explained those records would not be in custody and control of DOJ and provided referral information.
PRA-2018-01258	Slater	Keith	6/25/2018	Case #000134223 Date: January 20, 2000 Name: Keith Ellis Slater, Jr. San Bernardino County		Erin Nickless	Completed	Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.  We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office. You may wish to contact the court in which the case was heard for the records that you are seeking.
PRA-2018-01259	Smith	Kim	6/25/2018	A tenant in my home in Laguna Niguel was arrested. His name is Brian F Dogle. The property address is [REDACTED]. The arresting officer was Dep. C. Bu(e)chler. The number I was given by the OC sheriff's office is 180213-0321. I would like a copy of the		Erin Nickless	Completed	To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary

PRA-2018-01260	Hiller	Malissa	6/25/2018	arrest record, and charges filed by the OC district attorney's office as well as any other documents related to this case. Thank you, Kim Smith Property Owner				<p>criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking records from a district attorney's office, you appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records from the District Attorney's Office, you would need to direct your request to their office.</p>
PRA-2018-01260	Hiller	Malissa	6/25/2018	I would like documents that contain criminal history, medical records, and court cases with their case number on Wendy Stubblefield from the past 10 years	Completed	Erin Nickless		<p>Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office. You may wish to contact the court in which the cases you are seeking were handled.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p> <p>DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire State. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. We have no obligation or ability to disclose records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency.</p> <p>Government Code §6253(c).</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking medical records, we must deny your request. Our office does not typically maintain medical records, and if we did, we would not be able to produce them. Government Code §6254(c) provides that "Personnel, medical, or similar files, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy" are exempt from disclosure.</p>
PRA-2018-01261	Edmon	Irene	6/25/2018	Copy of a order of protection	Completed	Maria E. Silva		<p>Called requestor to obtain more information. Requestor confirmed it was not a case handled by our office. She stated she wanted a copy of a protection order a judge had signed. I explained she would need to obtain it from the court/judge who issued the order.</p>

PRA-2018-01262	Lee	Eun	6/25/2018	Police Report Request Station: Cerritos Report Number: 918-02720-2310-472 Classification of Incident: Traffic Collision Date of Incident: 06/19/2018 Drivers: Ki Won Jeon and Brittany Joan Lim Our office represents driver, Mr. Ki Won Jeon.		Completed	Maria E. Silva	Called requestor to obtain more information to determine if the case involved DOJ. Requestor confirmed the request as submitted to DOJ in error.
PRA-2018-01263	Rockwell	Gabrielle	6/25/2018	I would like all of the BGC 203 Annual Reports for all major league sports raffles for the following years: 2015-2016, 2016-2017, 2017-2018. Thank you.		Completed	Riann Kopchak	In response, the Bureau has enclosed the BGC 203 and 204 Major League Sports Raffle Eligible Organization Annual Reports and supporting documentation for all parties that have participated. Please be advised that you can directly find these documents at the following State of California, Department of Justice website address: <a href="http://rct.doj.ca.gov/Verification/Web/Search.aspx?facility=Y">http://rct.doj.ca.gov/Verification/Web/Search.aspx?facility=Y</a> The decisions herein with respect to the requested records have been made by Deputy Attorney General Timothy Muscat and Associate Governmental Program Analyst Kaylee Joseph.
PRA-2018-01264	Martin	Marie	6/25/2018	I need certified copies of both marriage and divorce		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> .
PRA-2018-01265	Damien (Death In Custody)	Christopher (Desert Sun)	6/25/2018	I respectfully request all "State of California Death In Custody Reporting Form", form BCIA 713, that have been filed by Riverside County Sheriff's Department with California Department of Justice from 2006 to the present. Comments: I am a member of the media, reporting for The Desert Sun. Please send these forms in digital format christopher.damien@desertsun.com. If they are not available digitally, please contact me regarding paper copies before printing. I am willing to receive interim distribution as documents become available.  Please contact me with any questions regarding this request at: 760-218-2317 christopher.damien@desertsun.com		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. The DOJ has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents. Note, the DOJ only receives one form for Death in Custody reporting, which is entered into a database.
PRA-2018-01266	Bhoomi	Anisha	6/25/2018	I would like to request a bid tabulation/analysis for RFQ 17-317 IT Security Policy Enhancements. Here is some information we are seeking: 1. Awarded Vendor 2. All bids submitted (soft copies) 3. Bid Tabulation / Score sheet		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	After consulting with various programs within the California Department of Justice (DOJ), the California Justice Information Services Division has determined that it has no responsive records for this request as we did not issue a contract based on Request for Quote 17-317 - it was cancelled before bids could be submitted. The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.
PRA-2018-01267	Burt (Lic to carry Firearms)	Evan	6/25/2018	July 26th Follow-up: On June 25, 2018, I submitted a request for California carry license-related public records and information (attached for your reference). On July 5, you e-mailed me a letter confirming that my request "was received by [you] on June 25, 2018," requesting an additional "fourteen days" because of an alleged "need for consultation," and stating that "[f]ourteen days beyond [the original 10-day deadline] is July 19, 2018." I have not heard or received anything		Completed	Public Records	August 29, 2018 response from Public Records: Response was sent to Paul Nicolas Boylan  Mr. Burt noted that a similar request for records was made by Mr. Brandon Combs on December 8, 2017, and indicated that: We do not seek an additional copy of records provided under the DOJ's February 8 response to a previous request for similar records dated December 8, 2017, that was submitted to the Department by Mr. Brandon Combs.

				<p>from you since July 5.</p> <p>Please provide me with the public records and information I requested on June 25. Should you have any questions or concerns, please contact our attorney, Mr. Paul Nicholas Boylan, at prboylan@gmail.com.</p> <p>June 25, 2018 Request:</p> <p>We respectfully request a copy of all public records and information about:</p> <p>The "forms to be prescribed by the Attorney General." Cal. Penal Code section 26175(a)(1).</p> <p>The "committee composed of one representative of the California State Sheriffs' Association, one representative of the California Police Chiefs Association, and one representative of the Department of Justice to review, and, as deemed appropriate, revise the standard application form for licenses." Cal. Penal Code section 26175(a)(2).</p> <p>Any "uniform license that may be used as indicia of proof of licensure throughout the state." Cal. Penal Code section 26175(a)(3)(A).</p> <p>"[U]se of licenses issued by local agencies that contain all the information required in subdivision (i), including a recent photograph of the applicant, and are deemed to be in substantial compliance with standards developed by the committee described in subparagraph (C), if developed, as they relate to the physical dimensions and general appearance of the licenses." Cal. Penal Code section 26175(a)(3)(B).</p> <p>"[E]xemplars of approved licenses" and "list of agencies issuing local licenses." Cal. Penal Code section 26175(a)(3)(B).</p> <p>The "committee composed of two representatives of the California State Sheriffs' Association, two representatives of the California Police Chiefs Association, and one representative of the Department of Justice" that is "to review and revise, as the committee deems appropriate, the design standard for licenses issued by local agencies that may be used as indicia of proof of licensure throughout the state, provided that the design standard meets the requirements of subparagraph (B)." Cal. Penal Code section 26175(a)(3)(C).</p> <p>Given the potential for the loss of property and serious criminal liability under California's handgun carry statutes for reliance on potentially unlawful local forms that have no legal recognition, force, or effect, time is of the essence. To the extent that responsive records are available in a standard electronic format, please provide all responses to this records request in the form of electronic documents delivered via email attachment(s) (i.e., .pdf or spreadsheet files .xls/.csv).</p> <p>This request potentially requires the production of records that exist or are maintained in electronic form, including but not limited to e-mails. For all electronic records, please take all steps necessary to prevent the intentional or accidental destruction/loss of these records during the pendency of this request. Electronic records are often subject to "retention policies" that require their automatic deletion after a certain period of time. If any electronic records that are potentially responsive to this request are subject to such a retention policy, please prevent their automatic deletion before you</p>				<p>We sent Mr. Burt an extension of time to respond to his request, and have since received communications from him regarding his desire that we provide him with an update, and on August 3, 2018, he requested that we send any response to his request for an update to you. As such, we are sending this response to you and trust that you will share it with him.</p> <p>In conducting our search for records responsive to Mr. Burt's request, we have checked with knowledgeable persons and searched logical places, and we have not identified any documents responsive to Mr. Burt's request that were not provided to Mr. Combs in February 2018.</p> <p>July 27, 2018 Rob Wilson sent a Status Response:</p> <p>We are in receipt of your follow up letter dated July 26, 2018. Our 14-day extension has expired, and I would like to provide you a status of our progress. We have been working on determining whether the Department has any responsive information. At this point, we are still working on this request, due to the need to search for, collect, and appropriately examine a voluminous amount of separate and distinct records. We also need to search for records from field offices and other facilities that are separate from the office processing the request. We would like to continue our search and will keep you informed of the progress.</p> <p>Please let me know if you have any questions.</p> <p>July 5, 2018, an Extension of time was sent by Robert Wilson, BOF.</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---

PRA-2018-01301	Meyer (Hunter)	Kimberli	6/25/2018	<p>can locate, review and provide me with copies.</p> <p>We do not seek an additional copy of records provided under the DOJ's February 8 response to a previous request for similar records dated December 8, 2017, that was submitted to the Department by Mr. Brandon Combs. Please let us know if you would like a copy of your February 8 response.</p> <p>Please feel free to e-mail me at <a href="mailto:ebu@cgfhq.org">ebu@cgfhq.org</a> or <a href="mailto:ebu@cgfhq.org">ebu@cgfhq.org</a> should you have any questions.</p> <p>Thank you, in advance, for your assistance with this request.</p> <p>July 26, 2018 follow up: On July 5, you e-mailed me a letter confirming that my request "was received by [you] on June 25, 2018," requesting an additional "fourteen days" because of an alleged "need for consultation," and stating that "[f]ourteen days beyond [the original 10-day deadline] is July 19, 2018."</p> <p>I have not heard or received anything from you since July 5.</p> <p>Please provide me with the public records and information I requested on June 25. Should you have any questions or concerns, please contact our attorney, Mr. Paul Nicholas Boylan, at <a href="mailto:pnbryan@gmail.com">pnbryan@gmail.com</a>.</p>	California State University, Long Beach	Completed	John M. Applebaum	<p>To the extent that this office may have records responsive to this request, the request seeks records of complaints and investigations, or contents from investigatory files, which are expressly exempt from production under the PRA and the official information privilege, the request is denied. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subds. (f) and (k), Evid. Code, § 1040; Haynie v. Superior Court (2001) 26 Cal.4th 1061, 1069; County of Orange v. Superior Court (2000) 79 Cal.App.4th 759, 764.) The request is also denied to the extent it seeks records subject to the attorney-client privilege and work-product doctrine which are also expressly exempt from production. (Gov. Code, §§ 6254, subds. (b)(k), (r), 6254, 10; Evid. Code, §§ 950 et seq., 1040; County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.) Further, to the extent this office may have records, this request seeks peace officer personnel records, including records of citizens' complaints and related investigations, such records are confidential and exempt from production, the request is denied. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (c); Pen. Code, § 832.7; City of Richmond v. Superior Court (1995) 32 Cal.App. 4th 1430, 1439.) To the extent this office may have records responsive to this request, the request seeks photographs or video recordings of the deceased taken by or for the coroner at the scene of death or in the course of a post mortem examination or autopsy, such records are protected from disclosure, and the request is denied. (Code Civ. Proc. § 129, subd. (a); Marsh v. County of San Diego (9th Cir. 2012) 680 F.3d 1148, 1156.)</p> <p>July 5, 2018 an extension was request via telephone by Maria Silva and accepted by Ms. Meyer.</p>
PRA-2018-01302	Meyer (Pickett)	Kimberli	6/25/2018	<p>Pursuant to the state open records act, I request access to and copies of any and all content, including but not limited to dashcam video and related audio, dispatcher logs, police reports, internal memos, related departmental policies, civil rights investigations and reform agreements with law enforcement agencies and all correspondence the Community Oriented Policing Services office has had concerning/ from the incident that occurred on June 24th, 2014 in Compton, California, near North Poinsettia Avenue and East Palmer Street involving Antoine D Hunter. Also, please include any and all information related to the number, date, and outcome of complaints made against Sheriff Eddie Hernandez. If my request is denied in whole or part, I ask that you justify all deletions by reference to specific exceptions of the act.</p>	California State University, Long Beach	Completed	John M. Applebaum	<p>Pursuant to the state open records act, I request access to and copies of any and all content, including but not limited to dashcam video and related audio, dispatcher logs, police reports, internal memos, related departmental policies, civil rights investigations and reform agreements with law enforcement agencies and all correspondence the</p> <p>To the extent that this office may have records responsive to this request, the request seeks records of complaints and investigations, or contents from investigatory files, which are expressly exempt from production under the PRA and the official information privilege, the request is denied. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subds. (f) and (k), Evid. Code, § 1040; Haynie v. Superior Court (2001) 26 Cal.4th 1061,</p>



PRA-2018-01303	Meyer (Perkins)	Kimberli	6/25/2018	<p>Community Oriented Policing Services office has had concerning/ from the incident that occurred on 11/19/2015 in BARTOW, CALIFORNIA near 112 E MAIN ST involving NATHANIEL PICKETT and DEPUTY KYLE WOODS. Also, please include any and all information related to the number, date, and outcome of complaints made against KYLE WOODS.</p> <p>As an educational institution with scholarly research programs, we believe we qualify for free search time and copies of documents at no charge. We meet the requirements under the following: disclosure will serve "a scholarly research goal of the institution, rather than an individual goal." We have no commercial interest in disclosure.</p> <p>If my request is denied in whole or part, I ask that you justify all deletions by reference to specific exceptions of the act.</p>	California State University, Long Beach	Completed	John M. Applebaum	<p>1069; County of Orange v. Superior Court (2000) 79 Cal.App.4th 759, 764.) The request is also denied to the extent it seeks records subject to the attorney-client privilege and work-product doctrine which are also expressly exempt from production. (Gov. Code, §§ 6254, subds. (b),(k), (r), 6254.10; Evid. Code, §§ 950, et seq., 1040; County a/Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.) Further, to the extent this office may have records, this request seeks peace officer personnel records, including records of citizens' complaints and related investigations, such records are confidential and exempt from production, the request is denied. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (c); Pen. Code, § 832.7; City of Richmond v. Superior Court (1995) 32 Cal.App.4th 1430, 1439.) To the extent this office may have records responsive to this request, the request seeks photographs or video recordings of the deceased taken by or for the coroner at the scene of death or in the course of a post mortem examination or autopsy, such records are protected from disclosure, and the request is denied. (Code Civ. Proc. § 129, subd. (a); Marsh v. County a/San Diego (9th Cir. 2012) 680 F.3d 1148, 1156.)</p>
PRA-2018-01304	Meyer (Yancy)	Kimberli	6/25/2018	<p>Pursuant to the state open records act, I request access to and copies of any and all content, including but not limited to dashcam video and related audio, dispatcher logs, police reports, internal memos, related departmental policies, civil rights investigations and reform agreements with law enforcement agencies and all correspondence the Community Oriented Policing Services office has had concerning/ from the incident that occurred on 11/15/2015 in OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA at 90th AVE AND BANCROFT AVE involving RICHARD PERKINS and SARGEANT JOSEPH TURNER and OFFICERS JONATHAN CAIRO, JOSHUA BARNARD, and ALLAHNO HUGHES. Also, please include any and all information related to the number, date, and outcome of complaints made against JOSEPH TURNER, JONATHAN CAIRO, JOSHUA BARNARD, and ALLAHNO HUGHES.</p> <p>As an educational institution with scholarly research programs, we believe we qualify for free search time and copies of documents at no charge. We meet the requirements under the following: disclosure will serve "a scholarly research goal of the institution, rather than an individual goal." We have no commercial interest in disclosure.</p> <p>If my request is denied in whole or part, I ask that you justify all deletions by reference to specific exceptions of the act.</p>	California State University, Long Beach	Rolling Production		<p>To the extent that this office may have records responsive to this request, the request seeks records of complaints and investigations, or contents from investigatory files, which are expressly exempt from production under the PRA and the official information privilege, the request is denied. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subds. (f) and (k), Evid. Code, § 1040; Haynie v. Superior Court (2001) 26 Cal.4th 1061, 1069; County of Orange v. Superior Court (2000) 79 Cal.App.4th 759, 764.) The request is also denied to the extent it seeks records subject to the attorney-client privilege and work-product doctrine which are also expressly exempt from production. (Gov. Code, §§ 6254, subds. (b),(k), (r), 6254.10; Evid. Code, §§ 950, et seq., 1040; County of Los Angeles v. Superior</p>
				<p>July 19, 2018 Rolling Production Response by John Applebaum: To the extent that this office may have records responsive to this request, the request seeks records of complaints and investigations, or contents from investigatory files, which are expressly exempt from production under the PRA and the official information privilege, the request is denied. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subds. (f) and (k), Evid. Code, § 1040; Haynie v. Superior Court (2001) 26 Cal.4th 1061, 1069; County of Orange v. Superior Court (2000) 79 Cal.App.4th 759, 764.) The request is also denied to the extent it seeks records subject to the attorney-client privilege and work-product doctrine which are also expressly exempt from production. (Gov. Code, §§ 6254, subds. (b),(k), (r), 6254.10; Evid. Code, §§ 950, et seq., 1040; County of Los Angeles v. Superior</p>				<p>July 19, 2018 Rolling Production Response by John Applebaum: To the extent that this office may have records responsive to this request, the request seeks records of complaints and investigations, or contents from investigatory files, which are expressly exempt from production under the PRA and the official information privilege, the request is denied. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subds. (f) and (k), Evid. Code, § 1040; Haynie v. Superior Court (2001) 26 Cal.4th 1061, 1069; County of Orange v. Superior Court (2000) 79 Cal.App.4th 759, 764.) The request is also denied to the extent it seeks records subject to the attorney-client privilege and work-product doctrine which are also expressly exempt from production. (Gov. Code, §§ 6254, subds. (b),(k), (r), 6254.10; Evid. Code, §§ 950, et seq., 1040; County of Los Angeles v. Superior</p>

				<p>programs, we believe we qualify for free search time and copies of documents at no charge. We meet the requirements under the following: disclosure will serve "a scholarly research goal of the institution, rather than an individual goal." We have no commercial interest in disclosure.</p> <p>If my request is denied in whole or part, I ask that you justify all deletions by reference to specific exceptions of the act.</p>						
PRA-2018-01327	Page	Richard	6/25/2018		I am respectfully requesting your assistance in providing the following: 1.) Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department, Arrest Report URN. No. 509-11929-0454-050, Dated July 23, 2009.	Completed	Rosalinda Rosas		<p>Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.) Further, to the extent this office may have records, this request seeks peace officer personnel records, including records of citizens' complaints and related investigations, such records are confidential and exempt from production, the request is denied. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (c); Pen. Code, § 832.7; City of Richmond v. Superior Court (1995) 32 Cal.App.4th 1430, 1439.) To the extent this office may have records responsive to this request, the request seeks photographs or video recordings of the deceased taken by or for the coroner at the scene of death or in the course of a post mortem examination or autopsy, such records are protected from disclosure, and the request is denied. (Code Civ. Proc. § 129, subd. (a); Marsh v. County of San Diego (9th Cir. 2012) 680 F.3d 1148, 1156.)</p> <p>To the extent this office may have records responsive to this request, the request is also denied to the extent it seeks California Highway Patrol policies and procedures which are expressly exempt from production, except the record will be produced to the extent that it was otherwise made public through the judicial process. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (f); Northern Cal. Police Practices Project v. Craig (1979) 90 Cal.App.4d 116, 121.) To the extent that records are not covered by these exceptions, they will be produced.</p> <p>Because of the sheer volume of records related to the first request and the fact that some records must be retrieved from archived storage, we anticipate being able to produce records by September 19, 2018.</p>	
PRA-2018-01328	Dostart	Zach	6/25/2018		<p>Pursuant to the CPRA, I respectfully request copies of the following categories of documents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Any and all documents that relate to the investigation of Time Inc., or its subsidiaries, which led to the Assurance of Voluntary Compliance or Discontinuance entered into between Time Inc. and the Attorneys General of twenty-three states, including the State of California, in March 2006.</li><li>2. Any complaints or grievances that pertain to or regard Time Inc., or its subsidiaries, including without limitation Synapse Group, Inc., and/or SynapseConnect, Inc.</li><li>3. Any and all documents regarding Synapse Group, Inc. and/or SynapseConnect, Inc.</li><li>4. Any and all documents that may have been received prior to, or in connection with, the investigation of Time Inc., or its subsidiaries.</li></ol> <p>I am affiliated with a private company and am seeking information for use in my company's business. I am willing to pay fees for this request up to a maximum of \$500. If you estimate that the fees will exceed this limit, please inform me first.</p>	Completed	Dostart, Hannink & Coveney, LLP	Amos Hartston	<p>We have conducted a search of our records. Please find enclosed a copy of the March 2006 Assurance of Voluntary Compliance or Discontinuance entered into by the Attorneys General of several states, including California, and Time Inc. Also enclosed is a news release related to the agreement.</p> <p>We respectfully deny the remainder of your requests. Consumer complaints and investigative files are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f), expressly exempts from disclosure records of complaints to, or investigations conducted by, the Office of the Attorney General and the Department of Justice. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (f).) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status after the close of an investigation. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5Cal.4th 337, 355.)</p>	
PRA-2018-01398	Zimmerman (2 requests)	Vasco	6/25/2018		<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1) I wrote you about a week ago seeking information about the 3 strikes law of 2018. However in your response it never elaborated on rather the initiative 17-0046 is still active or has it been discontinued for any reasons by law.</li><li>2) I would like to know if this initiative is still active to be placed on the November ballot. If so how many signatures do we currently have and or what percent of the votes have we already obtained.</li></ol>	Completed		Anabel Renteria	<p>For signatures regarding a specific initiative you may contact the Secretary of State's Election Division at 1500 11th St., 5th Floor, Sacramento, CA 95814. This initiative is still active and currently in circulation; it did not qualify for the November 2018 election.</p>	

PRA-2018-01443	Chodos	David	6/25/2018	We received a telephone inquiry request for the dates of registration under Civil Code section 1789.25 for Enrich Financial, a credit services organization.	Completed	Amos Hartston	You recently contacted our office requesting the dates of registration, under Civil Code section 1789.25, for Enrich Financial. You indicated that you represent Zenith Financial, a competing credit services organization, and that you may request a declaration or deposition confirming the registration dates in connection with an ongoing dispute between the two companies. The dates of registration are public information. We have interpreted your request for the dates of registration pursuant to the Public Records Act as set forth in Government Code section 6250 et seq. Enclosed are copies of the December 8, 2016, January 1, 2017, and January 1, 2018, certificates of registration, pursuant to California Civil Code section 1789.25 of the Credit Services Act, for Enrich Financial along with corresponding cover letters.
PRA-2018-01268	Raines	Christy	6/26/2018	Divorce records for Glen Calzadilla and Christy Calzadilla	Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> .
PRA-2018-01269	Barragan	Mariah	6/26/2018	I would like to request the inmate files, inmate call logs/records, inmate visitations and any other information pertaining to the subject, "Raymond John Trillo Jr" DOB-[REDACTED]", pursuant of request to inspect, in accordance with California Government Code (CGC) Section 6253 and the Guidelines for the Inspection of Public Records (CDCR form 1431), established by the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation (CDCR), records of the following name or type, maintained at the below CDCR location. During 2017, the subject was housed at the Santa Clara county main jail and Elmwod. He was transferred to prison and was in Kern, saff and he's now at the CTP prison in Soledad. The inmate and call records im requesting is provided by Global tel link telecom, I would like if possible to get a transcript or cd rom of audio of all calls made from 2017/2018. GTL is the inmate phone service being used at the main jail and Elmwod facilities. The visitation records I'm requesting are from Elmwod and the Santa Clara county main jail facilities for the year 2017 to present. The inmate files I'm requesting are from the years 2017 these cases are now closed please let me know if you need more information.	Completed	Erin Nickless	Your request does not identify records that are in the control or custody of the Office of the Attorney General/Department of Justice. The Department of Justice cannot produce records that are responsive to your request. We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, but seeks records from another government agency. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). If you wish to review records that are in custody or control of another government agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation. Information on how to contact them can be found at <a href="http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html">http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html</a> .
PRA-2018-01270	Marriz	Ilya	6/26/2018	I am writing to make a freedom of information request of the California Department of Justice under California's Public Records Act. The specific records I am seeking are the audited financial statements of the 58th Presidential Inaugural Committee, a 501 (c)(4) corporation with federal EIN 81-4463688. The committee's registered office is in Virginia, but it raised funds in California (and most other states) in 2017. California Gov. Code §12586(e)(1) requires that a charitable corporation with gross annual revenue of \$2 million or more and which that is already required to file reports with the Attorney General must file an audited	Completed	Erin Nickless	The 58th Presidential Inaugural Committee is not a charity. It is a political action committee. This organization did register with us in error and then withdrew its registration. As it is not a charity, it is not required to give the registry of charitable trusts any documents. There may be filing and reporting requirements with the California Fair Political Practices Commission for this organization. More information on how to contact the California Fair Political Practices Commission can be found on their website at <a href="http://www.fppc.ca.gov/">http://www.fppc.ca.gov/</a> . July 19, 2018 response: Your public records request dated July 9, 2018 was referred to me.

				<p>financial statement prepared by an independent CPA. I am seeking a full, unredacted copy of this document, and any related documentation.</p> <p>Follow up request, July 9, 2018: However, I believe your response was in error. The 58th Presidential Inaugural Committee is an IRS-recognized 501 (c)(4.) Several other states have shared with me filings made by the 58th PIC with their charities bureaus.</p> <p>Can you please share with me any filings you have for the 58th PIC? If they withdrew their registration, I would like to read those documents.</p>			<p>Your request seeks records pertaining to the 58th inaugural committee.</p> <p>You may download our records by visiting: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/charities">oag.ca.gov/charities</a>.</p> <p>Under resources and tools use our registration verification search feature. Type in the name of the organization and press enter. All of our public documents are viewable on our website.</p> <p>If you have problems, please contact me.</p>
PRA-2018-01271	Schooley	Taylor	6/26/2018	<p>Raw data on all California DOJ juvenile arrest records from January 1, 2017 to December 31, 2017, including the following data elements:</p> <p>Record ID, NCIC Jurisdiction Code, County, Summary Level, Level of Offense, Offense, Summary Offense, FBI Offense, Birthdate, Age, Race/Ethnic Group, Gender, Type of Status, Disposition.</p>	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>Unfortunately, the DOJ is unable to provide the requested data as it is not yet available. We anticipate the 2017 data will be available in the summer - when the Attorney General releases the Publications.</p>
PRA-2018-01272	Ramesh	Madhav	6/26/2018	<p>I am looking for Employer Identification Numbers (EIN) that you may have on your database. Thanks, it would be much appreciated.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We are seeking clarification of your request. As you may be aware, the California Attorney General is the head of the Department of Justice. There are Offices of the Attorney General in six different cities and additional offices for DOJ's law enforcement divisions. We maintain many different types of databases within our offices, including but not limited to law enforcement data bases, legal case management databases, and administrative and personnel databases. A search for records responsive to your request as it is currently cast would require each division of the DOJ (including each section, branch, unit or bureau) that utilizes any database to be canvassed for EIN numbers. The expense and inconvenience of engaging in such a limitless search of documents would not be in the public interest. (Gov. Code, § 6255; American Civil Liberties Union Foundation v. Deukmejian (1982) 32 Cal.3d 440, 452-453.)</p> <p>If you can provide additional information to help identify the documents you are seeking, such as specifying a date range and identifying a type of record you are seeking (e.g., vendor contracts, purchase agreements, charities), we may be able to conduct a more directed search of the records within the care and custody of our office and will be better able to assist you with this request.</p> <p>As of July 19, 2018, requestor did not provide clarification.</p>
PRA-2018-01273	Waters	William	6/26/2018	<p>I am looking for a copy of my Divorce Papers. I was married to Kathryn Louise (Phillips) Waters. We were divorced some time between 1971 and 1974.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-01274	Peek	Christine	6/26/2018	<p>DEFINITIONS AND INSTRUCTIONS</p> <p>1. COMMUNICATION AND COMMUNICATIONS: The terms "COMMUNICATION" and "COMMUNICATIONS" shall mean every manner or method of disclosure or transfer or exchange of information, whether orally or by document, and whether face to face, by telephone, mail, e-mail, facsimile, text message, video.</p>	Completed	Jose ZelidonZepe da	<p>Your request was received on June 26, 2018. By letter dated July 5, 2018, this office extended the date for responding to your request by 14 days, to July 20, 2018. (Gov. Code, § 6253, subd. (c).)</p> <p>Additionally, our letter requested clarification under Government Code section 6253.1, subdivision (a). Specifically, we asked whether you seek communications solely between "Michele Landis Dauber" and our office on these subjects, or, alternatively, seek</p>

				<p>personal delivery or otherwise.</p> <p>2. NATIVE: The term "NATIVE" and all iterations of this word shall mean and include the original file format or structure of an electronic document in which it was created. Native files include .doc, .xls, .pst, .pdf, etc. Word documents or Excel spreadsheets should always be produced in native format.</p> <p>3. PERSKY v. BUSHEY: The terms "PERSKY v. BUSHEY" shall mean and include Santa Clara County Superior Court Case No. 1-17-CV-314311, Sixth District Court of Appeal Case Nos. H045096 and H045129, and California Supreme Court Case Nos. S247897 and S247971.</p> <p>4. RECALL CAMPAIGN: The terms "RECALL CAMPAIGN" shall mean the campaign to recall Aaron Persky.</p> <p>5. RELATING TO: The term "RELATING TO" and all iterations of these words shall mean and include "constitute, refer, reflect, discuss, show, demonstrate, evidence or be in any way logically or factually connected with the matter discussed or identified."</p> <p>6. WRITING and WRITINGS: The term "WRITING" and "WRITINGS" shall have the meaning set forth in California Government Code section 6252(g). These terms shall also mean and include "Writing" as defined in California Evidence Code section 250.</p> <p>7. A request for a "WRITING" or "WRITINGS" shall mean and include all responsive WRITINGS, however created, stored, or transmitted, and specifically shall mean and include WRITINGS created, stored, or transmitted using personal equipment such as personal email accounts or personal electronic devices, regardless of whether or not a copy of the WRITING exists on government servers or property.</p> <p>8. Responsive materials should include all associated metadata, including without limitation and as applicable: the date on which an e-mail was sent; the date on which a document or e-mail was obtained, created, modified, accessed, deleted, copied, moved, or saved; the author of an e-mail message ("from" field); the primary recipient(s) of an e-mail message ("to" field); other recipients of an e-mail message ("cc" and "bcc" fields); the subject line of an e-mail message; the title of a document; the author(s) of a document; the file name and file path of a document; the file type; and all other embedded or associated data</p> <p>RELATING TO the document or e-mail.</p> <p>COMMUNICATIONS should be produced along with any attachments to those COMMUNICATIONS.</p> <p>9. The responding parties are reminded that Government Code section 6253.3 prohibits state and local agencies from "allow[ing] another party to control the disclosure of information that is otherwise subject to disclosure pursuant to [the CPRA]."</p> <p>10. If the responding parties find this request insufficiently specific, please provide the assistance required by section 6253.1 in identifying records and information that are responsive to the request or purpose of the request.</p> <p>11. If the responding parties believe any portion of the records requested is exempt from disclosure by express provision of law, section 6253(a) of the Government Code additionally requires segregation or deletion of the material and the release of the remainder of the information. With regard to all records, please</p> <p>provide information as to (1) the existence of such records;</p>				<p>communications between her and her agents, attorneys, etc., and our office. We pointed out that our office was involved in litigation to which Ms. Dauber was a party, and thus there are numerous litigation-related communications with her attorneys. To date, you have not responded to our request for clarification.</p> <p>b. Responses to Request.</p> <p>Assuming that your request includes solely communications between "Michele Landis Dauber" and our office regarding the subjects above, our office has no responsive documents.</p> <p>To the extent your request includes communications between "Michele Landis Dauber" and her agents, attorneys, etc., and our office, we have recovered some records which are exempt from disclosure. Specifically, some records that you are seeking are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney-client privilege and related doctrines. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954,</p> <p>are expressly incorporated into the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363, 370.) Our office provides legal advice to the Attorney General and client agencies. Accordingly, communications between the Attorney General or client agencies and the Department's attorneys concerning various litigation and advice matters are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client privilege, and are exempt from</p> <p>disclosure under the Public Records Act.</p> <p>Moreover, the attorney work product doctrine protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc. § 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is incorporated into the Public Records Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.)</p> <p>Finally, certain records that are potentially responsive to the request are covered by the common interest doctrine. When parties share common interests, confidential disclosure of otherwise attorney-client privileged communications or attorney work product by one party to the other party does not waive privilege if the disclosure is reasonably necessary to assist the disclosing party in accomplishing the purpose for which that party's lawyer was consulted. (OXY Resources California LLC v. Superior Court (2004) 115 Cal.App.4th 874, 890; see Meza v. H Muehlstein &amp; Co. (2009) 176 Cal.App.4th 969, 981.)</p> <p>The potentially responsive records include the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Emails between the Attorney General's Office and counsel for Professor Dauber-but not to any party other than the respondents in the case- regarding their clients' positions in and responses to the case Persky v. Bushey. These documents are covered by the attorney-client privilege, attorney work product doctrine, and the common interest doctrine.</li> <li>2. An email by Professor Dauber's lawyer to the Secretary of State's office, and copying the Attorney General's Office, regarding the case Persky v. Bushey. This document is covered by the attorney-client privilege, attorney work product doctrine, and the common interest doctrine.</li> <li>3. Various case-related emails on which all parties were copied- both counsel for Professor Dauber and counsel for Petitioner Persky. This includes, for example, service (or courtesy copies) of filed pleadings, notice of an ex parte appearance, emails about the still pending motion for attorney's fees, and the like. These documents do not appear to be privileged, and they are documents that have been</li> </ol>
--	--	--	--	---	--	--	--	--



								individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA-2018-01277	Pingry	Heather	6/27/2018	Anything regarding Kevin Somers, age 44, lives in San Diego.	Completed	Erin Nickless	Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .	
PRA-2018-01278	Blutstein (Katie Porter)	Allan	6/27/2018	1. Records reflecting the salary history and job description of former employee Katherine "Katie" Porter, March 2012 to October 2014. 2. Ms. Porter's performance evaluations for her entire tenure. 3. Job application and resume of Ms. Porter. Please notify me if fees will exceed \$25.	Completed	Stacy Heinsen	A review of Department records did not show an employee by the name of Katherine "Katie" Porter during the specified period; therefore, the Department has no responsive records. However, a Katherine Porter provided consulting services to the Department during some or all of the period you designated. We assume your requests relate to her. We have attached to the email transmitting this letter records that are rough equivalents to the types of records described in your requests number 1 and 3. We are	

PRA-2018-01279	Schaal	Julie	6/27/2018	2015 Death certificate, will, divorce and child custody decree (Jacquelyn Sara Brown and Charles John Schaal) Family information needed before signing affidavit of heir.				<p>providing the following:</p> <p>(1) two contracts (including a series of five amendments to one of them and a copy of her resume); (2) a vendor payment report; and (3) 24 invoices sent to the Department under the contracts. Three of the invoices have been redacted. These invoices contain billing information that would reveal litigation strategy and/or legal consultation. Such information is exempt from disclosure under the Act, and we decline to provide it. (See County of Los Angeles Board of Supervisors v. Superior Court (2017) 12 Cal.App.5th 1264, 1274-1275 [holding that such information "lies in the heartland of attorney-client privilege"]; internal quotations and citation omitted, italics in original); Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Evid. Code, § 954 [attorney-client privilege]; Code Civ. Proc. § 2018.030, subd. (a) [attorney work product doctrine].) In order to protect personal privacy, we have also redacted home addresses and cell phone numbers. (Cal. Const. art. I, § 1; Gov. Code §§ 6254, subd. (k), 6255.)</p> <p>Regarding your request number 2, the records you seek are not public records subject to the Act. (Public Contract Code, §§ 10369, 10370 [evaluations for certain consulting services contracts are not public records].)</p> <p>This concludes our response to your request.</p> <p>On July 9, 2018 an extension was sent by Stacy Heinsen - OPS.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>. The DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking child support and/or custody records, you may wish to direct your request to the court that issued the child support or custody order, or the California Department of Child Support Services (DCSS). Information on how to contact DCSS can be found on their website at <a href="http://www.childsup.ca.gov/home/dcsscontactinformation.aspx">http://www.childsup.ca.gov/home/dcsscontactinformation.aspx</a>.</p> <p>Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office.</p>
PRA-2018-01280	Miller	Kaitlyn	6/27/2018	I'm looking for a written policy dealing with legal representation and/or indemnification of civil servants such as police officers.	Completed	Erin Nickless		<p>Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office.</p>
PRA-2018-01281	Ryan	Nora	6/27/2018	I would like to find any information listed for any court cases, arrests, ANYTHING that anyone else might be able to obtain and utilize to know about us or to create fake IDs, to just anything that puts us in the public space, that might be available related to myself and/or my son, who recently	Completed	Erin Nickless		<p>Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you</p>





PRA-2018-01320	Black	Sharon	6/27/2018	<p>legally possible, so that to best extent possible all records are accurate, current, and that the minimal number are publicly available that might create any unforeseen danger against my disabled son and myself by extension. If there are ANY records for Patrick Ryan, same address, same county, 20 years old, my son, I'd want to know of them to ... (repeat of concerns above)</p> <p>Many thanks if you can look up what you can find in your database as relates to Patrick Andrew Ryan and myself.</p> <p>This is a follow up re my name is wrong in PUBLIC RECORDS NO, I do not have a criminal background quite the opposite but, I ran into a big problem finding out my name was changed somewhere in the past by putting a middle initial, the letter B in my name; and, or adding a very old married name. I Want All And Everything Corrected To My Birth Name Which Is And Has Been My Name For Many Years Now It Is Sharon Black Ss# [REDACTED] No Middle Initial Or Added Name Plain And Simple Sharon Black</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Our Department is unable to assist you with your request. As stated in our June 22, 2018 e-mail, the Public Records Unit processes requests to the California Department of Justice for copies of Public Records. The DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>Since you mention that there is an issue with your name, birth records might be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>. If this relates to a name change that was filed through a court, you would need to direct your request to the court in which it was filed. This completes our response to your request.</p>
PRA-2018-01282	Stewart	Karen	6/28/2018	<p>Divorce papers for James Rodger Stewart and Karen Lynne Stewart (median name Janke) not sure of year 1977 1978 1979 1980 could have been filed. Orange county CA I moved to Michigan recently they will not accept my birth certificate due to my median name on it to get a MI drivers license unless I have copy of divorce degree. The divorce was 40 yrs ago or later</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-01283	Keane	Bunny	6/28/2018	<p>I would look to know the number of registered assault weapons prior to Dec 30th, 2016. I would also like to know the most recently registered assault weapon CFARS system registration number. I am not asking for the firearm's serial number. I am asking for the internal CFARS registration number indicating the number of registered assault weapons as of the day this record requests is received for processing.</p> <p>If possible, I would like to know separately the number of long guns and handguns on the registered assault weapon list.</p>	Completed	Robert Wilson	<p>July 9, 2018 Fees Request: The most recent assault weapon registration period closed on June 30, 2018. As such, there a number of applications still being processed. An application that is being processed is not considered "registered." An assault weapon would only be registered if the applicant meets all the firearm and background requirements and is approved by the Department. As of July 3, 2018, there are 6,213 individuals who have registered assault weapons and 13,519 assault weapons that have been registered.</p> <p>The Department does not maintain a breakdown of the number of registered handguns and long guns in the ordinary course of business. As such, special programming would be required to generate the requested information, and the Department is allowed by law to charge for this programming. (See Gov. Code, § 6253.9, subd. (b); see also California Department of Justice Guidelines for Access to Public Records [When the Department must compile electronic data, extract information from an electronic record, or undertake computer programming to satisfy a request, the Department may require the requester to bear the full costs, not just the direct cost of duplication].)</p> <p>In this case, it will take an Information Technology Specialist I a minimum of 30 minutes to compile this information at a cost of</p>

PRA-2018-01284	Parvanian	Paul	6/28/2018	<p>The term "Documents", as used herein, has the same meaning as the term "Writing" has in California Evidence Code section 250, and includes any electronically stored information as well.</p> <p>1. Any Documents referencing Tina Marie Sharp ("Sharp"). Sharp's last known address, phone number, driver's license number and description are as follows: [REDACTED]; Phone [REDACTED]; California Driver's License [REDACTED]; Female, brown eyes, black hair, 5'7" height, 175 lbs weight; d/o/b [REDACTED] African-American; Social Security Number [REDACTED]</p> <p>2. Any Documents referencing a weapon with serial number [REDACTED]. The weapon is believed to be a Bersa Thunder 380 Duotone, but has also been described as "H.W. Lion Industrial Serial number [REDACTED] Cal 380 mad thunder 380".</p> <p>3. Without limiting the foregoing, please provide a copy of any documents related to any concealed carry permit or application filed or requested by or on behalf of Sharp.</p> <p>4. Any Documents referencing a weapon with serial number [REDACTED] believed to be a Sundance Ind Boa .25 ACP.</p> <p>5. Any licenses, permits, or similar Documents issued to Sharp, including without limitation any concealed carry permits or Documents related to sale of fireworks [REDACTED]</p> <p>6. NICS or State of California transaction number [REDACTED]</p> <p>I respectfully ask for a determination on this request within 10 days of your receipt of it, and an even prompter reply if you can make that determination without having to review the record[s] in question. Should some of the records be available sooner than others, I ask that any records available sooner be provided to our firm immediately.</p> <p>If I can provide any clarification that will help expedite your attention to my request, please contact me at the law firm of Coleman &amp; Horowitz, LLP, at (559) 248-4820. I ask that you please notify me immediately of the costs for these documents, if any, so that I may promptly provide those amounts to you for quick processing of this request. Thank you for your time and prompt attention to this matter.</p> <p>My full contact information is as follows:  Paul M. Parvanian, Esq.  Coleman &amp; Horowitz, LLP  499 W. Shaw Ave., Suite 116  Fresno, CA 93704  559-248-4820  pparvanian@ch-law.com&lt;mailto:pparvanian@ch-law.com&gt;</p>	Completed	Robert Wilson	<p>\$14.19. If you still desire this information, please make a check payable to the California Department of Justice in the amount of \$14.19 and send it to:</p> <p>California Department of Justice  Public Records Coordinator  1300 I Street, Room 1710-6  Sacramento, CA 95814</p> <p>If we do not receive payment within 20 days, we shall consider the request for records withdrawn and the matter closed.</p> <p>As a preliminary matter, we note that under the Public Records Act government records are open and subject to inspection by and disclosure to the public, unless they are "exempt from disclosure by express provisions of law." (Gov. Code, § 6253, subd. (b).) Section 6254, subdivision (k), incorporates confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law.</p> <p>Penal Code Section 11106 requires DOJ to retain certain firearms information, including copies of fingerprints, copies of CCW permits, and "information reported to the Department of Justice pursuant to Section 26225," DOJ must disclose this information "upon proper application ... to the officers referred to in Section 11105." (Pen. Code § 11106 (a).) California courts have consistently held that data subject to the disclosure limits in Penal Code Section 11105 may be disclosed only to the individuals authorized by that section to receive the information.</p> <p>For example, the California Supreme Court has noted that Penal Code Section 11105 "prohibits furnishing such information to persons other than those listed therein 'or as provided by law.'" (Hill v. Superior Court (1974) 10 Cal.3d 812, 818.) Therefore, information protected by Penal Code Section 11105 is not subject to public disclosure in response to a PRA request.</p> <p>(Younger v. Berkeley City Council (1975) 45 Cal. App. 3d 825, 832.) The "restrictions upon release of such information are so carefully set out in the Penal Code sections 11106, 11081 and 11105 ... that it is inconceivable that the general terms of the Public Records Act were intended to render them void." (Ibid.)</p> <p>State law specifies both the persons who are authorized to obtain firearms records, and the purposes that justify the DOJ's retention and disclosure of those records and therefore impliedly prohibits the release of such information to other persons, or for other purposes. The maxim of statutory construction "expressio uni us est exclusio alterius" applies: the express inclusion of some things in a statute necessarily means the exclusion of other things not expressly included. (Fischer v. Los Angeles Unified School Dist. (1999) 70 Cal.App.4th 87, 97.)</p> <p>Firearms records retained by the DOJ as described in Section 11106 are subject to disclosure only to the individuals listed in Section 11105.</p> <p>In addition, the Department of Justice is subject to the Information Practices Act of 1977. (People v. McCray (2006) 144 Cal.App.4th 258.) In pertinent part, Civil Code section 1798.24 prohibits the Department from disclosing any personal information in a manner that would link the information disclosed to the individual to whom it pertains unless the information is disclosed to the individual to whom the information pertains, or with his or her prior written voluntary consent - but only if that consent has been obtained not more than 30 days before the disclosure, or in the time limit agreed to by the individual in the written consent.</p> <p>Inasmuch as you are not the individual to whom the information pertains, the Department cannot release it to you absent the statutorily required consent. As such, the Department cannot provide the information you requested.</p>
----------------	-----------	------	-----------	---	-----------	---------------	--

PRA-2018-01287	Metzger	Raphael	6/28/2018	<p>August 22, 2018 Letter from Brust of Metzger Law Group: I write regarding the August 20, 2018 supplemental production of records made by the Office of the Attorney General ("AG's Office"), in response to our client's June 26, 2018 Public Records Act request. To call it a "production" might be somewhat charitable, given that the A G's Office has redacted almost all of what was produced. Indeed, the redactions include several entire pages, and the production as a whole appears to be little more than a series of documents repeated multiple times. This latest production is not consistent with the public policy goal of the Public Records Act, which is "to open agency action to the light of public review, with its core purpose designed to contribute significantly to public understanding of the operations or activities of the government."</p> <p>Caldecott v. Superior Court (2015) 243 Cal.App.4th 212, 223 ( quoting Los Angeles Unified School Dist. v. Superior Court (2014) 228 Cal.App.4th 222,241). Compounding the problem is the fact that the A G's Office continues to refuse to provide any kind of privilege log that would allow our client to determine whether the purported privileges are validly asserted, are inapplicable, or have been waived. This refusal, combined with the overwhelming nature of the redactions, has prejudiced our client in its efforts to avail itself of the full benefit for which the Public Records Act was intended.</p> <p>Second, your August 17th letter indicates that the AG's Office has "located some additional records that may be responsive, and will begin reviewing them next week," with a "hope" that additional documents will be produced "within the next three weeks." We informed you in our original PRA request (almost two months ago) that our office needs the responsive records as soon as possible in order to prepare CERT's submissions to OEHHA for its proposed rule-making proceeding, which is currently pending. Unfortunately, it is evident that the AG's Office has not even commenced a review of at least some of its documents, and will not be producing anything further until at least early September, which is after the public comment period closes. This is not acceptable. If the responsive documents held by the AG's Office are not promptly provided, and if the Office does not either unmask the redacted documents or provide the previously-requested privilege log, then our client will have no choice but to file an action to compel compliance with the Public Records Act, along with a request for attorney's fees and costs. Thank you for your anticipated prompt attention to the above.</p> <p>June 28, 2018 request: As you know, my firm represents the Council for Education and Research on Toxics (CERT), a public benefit corporation whose charitable purposes are education and research regarding toxic substance and the maintenance of a safe food supply. As you are also aware, for the past eight years CERT has successfully litigated a Proposition 65 case against the coffee industry whose goal is to encourage the industry to remove acrylamide from coffee by requiring the industry to provide cancer hazard warnings for all coffee sold in California. On behalf of CERT, pursuant to the California Public Records Act, Government Code§ 6250 et seq., we hereby request the Office of the Attorney General ("AG's office") to provide us copies of records described by category below. Please</p>	Metzger Law Group	Rolling Production	Laura Zuckerman	<p>August 27, 2018 - Response sent by Laura Zuckerman - Environmental Law Section to Scott Brust. We received your August 22 letter regarding the Office of the Attorney General's production of records in response to Mr. Metzger's June 26, 2018 Public Records Act request.</p> <p>The fact that we were able to locate only a few non-privileged, responsive records (many of which contained privileged portions that needed to be redacted) is not reflective of the large number of attorney hours spent reviewing material that turned out to be privileged. Moreover, we have responded to Mr. Metzger's request in good faith, in a timely manner, and in compliance with the law. Our office is mindful of your desire to obtain these records as promptly as possible, and we are doing our best to get any responsive, non-privileged documents to you as soon as feasible.</p> <p>Please feel free to call me if you have any questions.</p> <p>August 17, 2018 - Response sent by Laura Zuckerman - Environmental Law Section. As promised in my August 7, 2018 response to your Public Records Act Request dated June 26, 2018, we are hereby enclosing a CD with responsive documents Bates-numbered AGOMETZGER0000000453 through AGOMETZGER0000000520. We have located some additional records that may be responsive, and will begin reviewing them next week. We hope to produce any remaining responsive, non-privileged documents within the next three weeks.</p> <p>To reiterate, we will not be producing copies of any responsive 60-day notices or settlements, as these are posted on the AG's website. We also do not plan to produce copies of documents that were authored by you or persons in your office, exact duplicates of documents already produced once, or copies of pleadings filed in the CERT LAWSUIT (as defined in the Request). If you wish us to produce such documents, let us know.</p> <p>August 7, 2018 - Response sent by Laura Zuckerman - Environmental Law Section.</p> <p>As promised in my July 23, 2018 response to your Public Records Act Request dated June 26, 2018, we are hereby enclosing a CD with responsive documents Bates-numbered AGOMETZGER0000000001 through AGOMETZGER00000000452. We are continuing our review of e-mails and currently anticipate we will produce any remaining responsive, nonprivileged documents within the next week to ten days. Please note that, in addition to the categories of documents that were enumerated in the July 23 letter, we will not be producing copies of any responsive 60-day notices or settlements, as these are posted on the AG's website.</p> <p>Again, please note that we do not plan to produce copies of documents that were authored by you or persons in your office, exact duplicates of documents already produced once, or copies of pleadings filed in the CERT LAWSUIT (as defined in the Request). If you wish us to produce such documents, let us know.</p> <p>July 23, 2018 - Response sent by Laura Zuckerman - Environmental Law Section.</p> <p>I am responding to your Public Records Act Request dated June 26, 2018, which requested production of 15 separate categories of documents from June 1, 2017 to the present covering communications with the Attorney General's office on topics that appear to relate, either directly or indirectly, to acrylamide in coffee (the "Request"). The requests encompass writings on such subjects as – besides acrylamide in coffee – the regulation of coffee and acrylamide under Proposition 65, the association between coffee and cancer, OEHHA's recently proposed regulation, "Exposures to Listed Chemicals in Coffee Posing No Significant Risk,"</p>
----------------	---------	---------	-----------	--	-------------------	--------------------	-----------------	---

				<p>send us copies of the records, or, if they have been published and may be accessed through another public office or website, please advise where copies may be obtained or purchased. My office has made several Public Records Act requests and we promptly pay all reasonable bills for copying costs consistent with the provisions of the Government Code. However, if you are unable to bill us for the copies, please advise us of the estimated cost and we will forward advance payment or pay for the records on delivery, whichever you prefer.</p> <p>In the event that the AG's office determines that any requested records fall within a claim of privilege, this letter will also serve as a request that a privilege log be promptly provided, identifying all such writings. The purpose of a privilege log is "to allow a fair way to test a claim of privilege." Waymo LLC v. Uber Technologies, Inc. (N.D. Cal. 2017) 319 F.R.D. 284, 292, see also CCP § 2031.240 (c). It is only through the production of a privilege log that a demanding party may properly determine whether the asserted privilege is validly asserted, is inapplicable, or has been waived. In order to allow such a determination to be made, CERT requests that the privilege log identify any and all writings that are being withheld based on a claim of privilege, stating the following as to all such writings:</p> <p>(a) The nature of the writing (i.e., letter, memo); (b) The date the writing bears or was created; (c) The date the writing was sent and/or received; (d) The subject matter of the writing; (e) The author(s) of the writing, and the persons on whose behalf the writing was written; (f) The addressee(s) and copyee(s) identified on the writing and the persons or entities they represented; (g) The person(s) to whom the writing was given, distributed, or shown, and the persons/entities they represented; (h) The location of the original and each copy of the writing; (i) The name and present or last known address of the custodian of the original and each copy of the writing; and (j) The privilege claimed, and all facts supporting the claim of privilege.</p> <p>DEFINITIONS" The CERT LAWSUIT" refers to Los Angeles County Superior Court case number BC435759, coordinated with case number BC461182, captioned Council for Education and Research on Toxics vs. Starbucks Corporation, et al. "FDA" refers to the United States Food and Drug Administration. "GMA" refers to the Grocery Manufacturers Association and its employees and lobbyists. "IARC" refers to the International Agency for Research on Cancer and its employees. "INITIAL STATEMENT OF REASONS" refers to the "Initial Statement of Reasons - Title 27, California Code of Regulations - Adoption of New Section 25704 - Exposures to Listed Chemicals in Coffee Posing No Significant Risk" that was prepared by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment and is dated June 2018.</p> <p>"NCA" refers to the National Coffee Association and its employees and lobbyists. "OEHA" refers to the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment and includes all persons employed by OEHA or with whom OEIHA consulted regarding the. "PROPOSED REGULATION" refers to the regulatory proposal dated June 15, 2018 to add "a new section to Article 7 of Title 27 of the California Code of Regulations, section 25704, stating that exposures to Proposition 65 listed chemicals in coffee that are produced as part of and inherent in the</p>	<p>Council for Education and Research on Toxics v. Starbucks Corporation, et al., Senate Bill S. 3019, and communications between the Attorney General's Office and, respectively, the Grocery Manufacturers Association, the International Agency for Research on Cancer, and the National Coffee Association, among others. The Request also seeks a privilege log for those documents that are withheld under any claim of privilege. As an initial matter, we are not required to provide a privilege log for documents withheld under the Public Records Act. (Haynie v. Superior Court (2001) 26 Cal.4th 1061, 1075.) In addition, your 15 broadly-stated requests seek documents that are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. We will not provide copies of documents that fall into any of the categories listed below.</p> <p>1. Confidential Attorney Client Communications Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954, which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client, are expressly incorporated into the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363.) The attorneys in our department provide legal advice to the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHA"). Accordingly, all communications between the Attorney General or his designees and OEHA on the topics listed in your request, where the Attorney General was acting in his role as counsel to OEHA, are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client privilege, and are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act, and will not be disclosed.</p> <p>2. Confidential Attorney Work Product The attorney work product exception protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research, or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is incorporated into the Public Records Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.) Accordingly, we will not disclose any internal communications between personnel in the Attorney General's Office or between individuals in the Attorney General's Office and individuals acting as expert consultants to the Attorney General on any matter.</p> <p>3. Confidential Communications with Private Parties Information gathered by a government agency under assurances of confidentiality may be withheld if it is in the public interest to do so. The official information privilege appears in Evidence Code section 1040 and is incorporated into the Public Records Act through Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k). Evidence Code section 1040, subdivision (b)(2), provides that a government agency may refuse to disclose "official information" where "there is a necessity for preserving the confidentiality of the information that outweighs the necessity for disclosure in the interest of justice(.)" In addition to the above, Government Code section 6255 provides that the agency may withhold a document where "on the facts of the particular case the public interest served by not disclosing the record clearly outweighs the public interest served by disclosure of the record."</p> <p>Attorney General communications with private parties, either pursuant to an investigation of a matter or in the context of settlement discussions or potential objections to settlements, are frequently conducted with an understanding of confidentiality on both sides. This confidentiality must be protected in order to maintain the ability of the Attorney General to conduct investigations, to resolve litigation, and to work with private parties to ensure that settlements</p>
--	--	--	--	--	---

				<p>processes of roasting coffee beans and brewing coffee pose no significant risk of cancer." "WRITING" means handwriting, typewriting, printing, photostating, photographing, photocopying, transmitting by electronic mail or facsimile, and every other means of recording upon any tangible thing, any form of communication or representation, including letters, words, pictures, sounds, or symbols, or combinations thereof, and any record thereby created, regardless of the manner in which the record has been stored.</p> <p>REQUESTS FOR PUBLIC RECORDS 1. All WRITINGS that reference, reflect or pertain to communications received by the AG's office at any time from June 1, 2017 to the present regarding acrylamide in coffee. 2. All WRITINGS that reference, reflect or pertain to communications received by the AG's office at any time from June 1, 2017 to the present regarding coffee. 3. All WRITINGS that reference, reflect or pertain to communications received by the AG's office at any time from June 1, 2017 to the present regarding the association between coffee and cancer.</p> <p>4. All WRITINGS that reference, reflect or pertain to communications received by the A G's office at any time from June 1, 2017 to the present regarding the regulation of coffee in relation to Cal Health &amp; Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq., also known as Proposition 65. 5. All WRITINGS that reference, reflect or pertain to communications received by the AG's office at any time from June 1, 2017 to the present regarding the regulation of acrylamide in relation to Cal Health &amp; Safety Code§ 25249.6 et seq., also known as Proposition 65. 6. All WRITINGS that reference, reflect or pertain to communications received by the AG's office at any time from June 1, 2017 to the present regarding regulation of coffee in the State of California. 7. All WRITINGS that reference, reflect or pertain to communications received by the AG' s office at any time from June 1, 2017 to the present regarding regulation of acrylamide in the State of California.</p> <p>8. All WRITINGS that reference, reflect or pertain to communications received by the AG's office at any time from June 1, 2017 to the present regarding the CERT LAWSUIT. 9. All WRITINGS that reference, reflect or pertain to communications received by the AG's office at any time from June 1, 2017 to the present regarding the PROPOSED REGULATION. 10. All WRITINGS that reference, reflect or pertain to communications received by the AG' s office at any time from June 1, 2017 to the present regarding the INITIAL STATEMENT OF REASONS.</p> <p>11. All WRITINGS that reference, reflect or pertain to communications received by the AG's office at any time from June 1, 2017 to the present regarding U.S. Senate bill S. 3019, also known as The Accurate Labels Act. 12. All WRITINGS that reference, reflect or pertain to communications received by the AG' s office at any time from June 1, 2017 to the present regarding U.S. House of Representatives bill H.R. 6022, also known as The Accurate Labels Act.</p> <p>13. All WRITINGS that reference, reflect or pertain to communications between the AG's office and GMA at any time from June 1, 2017 to the present.</p> <p>14. All WRITINGS that reference, reflect or pertain to</p>			<p>comply with the law. Maintaining the confidentiality of those communications is necessary to allow the Attorney General to conduct his role as the chief law enforcement officer under Proposition 65, and as the entity that oversees private party enforcement of the statute. Without some promise of confidentiality, private parties, whether plaintiffs or defendants, will be unwilling to meet and confer with the Attorney General to discuss settlements and resolutions of issues. The interest of the Attorney General in being able to accomplish his duties outweighs any interest the public may have in the confidential documents. The Attorney General will therefore not produce any such confidential communications. Because of the Request's inclusion of 15 broad categories of documents, and the need to review a voluminous number of records for privileged material, we will need to have a rolling production. We anticipate having a first batch of documents available to you by August 6, 2018.</p> <p>Please note that we do not plan to produce copies of documents that were authored by you or persons in your office, exact duplicates of documents already produced once, or copies of pleadings filed in the CERT LAWSUIT (as defined in the Request). If you wish us to produce such documents, let us know.</p> <p>Please feel free to call me if you have any questions.</p> <p>On July 9, 2018, an extension was sent by Laura J. Zuckerman, Environmental Law.</p>
--	--	--	--	---	--	--	---

PRA-2018-01285	Van	Katherine	6/29/2018	<p>communications between the AG's office and IARC at any time from June 1, 2017 to the present.</p> <p>15. All WRITINGS that reference, reflect or pertain to communications between the AG's office and NCA at any time from June 1, 2017 to the present.</p> <p>Please note that we need these records as soon as possible in order to prepare CERT's submissions to OEHHA for the rule-making proceeding. Therefore, your prompt attention to the above is appreciated.</p> <p>Court or prison reports; appeals, etc... My Mother name is Glenda Mae Yarber (was Stetson) she was arrested on October 19, 1963 in San Francisco California. She has passed away on [REDACTED]. We are doing some research into family history, mental illness etc... I am her daughter. I know some of these records may no longer exist. If there is a way to retrieve could you let me know.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, but seeks prison records and court records. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). If you wish to review records that are in custody or control of another government agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local court in which the cases were filed, and the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation for prison records. Information on how to contact them can be found at <a href="http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html">http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html</a>.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-01286	Hollins	Julie	6/29/2018	Unlawful detainer	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>The DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking unlawful detainer records, you may wish to direct your request to the local superior court in which the related case was filed.</p>
PRA-2018-01288	Carter	Charles	6/29/2018	The "Seabridge Memorandum of Understanding" dated September 10, 2002 and signed by City of Oxnard Mayor Dr. Manuel Lopez and Ventura County Board of Supervisors Chair John Flynn contains reference to an environmental study (the "Study") on page 3. I would like to get a copy of that environmental study.	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to the City of Oxnard, and Ventura County Board of Supervisors, you may wish to direct your request to their offices.</p>



PRA-2018-01289	Leder	Nif	6/29/2018	<p>Rotator Staffing Services Inc. is formally requesting records that show who currently has the contract with SERVICES TITLE: Information Technology Contract Staff Services for Sacramento Area Sewer District (SASD) &amp; Sacramento Regional County Sanitation District (Regional San). Rotator Staffing Services Inc. is also formally requesting the annual spend for the contract with SERVICES TITLE: Information Technology Contract Staff Services for Sacramento Area Sewer District (SASD) &amp; Sacramento Regional County Sanitation District (Regional San). This record request is in reference to gain more insight into the current bid, RFP#8297 SERVICES TITLE: Information Technology Contract Staff Services, with the Sacramento Area Sewer District &amp; Sacramento Regional County Sanitation District.</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to Sacramento Area Sewer District and Sacramento Regional County Sanitation District, you may wish to direct your request to their offices.</p>
PRA-2018-01290	Ebbink	Benjamin	6/29/2018	<p>In accordance with the California Public Records Act (Government Code sections 6250 et seq.), I hereby request the following documents from the Office of the Attorney General ("OAG"):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Any and all previous submitted versions of initiative measure entitled Emergency Ambulance Employee Safety and Preparedness Act," (A.G. No. 17-0043).</li> </ul> <p>I respectfully request that OAG provide the foregoing documents in PDF format if OAG either: (1) maintains such documents in PDF format; or (2) makes copies of such documents in PDF format for its own use or for provision to other government or municipal agencies.</p>		Completed	Anabel Renteria	<p>Copies of all submitted versions of initiative 17-004 3, "Emergency Ambulance Employee Safety and Preparedness Act" are enclosed. This completes our response to your request.</p>
PRA-2018-01291	Zepeda	Wendy	6/29/2018	<p>This is the information we have: Docket#08323828 J Jacqueline Naillieux d.o.b. [REDACTED] Court No. 228891 Xref No. 4503635 [REDACTED] Glenn Naillieux d.o.b. [REDACTED] Court No. 228889 Xref No. 4503637 Franklin Naillieux d.o.b. [REDACTED] Court No. 228890 Xref No. 4503638</p> <p>The Office of the Arizona Attorney General, represents the Arizona Department of Child Safety in the Maricopa County Superior Court Case No. JD35237 / Naillieux. This is an open dependency matter concerning mother Jasmine Mitchell [REDACTED]. We have reason to believe that Mother's rights to the three children have been previously terminated in the California Courts, however, we have no paperwork to prove this. Father is deceased. We are requesting a copy of the children's file(s). The assigned Assistant Attorney General is Heather Y. Schaupp. Ms Schaupp can be reached at 602-774-9005, or at Heather.Schaupp@azag.gov&lt;mailto:Heather.Schaupp@azag.gov&gt;. I am Ms. Schaupp's paralegal and can be contacted at the phone number and/or email provided in this request. Thank you kindly for your assistance.</p>		Completed	Maria E. Silva	<p>Requestor was contacted to obtain more information. After conversation requestor believes request was misdirected to DOJ-AG and will be sent to Department of Health and Human Services.</p>
PRA-2018-01292	Hernandez	Jennifer	6/29/2018	<p>Pursuant to the California Public Records Act, Government Code §6250 et seq., we hereby request that you provide electronic copies (or hard copies if electronic copies are not readily available) to the undersigned of any and all documentation submitted to the Attorney General pursuant to Section 21167.7 of the California Public Resources Code between January 1, 2016 and the date of this letter (collectively, "Documentation"). We also hereby request</p>	Holland & Knight	Completed	Scott De Medeiros	<p>Enclosed, please find a list of petitions received by our office for the period between January 1, 2016 and July 9, 2018, as well as the first set of "electronic copies ... of any and all petitions or Related Documentation submitted to the Attorney General pursuant to Section 21167.7 of the California Public Resources Code between January 1, 2016 and June 29, 2018."</p> <p>Should you have any difficulty in opening or viewing these documents, please feel free to contact me at any time.</p>



PRA-2018-01294	Chandranth an	Varshine	6/29/2018	<p>that you provide electronic copies ( or hard copies if electronic copies are not readily available) of any report, list, or compilation of such Documentation.</p> <p>If applicable, in your response to this request please provide a list of any records withheld from the above request, along with an explanation of the statutory basis for their withholding and your reasons for believing the statutory justification applies. Please also make available any reasonably segregable portions of the records requested, even if other portions of the records are withheld as exempt, and provide the name and title/position of any person responsible for the decision to deny access to any requested records. Please also notify me of any photocopying charges associated with this request. We look forward to hearing your determination within the 10-day period prescribed by the Public Records Act as to whether the records requested are disclosable public records in your possession, and the estimated time we can expect your full response.</p> <p>Please contact my colleague, Stephanie DeHerrera at (415) 743-6971 or stephanie.deherrera@hklaw.com), if you have any questions regarding this request. Thank you in advance for your prompt attention to this matter.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you appear to be seeking records related to an apartment building, you may wish to direct your request to their office.</p>
PRA-2018-01308	Washington	Toromi	6/29/2018	<p>I'd like to request the record of complaints on bedbugs for the building - 2110 Haste St, Berkeley, CA - 94704.</p> <p>I appreciate any help on this matter.</p>	Completed	Julia Y Je	<p>Based on our records, this Office has files on two of your cases, People v. Toromi Washington, case No. H029223 filed in the California Court of Appeal in 2005, and Toromi Washington v. Adams, case No. C 06-4802 WHA filed in U.S. District Court in 2008. Please be informed that these case files may contain hundreds of pages of documents and our Office is required to charge \$.10 per copy and the cost of mailing. In order to avoid charging you for unnecessary documents, please describe the documents or category of documents you are seeking more specifically. Once I hear from you, I will let you know if we have the documents and the total cost for the copies and mailing. We can only provide documents after payment is received.</p>

PRA-2018-01330	Komatsoulis (Immigration Reform)	Carolyn - MuckRock	6/29/2018	<p>am gonna wager that you will obey both the FOIA/Privacy Act laws, along with the statutory laws that prohibit your agency from denying me my constitutional right to obtain any/all information regarding both convictions. The last thing I want to do is have to obtain the services of any civil law firm listed on [Exhibit #B], attached to this letter, I am positive they will concur with my allegations. I so look forward to embarrassing Contra Costa(952305-1) &amp; Santa Clara(CC459768), with proof of their farce/sham convicting me!</p> <p>Pursuant to the California Public Records Act, I hereby request the following records: For the Illegal Immigration Reform and Immigrant Responsibility Act of 1996: Any and all memos about the Illegal Immigration Reform and Immigrant Responsibility Act of 1996 Any and all records containing instructions for how to handle the new changes from the Illegal Immigration Reform and Immigrant Responsibility Act of 1996 Any and all records relating to the construction of barriers along the U.S. Mexico border as a result of the Illegal Immigration Reform and Immigrant Responsibility Act of 1996, including MOUs, MOAs, contracts, and construction bids Any and all records related to agreements between the U.S. Attorney General and state and local law enforcement agencies as part of section 2B7(g) of the Illegal Immigration Reform and Immigrant Responsibility Act of 1996. Any and all records relating to the arrest and jail time of deportees under the Illegal Immigration Reform and Immigrant Responsibility Act of 1996, including incident reports. The requested documents will be made available to the general public, and this request is not being made for commercial purposes. In the event that there are fees, I would be grateful if you would inform me of the total charges in advance of fulfilling my request. I would prefer the request filled electronically, by e-mail attachment if available or CD-ROM if not. On August 7, 2018, requester followed up: I'm following up on the following California Public Records Act request, copied below, and originally submitted on June 28, 2018. You had previously indicated that it would be completed on July 30, 2018, I wanted to check on the status of my request, and to see if there was a new estimated completion date.</p>	MuckRock News	Rolling Production	John D. Echeverria	<p>On August 8, 2018 a first production letter was sent by John Echeverria - GLS. This letter is in further response to your correspondence of June 29, 2018, requesting records under the Public Records Act (the "PRA"), which is contained in Government Code section 6250 et seq. As planned, enclosed on a CD is our office's first production of documents. As previously indicated, we anticipate a rolling production of responsive, non-exempt documents, and will contact you when our office is ready to provide the second production of documents.</p> <p>On July 23, 2018, John Echeverria sent an extension letter: Response to Request 1: Our office identified divisions, sections, and individuals who were likely to have responsive records. Searches were conducted where responsive documents are or could reasonably be located. As a result of these searches, we were unable to locate memoranda specifically about the Illegal Immigration Reform and Immigrant Responsibility Act of 1996 (the "IRAIRA"). Nevertheless, we have identified non-exempt records concerning state and local cooperation with federal immigration officials, which relate to provisions of the IRAIRA, and will provide such records to you. We have also identified records in this category that are exempt from disclosure for the reasons discussed below, and will not be producing those records. The Attorney-Client Privilege The PRA exempts from disclosure "[r]ecords, the disclosure of which is exempted or prohibited pursuant to federal or state law, including, but not limited to, provisions of the Evidence Code relating to privilege." (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363, 370; see also Evid. Code, § 954.) The attorney-client privilege is absolute and disclosure may not be compelled, regardless of relevance, necessity, or any particular circumstances in a given case. (Costco Wholesale Corp. v. Superior Court (2009) 47 Cal.4th 725, 732; see also Palmer v. Superior Court (2014) 231 Cal.App.4th 1214, 1227.) "Although exercise of the privilege may occasionally result in the suppression of relevant evidence, the Legislature of this state has determined that these concerns are outweighed by the importance of preserving confidentiality in the attorney-client relationship." (Mitchell v. Superior Court (1984) 37 Cal.3d 591, 599; see also Kerner v. Superior Court (2012) 206 Cal.App.4th 84, 111.) The attorney-client privilege protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client, as well as communications made to others in advancement of the attorney-client relationship. (Barber v. Municipal Court (1979) 24 Cal.3d 742, 753-754; STI Outdoor LLC v. Superior Court (2001) 91 Cal.App.4th 334, 340-341.) Documents are exempt from disclosure under the PRA pursuant to the attorney-client privilege under Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k), which incorporates confidentiality provisions contained in other laws. (County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.) The Attorney Work Product Doctrine The attorney work product doctrine protects the confidentiality of any</p>
----------------	----------------------------------	--------------------	-----------	--	---------------	--------------------	--------------------	--

writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research, or legal theories, which is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030.) The attorney work product doctrine creates a qualified privilege against discovery of general work product, and an absolute privilege against disclosure of writings containing the attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, or legal theories. (County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court, supra, 82 Cal.App.4th at p. 833, quoting BP Alaska Exploration, Inc. v. Superior Court (1988) 199 Cal.App.3d 1240, 1250; see also Colito v. Superior Court (2012) 54 Cal.4th 480, 488.) Moreover, there is no waiver of the privilege when attorney work product is provided to a party with a common interest who has an interest in maintaining the confidentiality of a significant part of the work product when the parties have a reasonable expectation that the work product will remain confidential, and the disclosure is "reasonably necessary for the accomplishment of the purpose for which the lawyer was consulted" as set forth in Evidence Code section 912, subdivision (d). (OXY Resources California LLC v. Superior Court (2004) 115 Cal.App.4th 874, 890-891.) Documents are exempt from disclosure under the PRA pursuant to the attorney work product doctrine under Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k), which incorporates confidentiality provisions contained in other laws. (County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court, supra, 82 Cal.App.4th at p. 833.)

**The Deliberative Process Privilege**

The deliberative process privilege exempts from disclosure materials that would expose an agency's decision-making process in such a way as to discourage candid discussion within the agency, and thereby undermine the agency's ability to perform its functions. (Times Mirror Co. v. Superior Court (1991) 53 Cal.3d 1325, 1342-1343, 1346.) Any documents containing statutory interpretation, analyses, draft language, and memoranda concerning the strengths and weaknesses of a particular proposal would be covered by this privilege. If the public interest in nondisclosure clearly outweighs the public interest in disclosure, the deliberative process privilege applies. (Cal. First Amendment Coalition v. Superior Court (1998) 67 Cal.App.4th 159, 172-173.) Records that reveal deliberative processes are protected from disclosure pursuant to a PRA request through application of Government Code section 6255.

**The Pending Litigation Exemption**

Agencies may withhold the disclosure of records pertaining to pending litigation to which the public agency is a party until the pending litigation has been finally adjudicated or otherwise settled. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (b).) The pending litigation exemption does not duplicate the attorney work product exemption, but is broader and covers documents prepared by the agency in anticipation of, or for use in, litigation. (County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court, supra, 82 Cal.App.4th at p. 831; Fairley v. Superior Court (1998) 66 Cal.App.4th 1414, 1422.) The pending litigation exemption also does not duplicate the attorney-client privilege because it exempts "litigation records generally," and not only those documents that are privileged. (Fairley v. Superior Court, supra, 66 Cal.App.4th at p. 1422, fn. 5.)

**Application of Exemptions to Request 1: The attorneys in the California Department of Justice ("DOJ") provide legal advice to the Attorney General and his designees. Accordingly, all communications between the Attorney General and his designees and the DOJ's attorneys about provisions of the IIRAIRA (see, e.g., 8 U.S.C. § 1373) are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client privilege, and are thus exempt from disclosure under the PRA on that basis. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k), incorporating Evid. Code, § 954.)**

In addition, it is common practice for DOJ to be involved in the state

legislative process. To the extent that our office was involved in the state legislative process for legislation related to the IIRAIRA, our internal communications and deliberations, including any internal bill analyses, and any work on behalf of a client agency are protected by the attorney-client privilege, the deliberative process privilege, and the attorney work product exemption. (Evid. Code, § 954; Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030; Gov. Code, § 6255.)

Further, Senate Bill 54, the California Values Act (Stats. 2017, ch. 495) (the “Values Act”), relates to the IIRAIRA and requires the Attorney General to “publish model policies” implementing the statute by October 1, 2018. (Gov. Code, § 7284.8, subd. (a).) Also by that date, the Attorney General must “publish guidance, audit criteria, and training recommendations” aimed at ensuring that databases used by state and local enforcement agencies operate in a manner consistent with the Values Act. (See id., subd. (b).) Internal records relating to the ongoing work of the DOJ to craft these required policies and other guidance are protected by the attorney-client privilege and the attorney work product exception. (Evid. Code, § 954; Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030.) These internal records are also exempt under the deliberative process privilege because under the facts here, the public interest in nondisclosure is clearly outweighed by the public interest in disclosure. The benefit to the Attorney General and other decision-makers of receiving complete and candid analyses regarding the strength and weakness of certain arguments relevant to determining the appropriate policies and guidance to implement the Values Act is paramount given the freedoms and responsibilities implicated by the law, and this benefit clearly outweighs the public’s general interest in access. (Gov. Code, § 6255.)

The Values Act is also at issue in ongoing litigation brought by the Attorney General against the federal government to prohibit the attachment of certain immigration-related, enforcement conditions to Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grants (JAG) and Community Oriented Policing Services (COPS) grants. (See State of Cal. v. Sessions (N.D. Cal.) No. 3:17-cv-4701.) The Values Act is also the subject of litigation in United States v. California (E.D. Cal.) No. 2:18-cv-00490-JAM-KJN. Records related to litigation are protected by the attorney-client privilege and the work product doctrine. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k), incorporating Evid. Code, § 954; Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030.) In addition, certain responsive documents are being withheld because of the pending litigation exemption. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (b).)

On July 9, 2018 an extension letter was sent by John Echeverria - GLS.